Page(s)	Table	
1 - 2	1	Q31. How easy or difficult do you think it is for local employers in your area to attract and recruit staff at the moment? Base: All respondents
3 - 4	2	Q32. The government is proposing to freeze the pay of public sector workers outside of London and the South East. Do you think this is fair or unfair? Base: All respondents
5 - 6	3	Q33. The government has said cutting real terms public sector wages will help boost job growth in the private sector. Do you think this policy will help or harm regional economies outside of London and the South East? Base: All respondents
7 - 8	4	Q34. Some groups of NHS hospitals are thinking of leaving national pay agreements so that they can pay staff such as nurses, doctors and clerical staff lower wages. Which of the following two statements is closest to your opinion? Base: All respondents
9 - 10	5	Q35. Do you think such a move would Base: All respondents
11 - 12	6	Q36. Government proposals would mean schools could choose to leave national pay agreements and pay teachers lower wages. Which of the following two statements is closest to your opinion? Base: All respondents
13 - 14	7	Q37. Do you think such a move would Base: All respondents
15 - 16	8	Q38. How should MPs in less prosperous regions, where their public sector constituents' real pay would be cut, respond to these Treasury proposals? Base: All respondents
17 - 18	9	Q39. Who out of the following do you TRUST on what IMPACT regional pay for public sector workers will have for services and the economy? Base: All respondents
19 - 20	10	Q40. Do you think the government should go ahead with OR drop plans to introduce regional pay for public sector workers, paying workers relatively more in more prosperous areas and relatively less in less prosperous areas? Base: All respondents



Table 1
Q31. How easy or difficult do you think it is for local employers in your area to attract and recruit staff at the moment?
Base: All respondents

		Gen	der		Age			2010 \	/ote			Vo	ting Intention	Ì	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER
Unweighted Total	1016	526	490	300	413	303	255	265	180	105	203	347	82	102	68
Weighted Total	1016	497	519	277	363	376	298	239	190	78	236	323	87	104	58
Very easy (+2)	195	102	93	49	69	77	65	49	33	18	46	76	15	26	13
	19.2%	<i>20.6%</i>	17.9%	17.7%	19.0%	20.6%	<i>21.7%</i>	<i>20.4%</i>	17.3%	<i>22.5%</i>	19.4%	<i>23.5%</i>	<i>17.7</i> %	<i>25.2%</i>	<i>22.0%</i>
Quite easy (+1)	328	157	171	89	123	116	102	83	66	19	88	98	26	37	13
	<i>32.3%</i>	<i>31.6%</i>	<i>32.9</i> %	<i>32.0%</i>	<i>33.9%</i>	<i>30.8%</i>	<i>34.1%</i>	<i>34.8</i> %	<i>34.5%</i>	<i>24</i> .9%	<i>37.4%</i>	<i>30.4%</i>	<i>30.2%</i>	<i>35</i> .9%	<i>22.2</i> %
Neither easy nor difficult (0)	182	90	92	54	67	61	49	39	44	17	37	53	22	14	12
	18.0%	18.2%	17.7%	19.6%	18.6%	<i>16.1%</i>	16.5%	16.3%	23.1%	21.2%	15.5%	16.5%	25.0%	13.6%	19.7%
Quite difficult (-1)	127	57	69	38	48	40	32	27	22	9	26	40	10	10	11
	12.5%	11.6%	13.3%	13.8%	13.3%	10.6%	10.7%	11.3%	11.6%	11.2%	11.0%	12.5%	11.4%	<i>9.3%</i>	18.8%
Very difficult (-2)	65	28	37	26	20	19	17	15	7	7	14	24	2	6	6
	<i>6.4%</i>	5.7%	<i>7.0%</i>	9.4%	5.5%	<i>5.0%</i>	5.8%	<i>6.4%</i>	3.9%	9.6%	6.0%	7.4%	2.8%	<i>6.0%</i>	9.8%
Don't know	119	61	58	21	35	63	33	26	18	8	25	31	11	11	4
	<i>11.7%</i>	12.4%	11.1%	<i>7.5%</i>	9.6%	16.8%	11.2%	10.8%	<i>9.6%</i>	10.7%	10.6%	9.7%	12.9%	10.1%	7.5%
Net: Easy	523	259	264	138	192	193	166	132	98	37	134	174	42	63	26
	<i>51.5%</i>	<i>52.2%</i>	<i>50.8%</i>	<i>49.7%</i>	<i>53.0%</i>	<i>51.4%</i>	<i>55.8%</i>	<i>55.2%</i>	<i>51.8%</i>	<i>47.4</i> %	<i>56.8%</i>	<i>53.9%</i>	47.9%	<i>61.0%</i>	44.1%
Net: Difficult	191	86	106	64	68	59	49	42	29	16	40	64	12	16	17
	<i>18.8%</i>	17.3%	<i>20.4%</i>	23.2%	18.8%	1 <i>5.6%</i>	16.5%	17.7%	15.5%	<i>20.8%</i>	17.0%	19.9%	14.2%	<i>15.2%</i>	<i>28.7</i> %
Mean	0.52	0.57	0.47	0.38	0.53	0.62	0.62	0.58	0.55	0.44	0.59	0.55	0.56	0.72	0.30
Standard deviation	1.18	1.17	1.20	1.24	1.16	1.16	1.17	1.18	1.07	1.29	1.15	1.24	1.06	1.17	1.33
Standard error	0.040	0.054	0.058	0.075	0.060	0.073	0.078	0.077	0.084	0.133	0.085	0.070	0.124	0.123	0.167



Table 1
Q31. How easy or difficult do you think it is for local employers in your area to attract and recruit staff at the moment?
Base: All respondents

			SE	G							Region					
	Total	AB	C1	C2	DE	London	Scotland	Wales	East of England	East Midlands	North East	North West	South East	South West	West Midlands	Yorks & Humberside
Unweighted Total	1016	258	240	270	248	115	99	62	103	73	41	117	141	86	97	82
Weighted Total	1016	255	241	259	262	108	101	55	115	79	40	115	131	92	92	88
Very easy (+2)	195	49	52	43	51	24	14	11	24	23	7	26	19	14	21	14
	19.2%	19.1%	<i>2</i> 1.8%	16.6%	19.6%	<i>22.2%</i>	13.8%	19.7%	<i>20.5%</i>	28.8%	18.0%	<i>22.6%</i>	<i>14.3%</i>	15.4%	<i>22.4%</i>	15.4%
Quite easy (+1)	328	91	78	85	74	27	30	19	46	26	15	35	39	32	28	31
	32.3%	<i>35.6%</i>	<i>32.4%</i>	<i>32.8%</i>	28.3%	<i>24.6%</i>	<i>30.2%</i>	<i>33.9%</i>	40.2%	<i>33.1%</i>	<i>36.5%</i>	<i>30.7%</i>	<i>29.5%</i>	<i>35.1%</i>	<i>30.1%</i>	<i>35.3%</i>
Neither easy nor difficult (0)	182	47	38	44	53	19	19	14	10	7	6	24	32	18	12	20
	18.0%	18.6%	15.8%	17.0%	20.3%	<i>17.8%</i>	<i>18.7%</i>	<i>24.7%</i>	<i>8.7%</i>	9.3%	16.3%	<i>20.8%</i>	<i>24.4</i> %	<i>20.1%</i>	13.5%	<i>22.8%</i>
Quite difficult (-1)	127	26	39	30	32	18	10	4	19	10	2	10	18	10	12	14
	12.5%	10.1%	16.3%	11.5%	12.1%	<i>16.3%</i>	<i>9.6%</i>	7.3%	<i>16.2%</i>	13.0%	4.5%	<i>8.6%</i>	<i>13.9%</i>	10.9%	<i>13.3%</i>	16.3%
Very difficult (-2)	65	10	18	22	15	7	11	3	7	3	1	14	4	4	8	2
	<i>6.4%</i>	<i>3.8%</i>	<i>7.5%</i>	8.6%	<i>5.6%</i>	6.7%	10.8%	5.9%	6.5%	4.3%	1.8%	12.1%	3.3%	3.9%	<i>8.5%</i>	2.5%
Don't know	119	32	15	35	37	13	17	5	9	9	9	6	19	13	11	7
	<i>11.7</i> %	12.8%	<i>6.2%</i>	13.4%	14.1%	12.4%	16.9%	<i>8.6%</i>	<i>7.9%</i>	11.5%	<i>22.8%</i>	<i>5.2%</i>	<i>14.5%</i>	14.7%	12.2%	7.7%
Net: Easy	523	139	131	128	125	51	44	30	70	49	22	61	58	46	48	45
	<i>51.5%</i>	<i>54.7%</i>	<i>54.2%</i>	<i>49.5%</i>	<i>47.9%</i>	<i>46.8%</i>	44.1%	<i>53.6%</i>	<i>60.7%</i>	<i>61.9%</i>	54.5%	<i>53.3%</i>	<i>43.8%</i>	50.6%	<i>52.5%</i>	<i>50.7</i> %
Net: Difficult	191	36	57	52	46	25	21	7	26	14	3	24	23	13	20	17
	<i>18.8%</i>	13.9%	<i>23.8%</i>	20.1%	17.8%	<i>23.0%</i>	<i>20.4%</i>	13.2%	<i>22.6%</i>	17.4%	6.4%	20.7%	17.3%	14.7%	<i>21.8%</i>	18.8%
Mean	0.52	0.64	0.48	0.43	0.51	0.45	0.32	0.59	0.57	0.78	0.83	0.46	0.44	0.56	0.51	0.49
Standard deviation	1.18	1.08	1.24	1.22	1.18	1.27	1.26	1.11	1.22	1.20	0.95	1.30	1.07	1.07	1.29	1.06
Standard error	0.040	0.071	0.083	0.081	0.080	0.126	0.137	0.149	0.125	0.149	0.165	0.123	0.098	0.124	0.141	0.121



Prepared on behalf of the TUC

Table 2

Q32. The government is proposing to freeze the pay of public sector workers outside of London and the South East. Do you think this is fair or unfair?

Base: All respondents

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
Fair
Unfair
Don't know

	Gen	der		Age			2010	Vote			V	oting Intention	า	
Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER
1016	526	490	300	413	303	255	265	180	105	203	347	82	102	68
1016	497	519	277	363	376	298	239	190	78	236	323	87	104	58
268	152	116	64	93	111	135	37	41	14	117	40	28	42	5
26.4%	30.5%	22.4%	23.1%	25.7%	29.5%	45.2%	15.3%	21.7%	17.3%	49.6%	12.3%	32.6%	40.6%	8.1%
617	287	330	166	214	237	127	177	135	58	92	244	52	57	50
60.8%	57.9%	63.6%	59.9%	59.0%	63.1%	42.5%	73.9%	70.9%	73.7%	39.0%	75.7%	59.5%	54.8%	85.6%
131	58	73	47	55	28	37	26	14	7	27	39	7	5	4
12.9%	11.6%	14.1%	17.0%	15.3%	7.4%	12.3%	10.8%	7.4%	9.0%	11.3%	12.0%	7.9%	4.6%	6.4%



Prepared on behalf of the TUC

Table 2

Q32. The government is proposing to freeze the pay of public sector workers outside of London and the South East. Do you think this is fair or unfair?

Base: All respondents

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
Fair
Unfair
Don't know

		SE	:G							Region					
Total	AB	C1	C2	DE	London	Scotland	Wales	East of England	East Midlands	North East	North West	South East	South West	West Midlands	Yorks & Humberside
1016	258	240	270	248	115	99	62	103	73	41	117	141	86	97	82
1016	255	241	259	262	108	101	55	115	79	40	115	131	92	92	88
268 <i>26.4%</i>	95 <i>37.3%</i>	53 22.1%	73 28.2%	47 17.9%	31 <i>2</i> 9.0%	19 <i>18.5%</i>	14 <i>25.4%</i>	29 <i>25.4%</i>	22 <i>27.8%</i>	9 <i>21.5%</i>	26 <i>22.8%</i>	40 <i>30.2%</i>	17 18.7%	28 <i>30.6%</i>	33 <i>37.6%</i>
617 <i>60.8%</i>	134 <i>52.7%</i>	155 <i>64.3%</i>	149 <i>57.7%</i>	179 <i>68.4%</i>	65 <i>60.0%</i>	67 <i>66.5%</i>	34 <i>61.2%</i>	75 <i>65.0%</i>	52 <i>66.5%</i>	25 <i>63.8%</i>	78 <i>68.1%</i>	66 <i>50.4%</i>	59 <i>64.7%</i>	50 <i>54.0%</i>	46 <i>51.8%</i>
131 12.9%	25 10.0%	33 13.6%	37 14.1%	36 13.7%	12 10.9%	15 15.0%	7 13.5%	11 9.6%	5 5.8%	6 14.7%	10 9.1%	26 19.5%	15 16.6%	14 15.5%	9 10.6%



Prepared on behalf of the TUC

Table 3

Q33. The government has said cutting real terms public sector wages will help boost job growth in the private sector.

Do you think this policy will help or harm regional economies outside of London and the South East?

Base: All respondents

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
Help
Neither help nor harm
Harm
Don't know

	Gen	der		Age			2010	Vote			V	oting Intentior	1	
Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER
1016	526	490	300	413	303	255	265	180	105	203	347	82	102	68
1016	497	519	277	363	376	298	239	190	78	236	323	87	104	58
158	89	70	48	47	63	91	23	20	8	76	22	16	21	5
15.6%	17.9%	13.4%	17.4%	12.9%	16.9%	30.5%	9.7%	10.4%	10.2%	32.1%	6.9%	18.2%	20.2%	8.1%
247	128	119	67	89	91	78	44	47	17	68	61	20	34	7
24.3%	25.8%	22.9%	24.0%	24.6%	24.2%	26.2%	18.3%	24.8%	21.9%	28.8%	18.9%	22.9%	32.6%	11.5%
431	213	218	117	156	158	73	143	102	44	41	202	39	40	40
42.4%	42.9%	42.0%	42.1%	43.1%	42.1%	24.6%	59.8%	53.5%	55.9%	17.2%	62.5%	45.5%	38.8%	68.3%
179	66	113	46	70	63	56	29	21	9	52	38	12	9	7
17.6%	13.4%	21.7%	16.5%	19.4%	16.8%	18.7%	12.2%	11.3%	12.0%	21.9%	11.7%	13.5%	8.4%	12.1%



Prepared on behalf of the TUC

Table 3

Q33. The government has said cutting real terms public sector wages will help boost job growth in the private sector.

Do you think this policy will help or harm regional economies outside of London and the South East?

Base: All respondents

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
Help
Neither help nor harm
Harm
Don't know

		SI	EG .							Region					
Total	AB	C1	C2	DE	London	Scotland	Wales	East of England	East Midlands	North East	North West	South East	South West	West Midlands	Yorks & Humberside
1016	258	240	270	248	115	99	62	103	73	41	117	141	86	97	82
1016	255	241	259	262	108	101	55	115	79	40	115	131	92	92	88
158 <i>15.6%</i>	58 <i>22.9%</i>	34 14.0%	35 13.6%	31 11.9%	20 18.4%	11 10.6%	11 19.3%	19 <i>16.3%</i>	8 10.6%	7 16.9%	20 17.3%	16 <i>12.5%</i>	12 13.5%	17 18.1%	18 <i>20.2%</i>
247 24.3%	59 <i>23.2%</i>	63 <i>26.0%</i>	66 <i>25.4%</i>	60 <i>22.8%</i>	25 <i>23.5%</i>	22 21.6%	10 <i>17.7%</i>	34 29.8%	24 31.0%	6 15.8%	33 <i>28.8%</i>	34 <i>25.6%</i>	18 19.1%	19 <i>20.9%</i>	22 <i>24.5%</i>
431 <i>42.4%</i>	109 <i>42.6%</i>	104 <i>43.0%</i>	103 <i>40.0%</i>	115 <i>44.1%</i>	48 <i>44.9%</i>	47 46.4%	21 <i>38.5%</i>	51 <i>44.5%</i>	38 48.1%	18 <i>44.9%</i>	50 <i>43.9%</i>	49 <i>37.1%</i>	39 <i>42.9%</i>	37 40.4%	32 36.1%
179 17.6%	29 11.4%	41 16.9%	54 21.0%	55 21.2%	14 13.2%	22 21.4%	14 24.5%	11 9.3%	8 10.3%	9 <i>22.4%</i>	11 10.0%	33 <i>24.8%</i>	22 24.5%	19 <i>20.5%</i>	17 19.1%



Prepared on behalf of the TUC

Table 4

Q34. Some groups of NHS hospitals are thinking of leaving national pay agreements so that they can pay staff such as nurses, doctors and clerical staff lower wages. Which of the following two statements is closest to your opinion?

		Gen	der		Age			2010 \	/ote			Vo	ting Intention	1	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER
Unweighted Total Weighted Total	1016	526	490	300	413	303	255	265	180	105	203	347	82	102	68
	1016	497	519	277	363	376	298	239	190	78	236	323	87	104	58
This would be unfair to the NHS staff suffering a pay cut, make it harder to recruit the best staff for local NHS hospitals and take money out of the local economy	723	339	384	189	259	275	171	198	156	57	134	271	63	60	49
	71.1%	<i>68.1%</i>	74.0%	<i>68.1%</i>	71.4%	73.2%	<i>57.3%</i>	<i>82.7%</i>	<i>82.1%</i>	72.8%	<i>56.9%</i>	<i>83.7%</i>	72.2%	<i>57.6%</i>	<i>83.9%</i>
This is a sensible way to save taxpayers money at a time when there is pressure on public finances and would make it easier for local private sector employers to compete for staff	176	109	67	53	56	67	88	21	22	13	70	25	18	35	5
	17.3%	<i>22.0%</i>	12.9%	19.3%	15.3%	17.9%	<i>29.5%</i>	9.0%	11.8%	17.1%	<i>29.8%</i>	7.9%	<i>20.8%</i>	<i>34.0%</i>	7.8%
Don't know	117	49	68	35	48	34	39	20	12	8	31	27	6	9	5
	11.5%	9.9%	13.1%	12.7%	13.3%	9.0%	13.2%	8.3%	<i>6.1%</i>	10.1%	13.3%	8.4%	7.0%	<i>8.4%</i>	8.3%



Prepared on behalf of the TUC

Table 4

Q34. Some groups of NHS hospitals are thinking of leaving national pay agreements so that they can pay staff such as nurses, doctors and clerical staff lower wages. Which of the following two statements is closest to your opinion?

			SE	G							Region					
	Total	AB	C1	C2	DE	London	Scotland	Wales	East of England	East Midlands	North East	North West	South East	South West	West Midlands	Yorks & Humberside
Unweighted Total	1016	258	240	270	248	115	99	62	103	73	41	117	141	86	97	82
Weighted Total	1016	255	241	259	262	108	101	55	115	79	40	115	131	92	92	88
This would be unfair to the NHS staff suffering a pay cut, make it harder to recruit the best staff for local NHS hospitals and take money out of the local economy	723 71.1%	165 <i>64.8%</i>	176 <i>73.2%</i>	181 <i>70.0%</i>	200 76.5%	78 72.1%	70 <i>69.5%</i>	35 <i>63.8%</i>	84 72.6%	60 <i>76.3%</i>	25 <i>63.2%</i>	80 <i>69.9%</i>	87 66.1%	73 80.1%	65 70.8%	65 <i>73.5%</i>
This is a sensible way to save taxpayers money at a time when there is pressure on public finances and would make it easier for local private sector employers to compete for staff	176 17.3%	73 28.6%	45 18.5%	34 13.2%	25 9.4%	22 20.0%	20 <i>20.2%</i>	7 12.3%	26 <i>22.</i> 4%	11 14.1%	6 15.4%	20 17.6%	24 18.0%	10 11.1%	13 14.3%	17 19.6%
Don't know	117 <i>11.5%</i>	17 <i>6.6%</i>	20 <i>8.3%</i>	43 16.7%	37 14.1%	9 7.9%	10 10.3%	13 <i>23</i> .9%	6 5.0%	8 9.7%	9 <i>21.5%</i>	14 12.5%	21 15.9%	8 <i>8.8%</i>	14 15.0%	6 <i>6.9</i> %



Prepared on behalf of the TUC

Table 5

Q35. Do you think such a move would...

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
Be good for patients?
Bad for patients?
Not make any great difference to patients?
Don't know

	Gen	der		Age			2010	Vote			V	oting Intentior	1	
Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER
1016	526	490	300	413	303	255	265	180	105	203	347	82	102	68
1016	497	519	277	363	376	298	239	190	78	236	323	87	104	58
58	41	18	35	10	13	22	14	9	9	17	15	9	11	2
5.7%	8.2%	3.4%	12.8%	2.7%	3.5%	7.3%	6.0%	4.6%	11.5%	7.1%	4.7%	10.1%	10.6%	4.2%
658	294	365	165	236	257	150	180	145	55	113	249	53	57	45
64.8%	59.1%	70.2%	59.4%	65.0%	68.5%	50.3%	75.5%	76.4%	70.9%	48.0%	77.0%	61.4%	55.3%	76.6%
184	112	72	42	69	74	94	24	27	6	76	32	18	30	5
18.1%	22.6%	13.9%	15.0%	18.9%	19.8%	31.4%	9.9%	14.3%	7.4%	32.0%	9.9%	20.5%	28.6%	9.3%
115	51	65	36	48	31	33	21	9	8	30	27	7	6	6
11.3%	10.2%	12.5%	12.8%	13.3%	8.3%	10.9%	8.6%	4.7%	10.2%	12.8%	8.5%	8.0%	5.6%	9.9%



Prepared on behalf of the TUC

Table 5

Q35. Do you think such a move would...

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
Be good for patients?
Bad for patients?
Not make any great difference to patients?
Don't know

		SE	G							Region					
Total	AB	C1	C2	DE	London	Scotland	Wales	East of England	East Midlands	North East	North West	South East	South West	West Midlands	Yorks & Humberside
1016	258	240	270	248	115	99	62	103	73	41	117	141	86	97	82
1016	255	241	259	262	108	101	55	115	79	40	115	131	92	92	88
58	20	16	11	12	14	2	-	7	5	5	3	10	4	3	5
<i>5.7%</i>	7.7%	<i>6.6%</i>	<i>4.2%</i>	<i>4.7%</i>	12.8%	1.8%		6.2%	6.4%	12.7%	2.7%	<i>7.9%</i>	4.1%	3.0%	<i>6.2%</i>
658	152	159	159	188	65	66	33	78	57	24	75	84	65	53	60
<i>64.8%</i>	<i>59.9%</i>	<i>65.9%</i>	<i>61.3%</i>	<i>71.9%</i>	<i>59.9%</i>	<i>65.1%</i>	<i>58.9%</i>	<i>67.9%</i>	71.9%	59.1%	<i>65.2%</i>	<i>63.7%</i>	71.4%	<i>57.1%</i>	<i>68.5%</i>
184	62	47	51	24	23	23	13	19	9	3	27	23	13	20	12
18.1%	<i>24.3%</i>	19.5%	19.8%	9.3%	21.0%	<i>22.5%</i>	<i>23.3%</i>	<i>16.7%</i>	11.3%	<i>6.7%</i>	23.4%	17.4%	14.7%	<i>21.7%</i>	13.8%
115	21	19	38	37	7	11	10	11	8	9	10	14	9	17	10
<i>11.3</i> %	<i>8.1%</i>	<i>8.1%</i>	14.7%	14.1%	6.3%	10.6%	<i>17.8%</i>	9.2%	10.4%	<i>21.5%</i>	<i>8.8%</i>	11.0%	<i>9.8%</i>	18.2%	11.6%



Prepared on behalf of the TUC

Table 6

Don't know

Q36. Government proposals would mean schools could choose to leave national pay agreements and pay teachers lower wages. Which of the following two statements is closest to your opinion?

Base: All respondents

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
This would be unfair to teaching staff suffering a pay cut, make it harder to recruit the best teachers for schools and take money out of the local economy
This is a sensible way to save taxpayers money at a time when there is pressure on public finances and would make it easier for local private sector employers to compete for staff

	Gen	ider		Age			2010	Vote			Ve	oting Intention	n	
Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER
1016	526	490	300	413	303	255	265	180	105	203	347	82	102	68
1016	497	519	277	363	376	298	239	190	78	236	323	87	104	58
618 <i>60.8%</i>	279 56.1%	339 <i>65.4%</i>	174 <i>62</i> .9%	218 <i>60.1%</i>	226 60.0%	143 <i>48.1%</i>	183 <i>76.4%</i>	143 <i>75.3%</i>	53 <i>67.4%</i>	102 <i>43.2%</i>	254 78.6%	57 <i>65.2%</i>	55 <i>53.1%</i>	44 75.1%
252 24.8%	140 28.2%	112 21.7%	65 <i>23.3%</i>	83 <i>23.0%</i>	104 <i>27.8%</i>	113 <i>37.8%</i>	37 15.3%	29 15.3%	17 21.4%	98 41.6%	43 13.4%	19 <i>22.2</i> %	41 39.1%	7 12.3%
146 14.3%	78 15.8%	67 13.0%	38 13.8%	62 17.0%	46 12.2%	42 14.1%	20 8.3%	18 <i>9.4%</i>	9 11.2%	36 15.2%	26 8.0%	11 12.6%	8 <i>7.8%</i>	7 12.6%



Prepared on behalf of the TUC

Table 6

Q36. Government proposals would mean schools could choose to leave national pay agreements and pay teachers lower wages. Which of the following two statements is closest to your opinion?

			SE	:G							Region					
	Total	AB	C1	C2	DE	London	Scotland	Wales	East of England	East Midlands	North East	North West	South East	South West	West Midlands	Yorks & Humberside
Unweighted Total	1016	258	240	270	248	115	99	62	103	73	41	117	141	86	97	82
Weighted Total	1016	255	241	259	262	108	101	55	115	79	40	115	131	92	92	88
This would be unfair to teaching staff suffering a pay cut, make it harder to recruit the best teachers for schools and take money out of the local economy	618 <i>60.8%</i>	159 <i>62.5%</i>	153 <i>63.4%</i>	149 <i>57.5%</i>	157 60.1%	74 68.4%	53 53.0%	33 59.3%	71 <i>61.6</i> %	46 <i>58.8%</i>	19 <i>48.4%</i>	66 <i>57.3%</i>	80 <i>60.7%</i>	63 <i>68.3%</i>	55 <i>59.7%</i>	58 66.1%
This is a sensible way to save taxpayers money at a time when there is pressure on public finances and would make it easier for local private sector employers to compete for staff	252 24.8%	73 <i>28.5%</i>	57 <i>23.8%</i>	62 <i>23.8%</i>	61 <i>23.3%</i>	23 21.6%	25 <i>2</i> 4.4%	12 <i>21.9%</i>	36 31.4%	26 <i>32.9%</i>	11 <i>27.6%</i>	31 <i>26.7%</i>	30 <i>22.5%</i>	17 19.0%	22 24.2%	19 <i>21.9%</i>
Don't know	146 <i>14.3%</i>	23 9.0%	31 <i>12.8%</i>	48 18.7%	44 16.6%	11 9.9%	23 22.6%	10 18.9%	8 7.1%	7 8.3%	10 <i>24.0%</i>	18 <i>16.0%</i>	22 16.8%	12 <i>12.6%</i>	15 16.1%	11 12.0%



Prepared on behalf of the TUC

Table 7

Q37. Do you think such a move would...

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
Be good for pupils?
Bad for pupils?
Not make any great difference to pupils?
Don't know

	Gen	der		Age			2010	Vote			Ve	oting Intention	1	
Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER
1016	526	490	300	413	303	255	265	180	105	203	347	82	102	68
1016	497	519	277	363	376	298	239	190	78	236	323	87	104	58
65	44	21	30	16	19	24	13	10	7	18	14	8	13	2
6.4%	8.8%	4.0%	10.7%	4.5%	5.1%	8.1%	5.6%	5.2%	9.0%	7.6%	4.4%	9.5%	12.1%	3.1%
564	252	312	144	212	208	122	173	132	48	89	242	45	48	38
55.5%	50.7%	60.2%	52.1%	58.4%	55.3%	41.1%	72.2%	69.6%	61.5%	37.6%	74.9%	52.5%	46.1%	65.0%
246	133	114	64	79	103	109	33	32	14	92	39	21	36	11
24.2%	26.7%	21.9%	23.1%	21.9%	27.3%	36.4%	13.9%	16.7%	18.0%	38.9%	12.1%	24.7%	35.0%	18.2%
141	69	72	39	55	46	43	20	16	9	37	28	12	7	8
13.9%	13.8%	13.9%	14.2%	15.2%	12.3%	14.4%	8.3%	8.5%	11.5%	15.9%	8.5%	13.4%	6.8%	13.7%



Prepared on behalf of the TUC

Table 7

Q37. Do you think such a move would...

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
Be good for pupils?
Bad for pupils?
Not make any great difference to pupils?
Don't know

		SI	EG .							Region					
								East of	East					West	Yorks &
Total	AB	C1	C2	DE	London	Scotland	Wales	England	Midlands	North East	North West	South East	South West	Midlands	Humberside
1016	258	240	270	248	115	99	62	103	73	41	117	141	86	97	82
1016	255	241	259	262	108	101	55	115	79	40	115	131	92	92	88
65	23	17	15	10	9	5	1	11	2	7	8	8	2	6	5
6.4%	8.8%	7.1%	5.9%	3.8%	8.2%	5.2%	1.9%	9.2%	2.5%	18.1%	6.9%	6.4%	2.4%	6.7%	5.9%
564	150	134	135	145	61	49	34	68	49	16	61	82	54	44	46
55.5%	59.1%	55.6%	52.2%	55.3%	56.7%	48.2%	61.6%	59.1%	62.2%	41.5%	52.8%	62.1%	59.5%	47.6%	52.6%
246	51	59	64	72	29	26	13	28	21	8	26	23	24	24	25
24.2%	20.0%	24.3%	24.9%	27.5%	26.6%	26.1%	23.5%	24.2%	27.1%	20.1%	22.6%	17.3%	26.0%	25.5%	28.3%
141	31	31	44	35	9	21	7	9	6	8	20	19	11	19	12
13.9%	12.1%	13.0%	17.0%	13.3%	8.6%	20.5%	13.0%	7.5%	8.3%	20.3%	17.7%	14.2%	12.1%	20.2%	13.2%



Table 8
Q38. How should MPs in less prosperous regions, where their public sector constituents' real pay would be cut, respond to these Treasury proposals?
Base: All respondents

Unweighted Total												
Weighted Total												
They should oppose these proposals on behalf of their constituents, even if it means going against their party's policy												
They should support these proposals even though it means the wages of many of their constituents will be cut												
Don't know												

	Gen	der		Age			2010	Vote			V	oting Intentio	n	
Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER
1016	526	490	300	413	303	255	265	180	105	203	347	82	102	68
1016	497	519	277	363	376	298	239	190	78	236	323	87	104	58
579	293	285	141	210	228	122	159	141	54	91	229	53	61	42
56.9%	59.0%	54.9%	50.8%	57.8%	60.6%	41.1%	66.6%	74.1%	69.2%	38.5%	70.9%	61.7%	58.7%	71.7%
234 23.0%	124 24.9%	110 <i>21.2%</i>	70 25.3%	75 20.7%	89 <i>23.7%</i>	119 <i>39.8%</i>	42 17.4%	28 14.7%	12 14.8%	94 39.8%	46 14.1%	19 <i>21.4%</i>	35 <i>33.6%</i>	7 12.6%
203 20.0%	80 16.0%	124 <i>23.8%</i>	66 <i>23.9%</i>	78 <i>21.5%</i>	59 15.7%	57 19.1%	38 16.0%	21 11.1%	13 16.0%	51 <i>21.8</i> %	48 14.9%	15 16.9%	8 7.8%	9 15.7%



Prepared on behalf of the TUC

Table 8

Q38. How should MPs in less prosperous regions, where their public sector constituents' real pay would be cut, respond to these Treasury proposals?

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
They should oppose these proposals on behalf of their constituents, even if it means going against their party's policy
They should support these proposals even though it means the wages of many of their constituents will be cut
Don't know

		SE	:G							Region					_
Total	AB	C1	C2	DE	London	Scotland	Wales	East of England	East Midlands	North East	North West	South East	South West	West Midlands	Yorks & Humberside
1016	258	240	270	248	115	99	62	103	73	41	117	141	86	97	82
1016	255	241	259	262	108	101	55	115	79	40	115	131	92	92	88
579 <i>56.9%</i>	159 <i>62.5%</i>	136 <i>56.4%</i>	138 <i>53.5%</i>	145 <i>55.5%</i>	60 <i>55.6%</i>	64 <i>63.1%</i>	34 <i>60.7%</i>	69 <i>60.3%</i>	48 <i>61.5%</i>	16 39.1%	69 <i>60.2%</i>	65 <i>49.5%</i>	58 <i>63.6%</i>	46 49.9%	49 <i>56.0%</i>
234 23.0%	67 <i>26.2%</i>	56 23.1%	55 21.3%	57 21.6%	34 <i>31.2%</i>	15 15.0%	14 <i>25.0%</i>	30 <i>26.0%</i>	16 <i>20.5</i> %	11 <i>27.8%</i>	23 20.1%	29 <i>21.7</i> %	16 17.9%	23 25.1%	23 <i>26.3%</i>
203 20.0%	29 11.3%	49 <i>20.5</i> %	65 <i>25.2%</i>	60 <i>22.9%</i>	14 13.2%	22 21.9%	8 14.3%	16 <i>13.7%</i>	14 18.0%	13 <i>33.1%</i>	23 19.7%	38 <i>28.7%</i>	17 18.5%	23 25.0%	16 <i>17.7</i> %



Table 9

Q39. Who out of the following do you TRUST on what IMPACT regional pay for public sector workers will have for services and the economy?

Base: All respondents

Unweighted Total	
Weighted Total	
Politicians	
Trade unions	
Political think tanks	
Academics	
Media	
None of the above	

	Gender		Age				2010	Vote		Voting Intention					
Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	
1016	526	490	300	413	303	255	265	180	105	203	347	82	102	68	
1016	497	519	277	363	376	298	239	190	78	236	323	87	104	58	
93	55	38	48	24	22	34	25	15	8	37	28	11	9	3	
9.1%	11.1%	7.3%	17.2%	6.5%	5.7%	11.2%	10.4%	7.9%	10.2%	15.5%	8.7%	12.4%	8.2%	5.4%	
281	147	133	94	98	89	40	109	60	26	23	145	23	21	18	
27.6%	29.7%	25.7%	33.8%	27.0%	23.7%	13.4%	45.8%	31.4%	33.1%	9.8%	44.9%	26.5%	20.3%	30.2%	
117	61	55	43	26	47	29	30	24	8	24	38	16	8	5	
11.5%	12.3%	10.7%	15.7%	7.2%	12.6%	9.7%	12.4%	12.6%	9.6%	10.3%	11.7%	18.2%	7.9%	8.0%	
311	162	149	110	95	107	73	87	89	16	57	130	38	26	17	
30.6%	32.7%	28.7%	39.7%	26.1%	28.4%	24.5%	36.3%	46.8%	20.4%	24.3%	40.1%	44.3%	24.7%	29.4%	
97	46	51	47	26	24	22	29	15	12	17	41	9	12	4	
9.5%	9.2%	9.8%	17.0%	7.1%	6.3%	7.3%	12.3%	8.0%	15.0%	7.1%	12.6%	10.8%	11.4%	6.3%	
453	205	248	91	171	192	161	75	64	36	131	94	31	53	30	
44.6%	41.4%	47.7%	32.7%	47.1%	51.0%	54.1%	31.4%	33.9%	45.7%	55.5%	29.1%	35.7%	50.7%	50.7%	



Table 9

Q39. Who out of the following do you TRUST on what IMPACT regional pay for public sector workers will have for services and the economy?

Base: All respondents

	SEG					Region											
	Total	AB	C1	C2	DE	London	Scotland	Wales	East of England	East Midlands	North East	North West	South East	South West	West Midlands	Yorks & Humberside	
Unweighted Total	1016	258	240	270	248	115	99	62	103	73	41	117	141	86	97	82	
Weighted Total	1016	255	241	259	262	108	101	55	115	79	40	115	131	92	92	88	
Politicians	93	26	22	22	23	18	2	5	17	6	4	8	12	2	10	8	
	<i>9.1%</i>	10.2%	9.0%	8.6%	<i>8.7%</i>	<i>16.6%</i>	2.1%	9.4%	14.4%	7.8%	10.6%	7.2%	<i>9.3%</i>	2.4%	10.8%	9.0%	
Trade unions	281	77	77	63	64	34	25	18	26	23	10	36	33	24	30	22	
	<i>27.6%</i>	30.1%	32.0%	<i>24.2</i> %	<i>24.6</i> %	31.9%	24.8%	<i>32.2%</i>	<i>22.5%</i>	<i>2</i> 9.6%	<i>24.6%</i>	<i>31.0%</i>	<i>25.0%</i>	<i>26.4%</i>	<i>32.6%</i>	24.8%	
Political think tanks	117	45	26	27	20	21	14	8	12	7	4	11	11	11	7	11	
	11.5%	17.5%	10.7%	10.3%	7.6%	19.0%	14.2%	14.6%	10.3%	8.7%	10.2%	9.3%	<i>8.7%</i>	11.6%	8.0%	12.3%	
Academics	311	111	72	66	61	51	28	12	43	17	11	32	40	28	27	21	
	<i>30.6%</i>	<i>43.8%</i>	30.1%	<i>25.6%</i>	<i>23.3%</i>	<i>47.4%</i>	<i>27.9%</i>	<i>21.8%</i>	<i>37.6%</i>	<i>21.6%</i>	<i>27.6%</i>	<i>28.3%</i>	<i>30.3%</i>	<i>30.5%</i>	29.4%	<i>24.0%</i>	
Media	97	26	20	30	21	20	9	4	10	9	5	8	12	5	8	7	
	<i>9.5%</i>	10.2%	<i>8.4%</i>	11.6%	7.9%	18.5%	<i>8.9%</i>	7.7%	<i>8.4%</i>	11.2%	12.2%	7.1%	<i>9.2%</i>	5.4%	9.1%	7.5%	
None of the above	453	86	105	128	134	32	50	25	49	37	18	47	68	42	41	44	
	<i>44.6%</i>	<i>33.6%</i>	<i>43.7%</i>	<i>49.5%</i>	<i>51.4%</i>	<i>2</i> 9.5%	49.3%	45.4%	<i>42.7%</i>	46.9%	<i>45.7</i> %	41.4%	<i>52.0%</i>	45.4%	44.0%	50.0%	



Prepared on behalf of the TUC

Table 10

Q40. Do you think the government should go ahead with OR drop plans to introduce regional pay for public sector workers, paying workers relatively more in more prosperous areas and relatively less in less prosperous areas?

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
The government should go ahead with these plans
The government should drop these plans
Don't know

	Gender		Age				2010	Vote		Voting Intention						
Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER		
1016	526	490	300	413	303	255	265	180	105	203	347	82	102	68		
1016	497	519	277	363	376	298	239	190	78	236	323	87	104	58		
197	117	80	64	65	68	99	27	32	11	80	30	22	35	4		
19.4%	23.6%	15.4%	23.1%	17.9%	18.1%	33.1%	11.3%	16.7%	13.6%	33.7%	9.3%	25.8%	34.1%	7.5%		
659	321	338	157	234	268	152	181	143	59	118	255	57	62	46		
64.9%	64.7%	65.1%	56.5%	64.6%	71.4%	51.1%	75.8%	75.2%	75.5%	50.1%	78.8%	66.2%	60.1%	78.8%		
159	58	101	57	63	39	47	31	15	9	38	38	7	6	8		
15.7%	11.8%	19.4%	20.4%	17.5%	10.5%	15.7%	12.9%	8.1%	10.9%	16.2%	11.9%	7.9%	5.8%	13.7%		



Prepared on behalf of the TUC

Table 10

Q40. Do you think the government should go ahead with OR drop plans to introduce regional pay for public sector workers, paying workers relatively more in more prosperous areas and relatively less in less prosperous areas?

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
The government should go ahead with these plans
The government should drop these plans
Don't know

		SI	EG .		Region												
Total	AB	C1	C2	DE	London	Scotland	Wales	East of England	East Midlands	North East	North West	South East	South West	West Midlands	Yorks & Humberside		
1016	258	240	270	248	115	99	62	103	73	41	117	141	86	97	82		
1016	255	241	259	262	108	101	55	115	79	40	115	131	92	92	88		
197 19.4%	74 29.0%	48 19.8%	43 16.5%	33 12.5%	30 <i>27.8%</i>	7 7.3%	5 8.2%	31 <i>26.5%</i>	14 17.7%	8 19.3%	23 19.9%	33 <i>24.8%</i>	11 12.5%	20 <i>21.5%</i>	16 <i>18.5%</i>		
659 <i>64.9%</i>	149 <i>58.6%</i>	156 <i>64.6%</i>	172 <i>66.4%</i>	183 <i>69.8%</i>	60 <i>55.5%</i>	77 76.4%	41 <i>73.3%</i>	74 64.1%	57 <i>72.2%</i>	24 59.2%	75 <i>65.5%</i>	71 <i>54.3%</i>	65 70.6%	54 <i>58.8%</i>	62 <i>70.7%</i>		
159 <i>15.7%</i>	32 12.4%	38 15.6%	44 17.1%	46 17.6%	18 <i>16.7%</i>	16 16.4%	10 18.5%	11 9.4%	8 10.1%	9 21.5%	17 14.6%	28 <i>20.9%</i>	15 16.9%	18 <i>19.7%</i>	10 10.8%		

