Public Sector and Outsourcing Issues Poll

06/05/2014

Prepared on behalf of We Own It



Survation.

Methodology

Fieldwork Dates

2nd to 3rd May 2014

Data Collection Method

The survey was conducted via online panel. Invitations to complete surveys were sent out to members of the panel. Differential response rates from different demographic groups were taken into account.

Population Sampled

All residents aged 18+ in Great Britain

Sample Size

1.005

Data Weighting

Data were weighted to the profile of all adults aged 18+. Data were weighted by age, sex, region, household income, education and past vote. Targets for the weighted data were derived from Office of National Statistics 2011 Census data and the results of the 2010 General Election.

Margin of Error

Because only a sample of the full population was interviewed, all results are subject to margin of error, meaning that not all differences are statistically significant. For example, in a question where 50% (the worst case scenario as far as margin of error is concerned) gave a particular answer, with a sample of 1,005 it is 95% certain that the 'true' value will fall within the range of 3.1% from the sample result. Subsamples from the cross-breaks will be subject to higher margin of error, conclusions drawn from crossbreaks with very small sub-samples should be treated with caution.



Question presentation

All data tables shown in full below, in order and wording put to respondents, including but not limited to all tables relating to published data and all relevant tables preceding them. Tables for demographic questions might not be included but these should be clear from the cross-breaks on published tables. In all questions where the responses are a list of parties, names or statements, these will typically have been displayed to respondents in a randomising order. The only questions which would not have had randomising responses would be those in which there was a natural order to maintain – e.g. a scale from "strongly agree" to "strongly disagree", a list of numbers from 0 to 10 or questions which had factual rather than opinion-related answers such as demographic information. "Other", "Don't know" and "Refused" responses are not randomised.

Not all questions will have necessarily been asked to all respondents – this is because they may be follow-on questions from previous questions or only appropriate to certain demographic groups. Lower response counts should make clear where this has occurred.

Data were analysed and weighted by Survation and presented by Patrick Briône and Damian Lyons Lowe.

For further information please contact; Damian Lyons Lowe Chief Executive Survation Ltd

0203 142 7640 damian.lyonslowe@survation.com

If you are interested in commissioning a poll from us, please contact researchteam@survation.com for a prompt response to your enquiry and we'll call you right back with the appropriate person.

Sign up for our press releases at http://eepurl.com/mOK8T

Follow us on twitter:

www.twitter.com/survation for our regular survey work and political polling www.twitter.com/damiansurvation for Damian Lyons Lowe's twitter feed Survation are a member of The British Polling Council and abide by its rules: http://www.britishpollingcouncil.org
Survation Ltd Registered in England & Wales Number 07143509



6 May 2014

Table 3 Q3. There is a current debate over which public services should continue to be run by the government and which should be given to private companies to run on the government's behalf ("outsourced"). For each of the following services, do you think it is appropriate for the government to outsource them to be run by private (for profit) companies?

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Appropriate
Not appropriate
Don't know
SIGMA

Total	Gen	nder		Αç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	:G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
284	155	128	83	114	30	56	98	64	31	14	80	58	18	54	78	53	65	70	96	12	12	31	104	57	67	52	63	106	62
28.2%	31.8%	24.8%	28.9%	32.1%	20.1%	26.6%	36.9%	29.9%	18.3%	20.2%	45.3%	27.4%	35.5%	25.0%	26.7%	26.0%	32.9%	29.6%	26.1%	12.5%	21.3%	36.0%	28.2%	35.8%	28.8%	33.3%	25.7%	27.6%	28.5%
559	277	282	131	200	98	131	141	114	122	52	80	123	26	141	186	132	93	130	203	60	21	43	223	74	134	77	148	216	117
55.6%	56.8%	54.5%	45.3%	56.3%	64.8%	62.1%	53.1%	53.3%	72.0%	74.7%	45.0%	57.7%	50.0%	65.7%	63.9%	64.8%	46.8%	55.3%	55.4%	60.4%	39.3%	50.3%	60.4%	46.0%	57.6%	49.0%	60.3%	56.3%	53.8%
162	56	107	75	41	23	24	27	36	17	4	17	32	7	20	27	19	40	35	68	27	21	12	42	29	31	28	35	62	38
16.2%	11.4%	20.7%	25.8%	11.6%	15.1%	11.3%	10.0%	16.8%	9.7%	5.1%	9.8%	15.0%	14.5%	9.4%	9.4%	9.1%	20.3%	15.1%	18.6%	27.1%	39.3%	13.7%	11.4%	18.2%	13.5%	17.7%	14.1%	16.1%	17.6%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



6 May 2014

Table 4

Q3. There is a current debate over which public services should continue to be run by the government and which should be given to private companies to run on the government's behalf ("outsourced"). For each of the following services, do you think it is appropriate for the government to outsource them to be run by private (for profit) companies? Electronic tagging of prisoners
Base : All Respondents

	Total	Ger	nder		A	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Inten	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
Appropriate	388	176	212	112	148	50	78	122	79	61	24	100	85	34	71	102	81	74	104	130	18	19	36	152	70	92	71	89	148	81
	38.6%	36.0%	41.1%	38.8%	41.7%	33.5%	36.9%	45.6%	36.8%	35.9%	33.7%	56.7%	39.8%	65.6%	33.1%	35.0%	40.0%	37.1%	44.1%	35.3%	18.6%	34.7%	41.8%	41.2%	43.6%	39.5%	45.1%	36.1%	38.5%	37.2%
Not appropriate	435	238	198	99	160	71	105	110	100	94	37	48	96	14	127	162	96	83	94	161	63	16	34	163	56	100	56	117	159	104
	43.3%	48.7%	38.3%	34.4%	45.2%	47.0%	49.9%	41.4%	46.9%	55.0%	53.0%	27.1%	45.0%	27.3%	59.2%	55.6%	47.3%	41.9%	40.0%	43.9%	63.1%	29.8%	39.9%	44.2%	35.0%	43.2%	35.8%	47.5%	41.4%	47.6%
Don't know	181	75	107	77	47	29	28	35	35	15	9	29	32	4	17	27	26	42	37	77	18	19	16	54	34	40	30	41	77	33
	18.0%	15.3%	20.6%	26.7%	13.2%	19.5%	13.2%	13.0%	16.3%	9.1%	13.3%	16.2%	15.1%	7.2%	7.7%	9.4%	12.6%	21.0%	15.9%	20.8%	18.3%	35.5%	18.3%	14.6%	21.4%	17.3%	19.1%	16.5%	20.2%	15.2%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



6 May 2014

Table 5 Q3. There is a current debate over which public services should continue to be run by the government and which should be given to private companies to run on the government's behalf ("outsourced"). For each of the following services, do you think it is appropriate for the government to outsource them to be run by private (for profit) companies?

Accommodation for asylum seekers Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Appropriate

Not appropriate Don't know

SIGMA

Total	Gen	der		Αç	je			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	:G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
369	193	176	103	141	49	75	125	84	64	22	91	79	24	83	112	86	67	102	113	32	14	38	142	55	86	69	81	145	73
36.7%	39.5%	34.1%	35.7%	39.8%	32.8%	35.6%	47.0%	39.2%	37.9%	30.9%	51.3%	37.2%	46.3%	38.7%	38.5%	42.4%	34.0%	43.4%	30.8%	32.0%	25.8%	43.9%	38.4%	34.5%	37.2%	44.1%	32.8%	37.9%	33.6%
447	226	221	100	168	74	105	105	90	89	42	64	102	20	106	141	90	84	95	178	50	19	32	170	68	105	60	119	168	101
44.5%	46.4%	42.7%	34.6%	47.3%	49.1%	50.0%	39.6%	41.9%	52.6%	60.1%	35.9%	47.9%	38.8%	49.1%	48.4%	44.2%	42.2%	40.3%	48.3%	50.6%	34.8%	37.1%	46.1%	42.4%	45.3%	38.1%	48.3%	43.6%	46.2%
189	69	120	86	46	27	30	36	41	16	6	23	32	8	26	38	27	47	38	77	17	21	16	57	37	41	28	46	71	44
18.8%	14.1%	23.3%	29.7%	12.9%	18.1%	14.4%	13.4%	19.0%	9.5%	8.9%	12.8%	14.9%	15.0%	12.1%	13.1%	13.3%	23.9%	16.3%	20.9%	17.4%	39.3%	18.9%	15.5%	23.1%	17.5%	17.8%	18.8%	18.5%	20.2%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Table 6

Q3. There is a current debate over which public services should continue to be run by the government and which should be given to private companies to run on the government's behalf ("outsourced"). For each of the following services, do you think it is appropriate for the government to outsource them to be run by private (for profit) companies? GP services

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
Appropriate
Not appropriate
Don't know
SIGMA

Total	Gen	nder		Αç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Inten	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	ality	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
239	115	123	72	104	30	33	81	47	34	13	68	54	17	33	49	53	44	63	79	16	6	25	90	53	49	44	60	71	64
23.8%	23.6%	23.9%	25.0%	29.3%	19.6%	15.7%	30.4%	21.9%	19.7%	18.4%	38.3%	25.5%	32.8%	15.2%	17.0%	26.1%	22.3%	26.6%	21.5%	16.2%	10.5%	29.5%	24.3%	33.0%	21.0%	27.9%	24.4%	18.5%	29.4%
623	317	306	150	216	97	160	160	138	125	52	84	134	29	170	222	136	117	135	234	67	29	49	237	79	158	92	151	256	123
62.0%	64.9%	59.2%	51.9%	60.7%	64.6%	76.2%	60.1%	64.5%	73.3%	73.9%	47.7%	63.2%	56.0%	79.0%	76.2%	67.1%	58.8%	57.4%	63.8%	67.7%	52.9%	57.6%	64.3%	49.3%	68.0%	58.9%	61.6%	66.6%	56.6%
143	56	87	67	35	24	17	25	29	12	5	25	24	6	12	20	14	37	38	54	16	20	11	42	29	26	21	35	57	31
14.2%	11.4%	16.9%	23.1%	10.0%	15.8%	8.1%	9.5%	13.6%	6.9%	7.8%	14.0%	11.3%	11.2%	5.7%	6.8%	6.9%	18.8%	16.0%	14.7%	16.1%	36.6%	12.8%	11.4%	17.8%	11.1%	13.2%	14.1%	14.9%	14.0%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Table 7

Q3. There is a current debate over which public services should continue to be run by the government and which should be given to private companies to run on the government's behalf ("outsourced"). For each of the following services, do you think it is appropriate for the government to outsource them to be run by private (for profit) companies? Emergency services e g ambulances Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
Appropriate
Not appropriate
Don't know
SIGMA

Total	Gen	der		Αç	je			2010 \	/ote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	ality	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
207	94	114	67	81	32	28	68	45	25	10	58	46	11	33	50	33	39	54	81	14	5	19	81	48	40	41	52	75	39
20.6%	19.2%	22.0%	23.1%	22.9%	21.0%	13.1%	25.6%	20.8%	14.4%	14.3%	33.0%	21.6%	22.2%	15.6%	17.2%	16.2%	19.6%	23.0%	22.2%	14.3%	9.1%	22.8%	22.0%	29.8%	17.1%	26.1%	21.2%	19.5%	18.0%
656	336	320	151	239	99	167	178	138	134	54	96	139	34	171	223	151	125	147	232	71	31	56	240	84	169	95	160	255	146
65.3%	68.8%	61.9%	52.3%	67.3%	66.0%	79.1%	66.7%	64.5%	78.7%	77.6%	54.1%	65.3%	66.6%	79.6%	76.8%	74.5%	62.8%	62.4%	63.1%	71.4%	56.5%	65.1%	65.2%	52.4%	72.9%	60.4%	64.9%	66.4%	67.2%
142	59	83	71	35	20	16	21	31	12	6	23	28	6	10	17	19	35	34	54	14	19	10	47	29	23	21	34	54	32
14.1%	12.0%	16.1%	24.6%	9.8%	13.0%	7.8%	7.7%	14.7%	6.9%	8.1%	12.8%	13.0%	11.1%	4.8%	6.0%	9.3%	17.6%	14.5%	14.7%	14.4%	34.4%	12.1%	12.8%	17.8%	9.9%	13.5%	13.9%	14.1%	14.8%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Table 8

Q3. There is a current debate over which public services should continue to be run by the government and which should be given to private companies to run on the government's behalf ("outsourced"). For each of the following services, do you think it is appropriate for the government to outsource them to be run by private (for profit) companies? Non-emergency police services

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
Appropriate
Not appropriate
Don't know
SIGMA

Total	Ger	nder		Αç	je			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	ality	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
342	183	158	96	125	55	65	113	68	56	24	89	73	27	70	98	77	65	91	108	24	11	30	148	55	73	63	68	139	72
34.0%	37.6%	30.6%	33.3%	35.1%	36.8%	31.0%	42.5%	32.0%	33.0%	35.0%	50.3%	34.1%	51.9%	32.7%	33.9%	38.2%	32.8%	38.6%	29.4%	24.1%	20.1%	35.4%	40.2%	34.1%	31.5%	40.0%	27.6%	36.2%	33.0%
489	231	258	121	189	68	111	116	117	99	39	62	117	21	116	152	105	93	101	189	58	25	41	166	67	127	68	131	177	113
48.6%	47.4%	49.8%	42.0%	53.2%	44.8%	52.7%	43.5%	54.8%	58.2%	55.9%	34.9%	54.8%	41.2%	54.0%	52.2%	51.6%	47.0%	43.0%	51.3%	58.8%	45.7%	48.0%	45.1%	41.9%	54.7%	43.3%	53.2%	46.1%	51.8%
175	73	101	71	41	28	34	37	28	15	6	26	24	4	29	40	21	40	43	71	17	19	14	54	39	32	26	47	68	33
17.4%	15.0%	19.6%	24.7%	11.6%	18.4%	16.3%	14.0%	13.2%	8.8%	9.0%	14.8%	11.1%	6.9%	13.3%	13.9%	10.2%	20.2%	18.3%	19.2%	17.1%	34.2%	16.6%	14.7%	24.1%	13.8%	16.7%	19.2%	17.7%	15.2%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



6 May 2014

Table 9 Q3. There is a current debate over which public services should continue to be run by the government and which should be given to private companies to run on the government's behalf ("outsourced"). For each of the following services, do you think it is appropriate for the government to outsource them to be run by private (for profit) companies? Equipment and support services for the armed forces

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
Appropriate
Not appropriate
Don't know
SIGMA

Total	Gen	der		Αç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	ality	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
321	162	159	98	113	46	64	99	62	54	23	89	70	22	62	84	63	63	80	115	15	20	31	134	56	66	58	71	111	81
32.0%	33.3%	30.8%	33.8%	32.0%	30.7%	30.4%	37.1%	28.9%	31.9%	32.5%	50.4%	32.7%	42.9%	28.7%	28.8%	31.2%	31.8%	34.2%	31.2%	14.9%	36.5%	36.1%	36.4%	35.2%	28.2%	37.2%	29.0%	28.9%	37.0%
527	268	259	108	202	86	130	140	122	97	42	70	115	24	140	182	120	97	117	192	65	15	40	193	72	136	71	137	211	107
52.4%	54.9%	50.1%	37.5%	56.9%	57.2%	61.9%	52.6%	56.8%	57.0%	59.8%	39.5%	54.2%	47.5%	65.0%	62.5%	59.0%	48.8%	49.8%	52.3%	65.7%	27.0%	47.1%	52.4%	45.1%	58.7%	45.5%	55.6%	55.0%	49.2%
157	58	99	83	39	18	16	28	31	19	5	18	28	5	14	25	20	38	38	61	19	20	14	41	32	30	27	38	62	30
15.6%	11.8%	19.2%	28.7%	11.1%	12.1%	7.7%	10.3%	14.3%	11.1%	7.7%	10.1%	13.1%	9.6%	6.3%	8.7%	9.8%	19.4%	16.0%	16.5%	19.4%	36.4%	16.8%	11.2%	19.7%	13.1%	17.4%	15.3%	16.1%	13.8%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Table 10

Q3. There is a current debate over which public services should continue to be run by the government and which should be given to private companies to run on the government's behalf ("outsourced"). For each of the following services, do you think it is appropriate for the government to outsource them to be run by private (for profit) companies? Immigration detention centres

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Appropriate
Not appropriate
Don't know

Total	Gen	der		Αç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
332	180	152	97	132	40	64	105	70	61	18	88	68	25	67	94	75	71	77	109	16	7	39	130	68	71	59	79	123	72
33.1%	36.9%	29.4%	33.5%	37.1%	26.6%	30.2%	39.5%	32.9%	36.0%	26.0%	49.5%	32.1%	48.4%	31.3%	32.3%	37.1%	35.7%	32.8%	29.6%	15.8%	12.6%	45.7%	35.3%	42.6%	30.7%	37.3%	32.0%	31.9%	33.2%
496	243	254	112	183	85	116	129	108	87	45	69	114	19	128	166	102	86	119	188	66	26	30	187	60	123	69	124	195	108
49.4%	49.7%	49.0%	38.9%	51.4%	56.7%	55.0%	48.6%	50.4%	51.3%	64.3%	39.1%	53.8%	37.2%	59.7%	57.1%	50.3%	43.3%	50.5%	51.3%	66.7%	48.9%	35.4%	50.7%	37.1%	52.9%	44.1%	50.4%	50.7%	49.7%
177	65	111	80	41	25	31	32	36	21	7	20	30	7	19	31	26	42	39	70	17	21	16	52	33	38	29	43	67	37
17.6%	13.4%	21.5%	27.6%	11.4%	16.7%	14.8%	11.9%	16.6%	12.6%	9.7%	11.4%	14.1%	14.4%	9.1%	10.6%	12.6%	20.9%	16.7%	19.1%	17.5%	38.5%	18.9%	14.0%	20.3%	16.3%	18.6%	17.6%	17.4%	17.2%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



6 May 2014

Table 11

Q3. There is a current debate over which public services should continue to be run by the government and which should be given to private companies to run on the government's behalf ("outsourced"). For each of the following services, do you think it is appropriate for the government to outsource them to be run by private (for profit) companies? Court services

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Appropriate
Not appropriate
Don't know
SIGMA

Total	Gen	der		Αg	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
246	127	119	70	99	37	40	86	62	25	17	65	56	10	48	70	35	55	78	78	18	12	19	86	50	59	42	52	83	69
24.5%	25.9%	23.1%	24.4%	27.8%	24.6%	19.0%	32.2%	29.0%	14.9%	24.7%	36.7%	26.4%	19.3%	22.4%	23.9%	17.0%	27.8%	33.0%	21.4%	17.9%	21.5%	22.5%	23.5%	31.4%	25.5%	26.4%	21.3%	21.7%	31.7%
585	299	285	142	209	90	144	154	121	123	45	89	126	32	153	199	144	106	117	217	60	21	49	227	79	145	89	146	240	110
58.2%	61.3%	55.2%	49.0%	59.0%	59.9%	68.2%	58.0%	56.6%	72.6%	63.9%	50.5%	59.4%	63.0%	71.1%	68.3%	71.0%	53.4%	49.7%	59.0%	60.8%	39.2%	57.2%	61.6%	49.0%	62.6%	56.6%	59.3%	62.6%	50.4%
174	62	112	77	47	23	27	26	31	21	8	23	30	9	14	23	24	37	41	72	21	21	17	55	31	28	27	48	61	39
17.3%	12.7%	21.7%	26.6%	13.2%	15.5%	12.8%	9.8%	14.4%	12.6%	11.4%	12.7%	14.2%	17.8%	6.5%	7.8%	12.0%	18.8%	17.2%	19.6%	21.2%	39.3%	20.4%	15.0%	19.6%	11.9%	17.0%	19.4%	15.8%	17.9%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



6 May 2014

Table 12

Q4. For each of the following services, how comfortable would you be using that service if you knew it was provided by a private company such as G4S, Serco, Atos or Capita?

Base : All Respondents

	Total	Ger	nder		A	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	ion6				Loca	lity	
		Mala	Famala	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ		C2	DE	Scotland	Walaa	Landan	Causta	Midlands	Nauth	Inner-	Outer suburban	T	Village / Countrys ide
			Female	10-34											UKIP			C1			Scotland	wates	London	South		NOTUI		Suburban		
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
Very comfortable	68	28	40	29	27	5	7	16	21	14	4	21	17	6	6	11	24	9	11	24	4	5	6	21	19	14	14	11	28	14
	6.8%	5.8%	7.6%	10.1%	7.7%	3.2%	3.2%	6.2%	9.7%	8.0%	5.4%	11.6%	7.9%	10.8%	2.9%	3.9%	11.7%	4.7%	4.8%	6.4%	3.6%	8.9%	6.8%	5.6%	12.0%	6.0%	9.2%	4.5%	7.3%	6.6%
Somewhat comfortable	151	74	77	67	60	5	20	45	29	16	6	38	32	10	29	37	31	36	43	40	9	4	21	50	34	33	37	33	45	35
	15.0%	15.1%	14.9%	23.1%	16.8%	3.0%	9.5%	16.8%	13.5%	9.6%	8.6%	21.5%	15.0%	19.1%	13.7%	12.9%	15.4%	18.3%	18.5%	10.8%	8.8%	7.2%	24.5%	13.5%	21.2%	14.1%	23.6%	13.6%	11.8%	16.2%
Somewhat uncomfortable	215	104	111	41	77	40	57	72	48	28	7	51	48	15	48	59	40	39	52	84	18	16	12	91	20	58	25	67	86	38
	21.4%	21.3%	21.5%	14.1%	21.8%	26.5%	27.0%	26.9%	22.5%	16.5%	10.1%	29.0%	22.7%	28.6%	22.4%	20.2%	19.5%	19.5%	22.0%	22.9%	17.8%	29.6%	14.1%	24.7%	12.5%	24.8%	15.6%	27.2%	22.3%	17.3%
Very uncomfortable	441	241	200	89	158	74	119	118	86	105	49	52	90	18	120	166	91	79	102	168	50	23	37	166	62	99	61	107	172	101
	43.9%	49.4%	38.6%	30.8%	44.6%	49.2%	56.7%	44.2%	40.2%	61.9%	70.5%	29.2%	42.5%	35.0%	55.8%	57.0%	44.9%	39.8%	43.5%	45.8%	50.2%	42.3%	43.2%	45.1%	38.8%	42.7%	38.7%	43.6%	44.8%	46.1%
Don't know	131	41	90	64	32	27	7	16	30	7	4	15	25	3	11	17	17	35	27	52	19	7	10	41	25	29	20	27	53	30
	13.0%	8.4%	17.3%	22.0%	9.1%	18.1%	3.5%	5.9%	14.2%	4.0%	5.4%	8.7%	11.9%	6.5%	5.2%	5.9%	8.5%	17.7%	11.3%	14.0%	19.6%	12.1%	11.4%	11.2%	15.6%	12.4%	12.9%	11.2%	13.8%	13.7%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Table 13

Q4. For each of the following services, how comfortable would you be using that service if you knew it was provided by a private company such as G4S, Serco, Atos or Capita? Emergency services e g ambulances Base : All Respondents

	Total	Gen	der		Aç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	Inner- city/ urban	Outer suburban / Suburban	Town	Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
Very comfortable	62	20	42	27	24	6	6	16	23	9	4	19	21	1	7	13	22	5	14	22	4	4	7	11	21	14	14	9	33	7
	6.2%	4.0%	8.2%	9.3%	6.7%	3.8%	2.6%	6.0%	10.9%	5.2%	5.6%	10.8%	10.1%	2.3%	3.2%	4.4%	10.8%	2.4%	5.8%	5.9%	3.6%	6.8%	8.7%	3.0%	13.3%	6.1%	8.8%	3.6%	8.5%	3.0%
Somewhat comfortable	144	69	75	55	54	14	20	50	20	20	3	47	25	12	22	30	40	33	30	41	5	3	16	63	24	33	27	41	45	30
	14.3%	14.2%	14.4%	19.0%	15.3%	9.5%	9.7%	18.9%	9.2%	11.6%	4.3%	26.4%	11.8%	24.2%	10.3%	10.2%	19.5%	16.6%	12.9%	11.1%	5.1%	5.1%	18.7%	17.1%	14.7%	14.4%	17.4%	16.6%	11.8%	14.0%
Somewhat uncomfortable	234	121	113	69	87	25	53	69	51	36	10	41	58	14	55	65	42	40	59	91	19	17	18	88	32	58	39	55	87	52
	23.2%	24.7%	21.8%	23.8%	24.4%	16.9%	25.0%	26.0%	24.1%	21.3%	14.1%	22.9%	27.4%	27.2%	25.7%	22.5%	20.7%	20.3%	25.0%	24.8%	19.3%	32.2%	20.7%	23.8%	19.8%	24.8%	25.0%	22.3%	22.7%	24.0%
Very uncomfortable	433	238	195	73	160	78	123	116	88	98	50	55	81	20	120	165	85	84	103	160	51	24	36	165	58	98	58	114	162	98
	43.1%	48.8%	37.7%	25.2%	45.0%	51.8%	58.2%	43.6%	41.4%	57.7%	72.1%	30.9%	38.0%	39.0%	56.0%	56.8%	42.0%	42.5%	43.9%	43.6%	51.0%	43.8%	42.0%	44.8%	36.1%	42.2%	36.9%	46.5%	42.2%	45.2%
Don't know	133	41	92	66	30	27	9	15	31	7	3	16	27	4	11	18	14	36	29	53	21	7	8	42	26	29	19	27	57	30
	13.2%	8.3%	17.8%	22.8%	8.6%	18.0%	4.5%	5.5%	14.5%	4.3%	3.9%	9.0%	12.7%	7.3%	4.9%	6.1%	7.0%	18.2%	12.3%	14.5%	21.1%	12.1%	9.9%	11.3%	16.1%	12.5%	11.9%	11.0%	14.8%	13.8%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Prepared by Survation

6 May 2014

Table 14

Q4. For each of the following services, how comfortable would you be using that service if you knew it was provided by a private company such as G4S, Serco, Atos or Capita? Non-emergency police services Base : All Respondents

	Total	Ger	nder		Aç	je			2010 \	/ote			Voti	ng Intent	tion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
Very comfortable	95	49	47	41	39	7	8	27	28	11	6	30	23	4	14	23	35	23	15	23	7	3	7	40	21	16	20	12	43	21
	9.5%	10.0%	9.0%	14.4%	11.0%	4.3%	3.9%	10.1%	13.1%	6.2%	8.1%	17.0%	10.6%	8.7%	6.6%	8.0%	17.2%	11.6%	6.2%	6.2%	6.8%	5.9%	8.4%	10.9%	13.2%	6.9%	12.4%	5.0%	11.2%	9.5%
Somewhat comfortable	259	113	145	85	92	38	45	93	44	37	14	69	54	24	47	60	54	53	82	69	16	11	27	96	41	64	47	74	90	48
	25.7%	23.2%	28.1%	29.3%	25.8%	25.0%	21.2%	34.8%	20.4%	22.0%	20.4%	38.7%	25.4%	46.4%	22.1%	20.5%	26.5%	26.7%	35.0%	18.9%	15.8%	20.9%	31.4%	26.2%	25.3%	27.5%	29.7%	30.2%	23.4%	21.9%
Somewhat uncomfortable	221	110	110	41	88	29	62	65	55	30	15	39	45	10	48	64	47	35	49	88	18	19	16	75	38	55	31	54	89	47
	22.0%	22.6%	21.4%	14.3%	24.9%	19.6%	29.3%	24.3%	25.9%	17.4%	21.5%	22.1%	21.1%	19.2%	22.2%	22.2%	23.2%	17.7%	21.0%	24.0%	18.2%	35.7%	18.5%	20.5%	23.4%	23.6%	19.6%	22.0%	23.2%	21.5%
Very uncomfortable	271	161	110	55	99	45	71	59	50	83	32	22	57	10	86	116	50	47	62	112	33	13	25	101	36	62	39	77	90	65
	27.0%	33.0%	21.2%	19.1%	28.0%	30.2%	33.6%	22.3%	23.3%	49.1%	45.7%	12.6%	26.6%	19.4%	39.9%	40.0%	24.8%	23.7%	26.1%	30.5%	33.4%	24.8%	29.6%	27.3%	22.5%	26.7%	24.7%	31.4%	23.3%	30.0%
Don't know	159	54	105	66	36	31	25	23	37	9	3	17	35	3	20	27	17	40	27	75	26	7	10	56	25	36	21	28	73	37
	15.9%	11.2%	20.3%	22.9%	10.2%	20.9%	12.0%	8.6%	17.2%	5.3%	4.3%	9.6%	16.3%	6.3%	9.2%	9.3%	8.3%	20.3%	11.6%	20.4%	25.9%	12.8%	12.1%	15.1%	15.7%	15.3%	13.5%	11.4%	18.9%	17.1%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Table 15

Q4. For each of the following services, how comfortable would you be using that service if you knew it was provided by a private company such as G4S, Serco, Atos or Capita? Security for public events

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
Very comfortable
Somewhat comfortable
Somewhat uncomfortable
Very uncomfortable
Don't know
SIGMA

Total	Gen	ider		A	ge			2010	/ote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
136	62	75	63	47	13	14	33	29	28	9	29	38	11	25	37	41	30	23	42	5	8	10	52	30	30	29	28	57	22
13.6%	12.6%	14.5%	21.8%	13.3%	8.6%	6.4%	12.4%	13.6%	16.2%	12.7%	16.3%	18.0%	21.7%	11.6%	12.7%	20.4%	15.2%	9.7%	11.5%	5.0%	14.5%	11.7%	14.2%	19.0%	12.9%	18.5%	11.5%	14.7%	10.3%
300	138	162	93	102	42	63	108	47	45	16	73	53	20	61	75	70	65	70	96	27	7	31	117	42	75	45	77	112	68
29.9%	28.3%	31.4%	32.2%	28.8%	28.1%	29.9%	40.6%	22.2%	26.5%	23.3%	41.5%	25.0%	39.3%	28.4%	25.7%	34.7%	32.7%	29.5%	26.1%	27.4%	13.0%	36.1%	31.8%	26.1%	32.5%	28.4%	31.2%	29.1%	31.0%
211	107	103	33	83	28	67	59	58	31	12	36	47	8	50	71	37	27	59	86	24	19	14	71	31	52	34	56	67	53
21.0%	22.0%	20.0%	11.5%	23.3%	18.6%	31.7%	22.0%	27.3%	18.2%	16.8%	20.1%	22.1%	14.9%	23.3%	24.5%	18.4%	13.8%	25.1%	23.4%	24.3%	34.3%	15.8%	19.2%	19.1%	22.6%	21.6%	22.9%	17.4%	24.5%
204	136	68	36	83	30	54	42	43	51	30	16	48	9	63	85	38	38	50	78	22	14	17	79	28	44	27	50	84	44
20.3%	27.8%	13.2%	12.6%	23.5%	19.9%	25.9%	15.9%	20.2%	30.3%	42.6%	9.0%	22.7%	16.7%	29.1%	29.1%	18.8%	19.3%	21.2%	21.1%	22.6%	26.1%	19.6%	21.5%	17.3%	18.9%	17.0%	20.2%	21.8%	20.2%
153	45	108	63	40	37	13	24	36	15	3	23	26	4	16	23	16	38	34	66	21	7	14	49	30	30	23	35	65	31
15.3%	9.3%	20.9%	21.9%	11.2%	24.8%	6.1%	9.1%	16.6%	8.8%	4.7%	13.1%	12.2%	7.3%	7.6%	8.0%	7.7%	19.1%	14.5%	17.9%	20.7%	12.1%	16.8%	13.3%	18.5%	13.1%	14.4%	14.2%	17.0%	14.1%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Table 16

Q4. For each of the following services, how comfortable would you be using that service if you knew it was provided by a private company such as G4S, Serco, Atos or Capita? Court services

Base : All Respondents

	Total	Gen	der		A	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
Very comfortable	63	25	38	28	25	3	7	20	13	7	5	12	17	6	16	23	20	15	11	16	4	7	8	20	9	15	17	10	24	11
	6.2%	5.1%	7.3%	9.8%	6.9%	2.1%	3.2%	7.6%	6.3%	3.9%	7.0%	7.0%	8.0%	10.8%	7.5%	7.7%	10.0%	7.5%	4.8%	4.5%	4.1%	13.5%	9.2%	5.3%	5.4%	6.6%	10.7%	4.2%	6.4%	5.1%
Somewhat comfortable	209	105	104	67	87	14	42	65	49	23	11	57	48	9	38	49	51	41	58	59	19	7	19	60	47	57	36	45	69	58
	20.8%	21.6%	20.1%	23.1%	24.4%	9.3%	20.0%	24.3%	23.0%	13.6%	15.5%	32.0%	22.6%	18.2%	17.8%	16.9%	25.3%	20.6%	24.6%	15.9%	19.1%	12.1%	22.5%	16.3%	29.2%	24.6%	23.2%	18.5%	18.0%	26.8%
Somewhat uncomfortable	259	132	127	68	87	36	68	79	60	42	14	48	61	20	51	67	61	51	50	97	14	18	22	109	39	56	40	66	110	44
	25.8%	27.0%	24.6%	23.5%	24.4%	24.1%	32.3%	29.6%	28.2%	24.7%	20.5%	27.3%	28.8%	39.6%	23.9%	23.1%	30.1%	25.6%	21.3%	26.4%	14.2%	32.9%	26.3%	29.5%	24.5%	24.0%	25.4%	26.7%	28.6%	20.0%
Very uncomfortable	308	176	132	64	118	53	74	73	57	86	34	33	57	13	94	130	55	53	75	125	34	15	25	125	37	71	40	85	118	66
	30.7%	36.1%	25.6%	22.1%	33.2%	34.9%	35.2%	27.6%	26.6%	50.6%	49.1%	18.9%	26.9%	24.7%	43.7%	44.7%	27.3%	26.9%	31.9%	33.9%	34.4%	28.0%	29.4%	33.9%	23.1%	30.5%	25.1%	34.6%	30.7%	30.2%
Don't know	166	50	116	62	39	45	19	29	34	12	5	26	29	3	15	22	15	39	41	71	28	7	11	55	29	33	24	39	63	39
	16.5%	10.2%	22.4%	21.6%	11.0%	29.6%	9.2%	10.9%	15.9%	7.2%	7.8%	14.8%	13.7%	6.6%	7.2%	7.6%	7.4%	19.4%	17.4%	19.3%	28.2%	13.6%	12.6%	15.0%	17.8%	14.3%	15.5%	16.0%	16.4%	17.9%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Public Sector and Outsourcing Issues Poll

Prepared on behalf of We Own It

Table 17

Q5. For each of the following services, how comfortable would you be using that service if you knew it was provided by the public sector?

Base: All Respondents

2010 Vote SEG Total Gender Age Voting Intention Region6 Locality Village Outer Innersuburban city/ Countrys Male 18-34 35-54 55-64 65+ CON LAB LD OTHER CON LAB LD UKIP OTHER AB C1 C2 DE Wales Midlands North Suburban Town ide Female London South urban Unweighted Total 473 163 92 168 217 157 249 261 Weighted Total 1005 488 517 289 355 151 210 266 214 170 70 177 213 51 215 291 203 199 235 367 99 86 369 160 232 157 246 384 218 30 Very comfortable 334 188 146 83 121 50 79 91 83 72 23 54 84 22 74 107 88 57 68 121 16 20 121 62 84 50 70 139 75 33.2% 31.7% 34.6% 38.5% 28.2% 28.9% 34.1% 33.3% 37.7% 34.1% 38.6% 42.3% 32.6% 30.2% 39.7% 34.2% 43.4% 28.7% 28.7% 33.0% 30.0% 29.0% 23.6% 32.9% 38.7% 36.0% 28.3% 36.2% 36.79 Somewhat comfortable 148 173 133 32 55 87 24 65 89 128 48 72 59 107 27.7% 32.0% 30.3% 33.5% 35.0% 37.5% 21.0% 26.1% 32.6% 32.1% 34.4% 38.0% 27.3% 35.2% 30.1% 30.7% 36.2% 34.0% 31.3% 28.9% 27.3% 12.5% 47.4% 34.6% 29.7% 30.9% 37.5% 32.8% 28.0% 34.1% Somewhat 33 30 45 29 20 18.5% 7.7% uncomfortable 11.1% 10.9% 11.2% 7.7% 10.5% 12.4% 15.79 15.8% 11.4% 6.3% 7.5% 18.0% 13.1% 7.5% 12.4% 10.5% 9.9% 10.0% 10.6% 12.4% 4.5% 26.8% 4.9% 11.7% 10.2% 12.3% 12.9% 7.5% Very uncomfortable 33 29 32 12 10 31 40 37 50 16 22 15 24 48 25 11.19 11.0% 11.1% 7.5% 9.1% 16.2% 15.79 10.7% 6.5% 18.8% 17.3% 5.5% 9.8% 14.5% 13.6% 3.9% 8.3% 15.9% 13.5% 16.0% 20.0% 13.4% 10.9% 4.8% 9.3% 9.5% 9.6% 12.4% 11.69 Don't know 127 27 27 26 13 22 13 62 10.8% 16.6% 11.5% 15.9% 8.2% 8.4% 177 213 215 SIGMA 1005 488 517 355 151 210 214 170 70 291 235 367 86 369 160 232 157 218 266 203 199 246 100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Page 20

Public Sector and Outsourcing Issues Poll

Prepared on behalf of We Own It

Table 18

Q5. For each of the following services, how comfortable would you be using that service if you knew it was provided by the public sector? Emergency services e.g. ambulances

Base: All Respondents

2010 Vote Voting Intention SEG Total Gender Age Region6 Locality Village Outer Innersuburban city/ Countrys Male 18-34 35-54 55-64 65+ CON LAB LD OTHER CON LAB LD UKIP OTHER AB C1 C2 DE Wales Midlands North Suburban Town ide Female London South urban Unweighted Total 473 532 163 92 168 55 217 157 249 261 Weighted Total 1005 488 517 289 355 151 210 266 214 170 70 177 213 51 215 291 203 199 235 367 99 86 369 160 232 157 246 384 218 30 Very comfortable 347 190 158 97 124 47 79 94 83 71 23 56 88 22 80 111 93 64 68 122 15 26 132 65 79 56 72 138 81 34.5% 40.5% 37.4% 38.8% 30.5% 33.5% 34.8% 31.5% 37.7% 35.4% 39.0% 42.1% 31.8% 37.0% 38.0% 46.0% 32.4% 28.8% 33.2% 29.9% 27.0% 30.1% 35.8% 34.0% 35.4% 29.2% 36.0% 33.2% Somewhat comfortable 145 166 88 38 57 89 24 65 89 100 35 119 43 74 53 112 31.0% 29.7% 32.2% 30.4% 36.3% 25.0% 27.2% 33.6% 31.3% 26.1% 34.0% 36.9% 25.7% 30.9% 28.5% 30.6% 34.9% 30.7% 33.9% 27.2% 30.5% 17.2% 41.4% 32.3% 27.0% 32.0% 33.5% 32.0% 29.2% 31.2% Somewhat 26 28 20 25 23 29 20 uncomfortable 11.1% 9.7% 12.4% 9.7% 9.5% 15.6% 12.49 14.3% 13.1% 8.7% 10.3% 18.3% 12.6% 10.7% 9.4% 8.6% 8.5% 9.0% 10.0% 14.1% 11.5% 25.2% 3.0% 11.2% 8.6% 12.4% 10.5% 15.6% 9.5% 9.3% Very uncomfortable 29 10 20 36 42 10 32 12 24 19 28 39 23 11.1% 10.8% 12.5% 9.2% 5.1% 10.5% 12.7% 17.99 11.6% 5.8% 17.3% 14.4% 6.0% 9.6% 10.9% 16.8% 14.5% 4.8% 13.6% 13.0% 6.0% 19.9% 13.1% 7.6% 10.5% 12.4% 11.4% 10.1% 10.3% 126 22 29 Don't know 23 26 8.2% 7.0% 8.3% 177 215 SIGMA 1005 517 289 151 210 214 170 70 213 51 291 235 367 86 369 160 232 157 218 488 266 203 199 246 100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Table 19

Q5. For each of the following services, how comfortable would you be using that service if you knew it was provided by the public sector? Non-emergency police services
Base : All Respondents

	Total	Gen	dor		Ag	10			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Inteni	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	$\overline{}$
	Total	Gen	uei		~;	je			2010	VOIC			VOL	ing interio				JL					negi	0110					iity	
																												Outer		Village
									- 1																		Inner-	suburban		_ /.
											l																city/	/ .		Countrys
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	urban	Suburban	Town	ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
Very comfortable	278	151	126	80	104	41	52	72	66	58	20	44	67	17	62	90	77	54	57	89	22	12	19	109	52	63	45	59	110	64
•	27.7%	31.0%	24.5%	27.8%	29.4%	27.2%	24.8%	27.1%	30.9%	34.2%	29.1%	25.1%	31.3%	33.0%	28.7%	30.9%	38.2%	27.3%	24.2%	24.3%	22.4%	21.9%	22.1%	29.6%	32.5%	27.0%	29.0%	24.0%	28.6%	29.3%
Somewhat comfortable	415	198	217	117	152	57	89	131	85	64	28	83	78	27	92	118	90	75	104	147	39	14	43	152	61	106	71	102	157	85
	41.3%	40.5%	42.0%	40.4%	42.9%	37.9%	42.4%	49.0%	39.8%	37.8%		47.1%	36.9%	52.3%	42.7%	40.7%	44.2%	37.7%	44.1%	40.0%	38.8%	25.1%	50.0%	41.3%	38.0%	45.6%	45.0%	41.5%	40.9%	
Somewhat	92	44	48	17	34	14	27	33	25	8	7	24	25	2	22	29	12	18	15	47	5	12	3	34	15	24	16	33	28	16
uncomfortable	9.2%	9.1%	9.3%	5.9%	9.6%	9.3%	12.9%	12.6%	11.5%	4.8%	9.7%	13.6%	11.8%	4.8%	10.1%	10.0%	5.8%	9.0%	6.2%	12.8%	4.6%	22.8%	3.0%	9.2%	9.6%	10.2%	10.0%	13.3%	7.2%	7.5%
Very uncomfortable	75	42	33	11	27	16	21	10	10	30	8	6	17	3	22	29	9	14	24	28	7	7	10	29	5	14	11	20	24	20
,	7.4%	8.6%	6.3%	3.7%	7.6%	10.5%	9.9%	3.9%	4.7%	17.8%	11.3%	3.1%	7.8%	5.7%	10.2%	9.8%	4.3%	7.3%		7.5%	7.5%	13.0%	11.5%		3.2%	5.8%	7.1%		6.2%	9.1%
Don't know	145	53	92	64	37	23	21	20	28	9	7	20	26	2	18	25	15	37	36	56	26	9	11	44	27	26	14	32	66	33
	14.4%	10.8%	17.8%	22.2%	10.4%		10.0%	7.4%	13.0%	5.3%	9.6%	11.1%	12.2%	4.3%	8.3%	8.6%	7.5%	18.7%	15.4%	15.3%	26.6%	17.2%	13.4%	12.0%	16.7%	11.3%	8.9%	13.1%	17.1%	
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Public Sector and Outsourcing Issues Poll

Prepared on behalf of We Own It

Table 20

Q5. For each of the following services, how comfortable would you be using that service if you knew it was provided by the public sector? Security for public events

Base : All Respondents

2010 Vote Voting Intention SEG Total Gender Age Region6 Locality Village Outer Innersuburban city/ Countrys Male 18-34 35-54 55-64 65+ CON LAB LD OTHER CON LAB LD UKIP OTHER AB C1 C2 DE Wales Midlands North Suburban Town ide Female London South urban Unweighted Total 473 532 231 163 92 168 55 217 267 157 249 261 Weighted Total 1005 488 517 289 355 151 210 266 214 170 70 177 213 51 215 291 203 199 235 367 99 86 369 160 232 157 246 384 218 52 Very comfortable 260 150 110 77 101 35 47 71 72 60 14 65 17 62 85 72 50 87 20 20 96 46 71 56 56 30.5% 34.5% 24.6% 25.9% 30.7% 21.3% 26.5% 28.5% 23.0% 22.59 26.6% 33.6% 35.0% 19.4% 25.1% 30.5% 33.1% 28.9% 29.3% 35.4% 25.0% 22.1% 23.6% 20.1% 12.1% 23.4% 26.2% 28.4% 22.6% 25.6% Somewhat comfortable 198 219 86 124 70 80 87 115 83 102 142 38 43 149 65 105 60 107 41.5% 40.6% 42.3% 40.5% 47.0% 31.4% 40.6% 46.7% 35.1% 41.4% 43.8% 49.4% 37.7% 53.3% 40.5% 39.7% 41.1% 45.3% 43.4% 38.5% 38.0% 28.9% 50.2% 40.3% 40.7% 45.3% 37.9% 43.4% 40.5% 43.5% Somewhat 103 57 23 28 37 23 21 21 uncomfortable 10.3% 9.4% 11.19 7.5% 8.4% 15.5% 13.59 11.3% 13.0% 7.5% 10.0% 9.5% 12.9% 7.9% 12.6% 12.6% 11.2% 6.3% 8.9% 12.5% 6.6% 19.4% 7.1% 12.5% 7.9% 9.1% 10.2% 8.8% 10.7% 11.1% Very uncomfortable 10 10 13 5.6% 7.4% 3.9% 2.9% 4.3% 4.5% 12.39 3.7% 5.3% 7.4% 10.2% 1.0% 6.5% 1.5% 7.8% 7.2% 3.3% 4.3% 5.8% 7.5% 4.7% 15.7% 0.7% 7.1% 3.5% 4.2% 6.1% 4.3% 5.9% 6.19 169 22 33 30 13 16 51 25 51 70 Don't know 23 12 26 31 18 30 11.0% 11.3% 13.7% 10.2% 9.0% 30.5% 177 213 215 SIGMA 1005 488 517 210 214 170 70 291 235 86 160 232 157 218 266 203 199 367 369 246 100.0%

Survation.

Prepared by Survation

Public Sector and Outsourcing Issues Poll

Prepared on behalf of We Own It

Table 21

Q5. For each of the following services, how comfortable would you be using that service if you knew it was provided by the public sector? Court services

Base: All Respondents

2010 Vote SEG Total Gender Age Voting Intention Region6 Locality Village Outer Innersuburban city/ Countrys Male 18-34 35-54 55-64 65+ CON LAB LD OTHER CON LAB LD UKIP OTHER AB C1 C2 DE Wales Midlands North Suburban Town ide Female London South urban Unweighted Total 473 532 231 163 92 168 217 157 261 Weighted Total 1005 488 517 289 355 151 210 266 214 170 70 177 213 51 215 291 203 199 235 367 99 86 369 160 232 157 246 384 218 25 Very comfortable 321 180 141 93 116 48 65 92 78 67 22 54 78 19 77 109 93 58 60 110 19 21 118 64 73 64 66 129 63 37.2% 39.8% 31.9% 36.9% 27.2% 32.0% 32.6% 31.7% 31.09 34.4% 36.6% 39.2% 31.7% 30.6% 36.8% 35.9% 37.6% 45.6% 29.3% 25.6% 30.0% 25.4% 34.3% 24.1% 32.0% 31.6% 40.6% 26.8% 33.5% 28.7% Somewhat comfortable 362 168 195 138 87 116 53 25 79 101 124 38 45 96 126 92 36.1% 34.4% 37.6% 32.7% 38.9% 28.5% 41.3% 43.7% 32.4% 31.0% 36.0% 44.4% 29.9% 41.8% 36.0% 34.7% 35.1% 38.8% 38.3% 33.8% 34.9% 12.6% 44.7% 38.2% 28.2% 41.3% 32.4% 38.0% 32.8% 42.1% Somewhat 22 32 31 36 20 uncomfortable 9.5% 9.3% 9.6% 9.9% 8.5% 9.4% 10.59 10.0% 11.8% 9.1% 8.8% 11.0% 13.4% 7.3% 11.7% 11.0% 7.9% 6.0% 13.0% 9.7% 5.1% 21.3% 4.1% 11.3% 8.3% 8.6% 9.1% 12.8% 7.8% 8.9% Very uncomfortable 43 29 33 10 15 10 21 12 7.1% 5.5% 5.5% 8.8% 5.6% 2.9% 8.6% 8.1% 9.99 5.3% 4.4% 13.1% 11.3% 4.1% 7.3% 9.4% 4.0% 7.0% 7.0% 9.1% 4.7% 13.8% 12.1% 6.6% 6.4% 8.5% 7.5% 155 30 10 13 29 28 70 Don't know 103 22.3% 15.0% 11.5% 13.9% 11.9% 177 213 SIGMA 1005 488 355 210 214 170 70 215 291 203 235 86 160 232 157 218 266 199 367 369 246 100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Table 22

Q6. Which of the following is closest to your opinion?

Base : All Respondents

1	Total	Ger	nder		Δ.	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Inten	ion			SE	-G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
	Total		Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB		OTHER	CON	LAB	LD		OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London		Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban	,	Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
The relationship between the government and private outsourcing companies is too close	371 36.9%	219 44.8%	152 29.4%	77 26.8%	143 40.3%	50 32.9%	101 47.8%	71 26.6%	94 43.9%	92 54.2%	39 55.3%	44 24.9%	95 44.5%	22 43.2%	104 48.6%	144 49.7%	87 42.7%	65 32.9%	83 35.1%	136 37.1%	31 31.7%	22 41.2%	29 33.8%	154 41.9%	55 34.1%	78 33.6%	45 28.9%	98 39.8%	148 38.5%	80 36.5%
The relationship between the government and private outsourcing companies is about right	165 16.4%	93 19.0%	72 14.0%	55 19.1%	63 17.8%	15 10.0%	32 15.0%	70 26.4%	24 11.4%	24 14.1%	5 7.0%	53 29.8%	27 12.6%	15 28.9%	32 14.7%	42 14.3%	42 20.6%	43 21.5%	43 18.1%	38 10.4%	6 6.2%	7 13.5%	14 16.4%	57 15.3%	30 18.9%	50 21.6%	33 21.0%	30 12.2%	56 14.7%	46 21.0%
The relationship between the government and private outsourcing companies should be closer	192 19.1%	90 18.4%	102 19.8%	59 20.4%	71 19.9%	29 19.0%	34 16.0%	61 23.1%	37 17.3%	29 17.0%	12 16.6%	49 27.9%	39 18.5%	9 18.5%	40 18.4%	47 16.3%	34 16.9%	34 17.0%	57 24.4%	66 17.9%	17 17.4%	4 7.3%	20 23.6%	76 20.6%	29 17.9%	45 19.6%	36 22.9%	57 23.1%	62 16.1%	38 17.2%
Don't Know	277 27.5%	87 17.8%	190 36.7%	97 33.7%	78 21.9%	57 38.1%	44 21.1%	64 23.9%	58 27.3%	25 14.7%	15 21.1%	31 17.4%	52 24.4%	5 9.4%	39 18.3%	57 19.7%	40 19.7%	57 28.7%	53 22.4%	127 34.6%	44 44.7%	21 38.0%	22 26.2%	82 22.2%	47 29.1%	59 25.2%	43 27.1%	61 24.8%	118 30.7%	55 25.3%
SIGMA	1005 100.0%	488 100.0%	517 100.0%	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213 100.0%	51 100.0%	215 100.0%	291 100.0%	203 100.0%	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99 100.0%	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232 100.0%	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218 100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Table 23

Q7. Which of the following is closest to your opinion?

Base : All Respondents

	Total	Ger	ider		Aç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regio	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	Inner- city/ urban	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
There should be more competition between private companies for government contracts	373 37.1%	230 47.1%	143 27.7%	83 28.9%	130 36.7%	49 32.3%	111 52.6%	130 48.9%	73 34.4%	67 39.2%	29 41.0%	72 40.8%	78 36.8%	29 57.5%	92 42.9%	120 41.2%	79 38.8%	95 47.9%	84 35.7%	115 31.3%	36 35.8%	16 29.9%	24 27.8%	150 40.8%	52 32.4%	94 40.4%	46 29.3%	93 37.8%	146 37.9%	88 40.5%
There should be less competition between private companies for government contracts	205 20.4%	95 19.6%	110 21.2%	68 23.5%	67 18.8%	29 19.4%	41 19.5%	28 10.6%	50 23.6%	50 29.3%	17 24.4%	24 13.3%	65 30.4%	8 16.1%	43 20.1%	61 21.0%	45 22.1%	25 12.5%	46 19.5%	89 24.1%	28 28.3%	8 15.4%	23 26.5%	87 23.6%	26 16.0%	33 14.2%	30 19.4%	46 18.5%	88 22.9%	41 18.9%
The level of competition between private companies for government contracts is about right	146 14.5%	76 15.6%	70 13.5%	48 16.6%	60 16.8%	18 11.7%	21 9.8%	57 21.5%	30 13.9%	20 11.8%	7 10.4%	46 25.7%	23 10.8%	7 14.6%	33 15.4%	43 14.8%	44 21.8%	28 14.2%	33 14.2%	40 10.9%	7 7.2%	7 12.5%	10 11.9%	51 13.9%	31 19.6%	38 16.5%	27 17.3%	38 15.6%	39 10.1%	41 19.0%
Don't know	281 28.0%	87 17.8%	194 37.6%	90 31.0%	98 27.7%	55 36.6%	38 18.0%	51 19.0%	60 28.1%	34 19.7%	17 24.1%	36 20.1%	47 22.0%	6 11.8%	46 21.5%	67 22.9%	35 17.3%	50 25.4%	72 30.5%	124 33.7%	28 28.6%	23 42.2%	29 33.7%	80 21.7%	51 32.1%	67 28.9%	53 34.0%	69 28.1%	112 29.1%	47 21.6%
SIGMA	1005 100.0%	488 100.0%	517 100.0%	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213 100.0%	51 100.0%	215 100.0%	291 100.0%	203 100.0%	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99 100.0%	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232 100.0%	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218 100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Page 26

Table 24

Q8. Which of the following is closest to your opinion?

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total There is currently adequate regulation of private companies running public services There is not currently adequate regulation of private companies running public services

Don't know SIGMA

Γ	Total	Gen	der		Aç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	:G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
n	156	71	85	55	68	19	14	64	38	16	9	61	33	7	32	45	37	23	52	44	10	6	11	62	35	32	34	37	57	27
es	15.5%	14.5%	16.4%	19.1%	19.1%	12.6%	6.4%	24.1%	17.6%	9.6%	12.5%	34.3%	15.3%	13.7%	14.8%	15.6%	18.2%	11.4%	21.9%	12.1%	9.6%	11.6%	13.3%	16.7%	21.6%	13.8%	21.6%	15.2%	14.8%	12.6%
	592	317	275	136	200	98	159	159	127	123	46	85	125	29	152	198	131	120	124	216	63	33	49	208	81	152	91	140	212	149
	58.9%	64.9%	53.1%	46.9%	56.3%	64.9%	75.4%	59.6%	59.2%	72.4%	66.2%	47.9%	58.9%	57.4%	70.8%	68.1%	64.6%	60.3%	52.8%	58.7%	63.6%	61.2%	56.9%	56.3%	50.8%	65.7%	57.9%	56.8%	55.1%	68.4%
	258	100	157	98	87	34	38	43	49	31	15	31	55	15	31	48	35	56	59	107	27	15	25	99	44	48	32	69	115	41
	25.7%	20.6%	30.5%	34.0%	24.6%	22.6%	18.2%	16.3%	23.1%	18.0%	21.3%	17.7%	25.8%	28.9%	14.3%	16.4%	17.2%	28.3%	25.3%	29.2%	26.8%	27.2%	29.7%	27.0%	27.6%	20.5%	20.5%	28.0%	30.0%	19.0%
	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Page 27

Table 25

Q9. To what extent do you agree with the statement "private companies running public services represents good value for money for taxpayers"?

Base : All Respondents

	Total	Ger	nder		A	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
Strongly agree	32 3.2%	21 4.2%	12 2.3%	17 5.9%	8 2.3%	1 0.7%	6 2.9%	16 6.0%	4 1.7%	2 1.1%	4 6.0%	15 8.4%	4 2.1%	1.0%	6 2.9%	11 3.6%	8 4.0%	4 1.9%	9 4.0%	11 3.0%	1 1.1%	1 1.8%	1 1.6%	12 3.2%	10 6.3%	6 2.7%	6 3.7%	2 0.6%	19 4.9%	6 2.8%
Somewhat agree	157	82	76	67	54	13	23	60	29	26	4	63	23	14	27	36	44	31	45	38	5	8	17	52	34	42	38	36	50	33
	15.7%	16.7%	14.6%	23.2%	15.3%	8.5%	11.1%	22.6%	13.7%	15.3%	5.1%	35.6%	10.9%	26.5%	12.6%	12.4%	21.7%	15.5%	19.0%	10.3%	5.4%	14.1%	19.4%	14.0%	20.9%	18.0%	24.3%	14.8%	12.9%	15.3%
Neither agree nor disagree	254	102	153	72	116	26	41	51	63	38	15	36	68	16	40	55	49	56	51	99	30	17	29	91	34	53	31	67	106	50
	25.3%	20.9%	29.5%	24.8%	32.8%	17.0%	19.3%	19.2%	29.4%	22.7%	22.2%	20.3%	32.0%	31.7%	18.5%	19.0%	24.1%	28.0%	21.6%	26.9%	30.0%	30.5%	34.3%	24.8%	21.0%	22.8%	19.8%	27.2%	27.7%	23.0%
Somewhat disagree	175	98	77	35	59	27	53	44	40	41	13	20	41	11	47	59	36	34	43	61	14	11	7	75	27	41	17	47	60	51
	17.4%	20.2%	14.8%	12.3%	16.6%	18.2%	25.4%	16.6%	18.8%	24.1%	19.2%	11.5%	19.5%	21.6%	22.0%	20.4%	17.9%	17.3%	18.2%	16.7%	14.1%	20.5%	8.0%	20.4%	16.7%	17.8%	11.1%	19.1%	15.7%	23.2%
Strongly disagree	229	136	93	41	77	42	69	49	46	50	27	9	50	7	79	105	51	40	47	91	28	10	22	89	29	49	39	55	83	53
	22.8%	27.9%	18.1%	14.2%	21.8%	28.2%	32.6%	18.3%	21.7%	29.5%	38.2%	5.1%	23.3%	12.9%	36.7%	36.1%	25.0%	20.1%	19.9%	24.8%	28.2%	19.1%	25.2%	24.2%	18.0%	21.0%	24.7%	22.3%	21.7%	24.1%
Don't know	156	49	107	57	40	41	18	46	32	12	6	34	26	3	16	25	15	34	41	67	21	8	10	49	27	41	26	39	66	25
	15.5%	10.1%	20.6%	19.6%	11.2%	27.5%	8.7%	17.3%	14.7%	7.3%	9.3%	19.0%	12.2%	6.3%	7.3%	8.5%	7.3%	17.2%	17.3%	18.1%	21.2%	14.0%	11.6%	13.4%	17.0%	17.7%	16.4%	15.9%	17.2%	11.6%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Table 26

Q10. To what extent do you agree with the statement "private companies running public services are held accountable for their actions when things go wrong"? Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Strongly agree Somewhat agree

Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat disagree

Strongly disagree

Don't know SIGMA

To	tol	Gen	dor		Aç	•			2010	loto			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	c				Regio	one				Loca	lity	
10	ılaı	Gen	uei		Αį	je			2010	vote			VOLI	ig intent	1011			35					negii	0110					ility	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	Inner- city/ urban	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
10	05	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
10	05	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	55	79	76	43	56	18	38	40	25	19	17	28	28	9	26	39	31	22	34	68	13	16	20	58	21	26	22	39	58	37
	5.5%	16.2%	14.8%	15.0%	15.8%	12.0%	18.1%	14.9%	11.6%	11.0%	24.5%	16.1%	13.1%	18.5%	11.9%	13.4%	15.1%	11.1%	14.6%	18.6%	13.2%	29.6%	23.9%	15.7%	13.2%	11.1%	14.1%	15.7%	15.1%	16.8%
	08	93	115	67	72	32	37	75	39	36	9	69	35	13	39	46	54	37	53	63	10	9	16	73	36	59	46	52	68	42
).7%	19.2%	22.2%	23.0%	20.3%	21.3%	17.7%	28.2%	18.2%	21.2%	13.2%	39.0%	16.4%	26.0%	18.2%	15.7%	26.5%	18.8%	22.5%	17.1%	10.2%	16.7%	19.3%	19.9%	22.7%	25.5%	29.4%	21.1%	17.7%	19.4%
	71	64	107	72	69	17	13	29	43	23	8	17	54	11	21	33	29	42	37	64	23	13	14	63	29	29	20	35	79	38
	7.1%	13.2%	20.7%	25.0%	19.4%	11.5%	6.1%	10.8%	20.3%	13.6%	11.6%	9.6%	25.5%	21.7%	9.6%	11.3%	14.3%	20.9%	15.6%	17.5%	23.4%	23.6%	16.2%	17.1%	18.2%	12.7%	12.6%	14.1%	20.5%	17.5%
	23	122	101	39	77	37	71	79	42	45	19	37	44	9	63	84	35	48	56	84	27	10	14	84	35	53	35	61	75	52
	2.2%	25.0%	19.5%	13.5%	21.6%	24.4%	33.6%	29.7%	19.5%	26.3%	26.8%	21.0%	20.9%	17.4%	29.4%	28.9%	17.1%	24.3%	23.9%	22.8%	26.9%	18.3%	16.6%	22.9%	21.6%	22.9%	22.3%	24.8%	19.5%	23.9%
	63	99	65	33	56	35	40	35	43	42	11	14	31	6	61	77	45	32	36	50	19	4	10	69	21	41	19	39	70	36
	6.2%	20.2%	12.5%	11.4%	15.7%	23.0%	19.2%	13.3%	20.2%	24.8%	16.0%	7.9%	14.7%	12.4%	28.6%	26.6%	22.4%	16.0%	15.3%	13.7%	18.6%	6.9%	12.2%	18.6%	12.9%	17.7%	11.9%	15.9%	18.2%	16.4%
	84	31	53	35	26	12	11	8	22	5	6	11	20	2	5	12	9	17	19	38	8	3	10	21	18	24	15	21	35	13
	3.3%	6.3%	10.3%	12.2%	7.2%	7.8%	5.3%	3.1%	10.1%	3.2%	7.9%	6.4%	9.4%	4.0%	2.4%	4.1%	4.5%	8.8%	8.2%	10.3%	7.6%	5.0%	11.9%	5.8%	11.3%	10.2%	9.6%	8.4%	9.1%	6.1%
100		488 100.0%	517 100.0%	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213 100.0%	51 100.0%	215 100.0%	291 100.0%	203 100.0%	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99 100.0%	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232 100.0%	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218 100.0%



Table 27

Q11. Have you heard of outsourcing company Serco?

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Yes No

SIGMA

To	tal	Gen	der		Aç	je			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1 10	05	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
10	05	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	05 0.3%	246 50.5%	158 30.6%	86 29.8%	145 40.9%	68 45.0%	106 50.2%	122 45.7%	62 28.9%	94 55.2%	33 46.7%	79 44.5%	64 30.0%	32 63.4%	103 48.0%	133 45.9%	104 51.3%	81 40.7%	95 40.5%	124 33.7%	27 26.9%	10 18.3%	41 47.8%	182 49.4%	61 37.9%	81 34.9%	57 36.1%	121 49.1%	145 37.6%	83 38.0%
	00 9.7%	242 49.5%	359 69.4%	203 70.2%	210 59.1%	83 55.0%	105 49.8%	145 54.3%	152 71.1%	76 44.8%	37 53.3%	98 55.5%	149 70.0%	19 36.6%	112 52.0%	157 54.1%	99 48.7%	118 59.3%	140 59.5%	244 66.3%	73 73.1%	44 81.7%	45 52.2%	186 50.6%	100 62.1%	151 65.1%	100 63.9%	125 50.9%	240 62.4%	135 62.0%
	05 0.0%	488 100.0%	517 100.0%	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213 100.0%	51 100.0%	215 100.0%	291 100.0%	203 100.0%	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99 100.0%	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232 100.0%	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218 100.0%



Table 28

Q12. Which of the following words would you use to describe outsourcing company Serco?

Base: Respondents heard of outsourcing company Serco

	Total	Ge	nder		A	je			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Inten	tion			SE	G				Regi	ion6				Loca	ality	
																											Inner-	Outer suburban		Village /
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South I	Midlands	North	city/ urban	/ Suburban	Town	Countrys
Unweighted Total	420	243	177	64	159	73	124	128	67	92	48	78	69	34	106	144	113	107	102	97	26	9	48	178	66	90	64	129	133	94
Weighted Total	405	246	158	86	145	68	106	122	62	94	33	79	64	32	103	133	104	81	95	124	27	10	41	182	61	81	57	121	145	83
Efficient	59 14.5%	27 11.0%	31 19.8%	16 18.8%	18 12.6%	14 20.8%	10 9.4%	22 18.1%	11 18.6%	8 8.5%	1 2.2%	19 24.3%	10 16.0%	2 5.1%	9 9.2%	12 8.8%	8 8.0%	13 16.6%	19 20.4%	17 14.1%	2 8.0%	-	1 2.4%	35 19.1%	5 8.5%	15 19.0%	4 6.2%	22 18.1%	23 15.6%	11 12.7%
Wasteful	121 29.9%	78 31.6%	43 27.4%	28 33.1%	34 23.4%	22 32.9%	37 34.5%	32 26.3%	26 41.7%	20 21.6%	12 37.2%	14 18.0%	25 39.2%	10 29.6%	31 30.4%	42 31.2%	35 33.2%	24 29.4%	17 17.8%	45 36.5%	11 39.7%	5 49.0%	15 36.1%	48 26.1%	20 32.4%	21 26.4%	16 28.9%	39 32.2%	44 30.2%	22 26.9%
Corrupt	74 18.4%	54 21.8%	21 13.0%	24 28.2%	30 20.4%	6 8.2%	15 14.1%	18 14.9%	5 8.5%	18 19.5%	11 33.4%	10 12.3%	12 19.1%	3 8.4%	19 18.0%	29 21.7%	22 20.7%	15 18.0%	21 22.1%	17 13.9%	6 24.2%	3 26.7%	11 26.7%	24 13.4%	14 23.8%	15 19.0%	9 15.3%	21 17.5%	32 22.3%	12 14.7%
Honest	16 4.1%	11 4.6%	5 3.2%	8 9.6%	1 0.5%	2 2.8%	6 5.2%	4 3.6%	2 3.4%	2 1.7%	-	3 4.0%	2 3.1%	1 3.4%	2 2.3%	2 1.8%	3 3.0%	6 7.1%	1 1.3%	6 5.1%	1.3%	:	1 1.7%	10 5.7%	* 0.7%	5 5.7%	4 7.9%	2 1.3%	5 3.6%	5 6.2%
Fair	34 8.3%	23 9.3%	11 6.8%	14 16.7%	10 6.9%	4 6.6%	5 4.6%	11 9.3%	9 14.3%	3 3.0%	2 5.7%	9 11.4%	8 12.3%	2 6.4%	6 6.2%	7 5.5%	7 6.9%	10 12.3%	1 1.3%	15 12.2%	-	-	1 3.3%	20 11.1%	5 7.9%	7 9.0%	2 4.1%	10 8.5%	11 7.3%	10 12.5%
Greedy	144 35.5%	104 42.1%	40 25.4%	25 29.0%	52 35.5%	25 37.0%	42 39.9%	40 33.1%	20 32.0%	48 50.7%	11 35.0%	19 24.2%	21 33.5%	15 47.5%	52 50.1%	59 44.6%	46 43.9%	24 29.9%	37 38.8%	37 30.0%	8 29.9%	6 61.6%	16 38.1%	69 37.8%	22 36.0%	23 28.6%	13 23.2%	49 41.0%	54 37.4%	27 32.7%
Innovative	18 4.5%	5 2.1%	13 8.3%	4 4.1%	7 4.8%	3 5.0%	4 4.2%	5 4.1%	5 7.6%	1 1.1%	-	4 5.2%	4 7.0%	* 1.5%	2 1.7%	2 1.3%	7 6.6%	3 3.6%	5 5.6%	3 2.6%	1.3%	-	1 3.0%	10 5.5%	-	7 8.2%	5 8.8%	3 2.9%	8 5.4%	2 2.5%
Old-fashioned	8 1.9%	4 1.5%	4 2.5%	4 4.1%	3 2.3%	* 0.6%	* 0.2%	4 3.0%	-	1 1.0%	-	2 2.4%	2 3.8%	-	1 1.4%	1 1.1%	2 1.7%	-	1 1.6%	3 2.8%	-	-	2 4.4%	5 2.7%	1 1.6%	-	1 1.0%	4 3.4%	1 0.9%	2 2.0%
Value for money	26 6.5%	11 4.6%	15 9.4%	7 8.4%	5 3.1%	11 16.6%	3 3.1%	15 12.7%	3 5.0%	-	1 4.1%	14 18.2%	5 8.2%	-	6 6.1%	6 4.7%	4 3.8%	2 2.7%	8 8.4%	12 9.7%	2 8.5%	-	-	19 10.2%	1 2.0%	4 5.1%	-	12 10.2%	9 6.3%	5 5.8%
Expensive	148 36.6%	88 35.7%	60 37.9%	28 32.6%	48 32.8%	21 31.1%	52 48.7%	49 40.2%	24 38.9%	28 30.2%	12 35.5%	28 35.4%	22 34.8%	12 38.3%	34 32.6%	41 31.1%	48 46.5%	18 22.5%	31 32.8%	49 40.0%	11 42.5%	* 4.9%	13 32.4%	66 36.3%	23 38.2%	31 38.4%	21 37.2%	43 35.6%	49 33.6%	36 43.0%
Public spirited	19 4.6%	8 3.1%	11 7.0%	7 8.4%	7 5.0%	4 5.7%	0.3%	4 3.4%	6 9.6%	3 3.0%	1 2.8%	6 7.2%	7 10.8%	1 1.9%	1 0.9%	1 0.7%	12 11.1%	2 2.6%	4 4.5%	1 0.6%	1.3%	-	-	9 4.9%	4 6.8%	5 6.5%	8 13.8%	1 1.1%	9 6.4%	0.4%
Self-interested	184 45.4%	121 49.1%	63 39.8%	25 29.4%	71 49.2%	26 38.1%	61 58.0%	74 61.1%	22 34.9%	45 47.5%	15 45.1%	44 56.2%	29 44.9%	17 53.1%	45 43.2%	54 40.7%	57 54.4%	35 43.0%	50 52.6%	41 33.5%	14 50.7%	7 68.5%	23 57.1%	78 42.8%	32 53.0%	29 36.2%	19 32.7%	55 45.3%	67 46.6%	43 52.2%
Heartless	56 13.8%	43 17.5%	13 8.0%	13 15.4%	25 17.5%	2 3.0%	15 14.2%	14 11.2%	12 18.8%	10 10.6%	11 32.5%	11 13.4%	12 18.9%	4 12.1%	10 9.3%	22 16.3%	14 13.7%	10 12.3%	15 16.1%	16 13.2%	11 41.5%	-	3 7.0%	18 10.0%	15 25.4%	8 10.2%	5 8.9%	13 11.0%	17 11.6%	21 25.0%
Caring	13 3.3%	4 1.8%	9 5.6%	8 9.6%	3 2.2%	-	2 1.7%	2 1.9%	3 4.7%	2 2.3%	-	1 1.4%	3 4.6%	1 1.9%	5 4.6%	6 4.7%	3 3.0%	3 3.1%	* 0.3%	7 6.0%	-	-	* 0.6%	8 4.1%	3 4.3%	3 3.6%	9 15.9%	2 1.3%	3 1.9%	-
Trustworthy	23 5.7%	13 5.1%	10 6.5%	8 9.3%	7 4.7%	1 2.0%	7 6.4%	7 5.4%	1 1.9%	3 2.8%	1 3.2%	5 6.8%	2 3.1%	2 6.6%	4 3.4%	5 3.9%	5 4.7%	6 7.3%	3 3.3%	9 7.3%	2 7.1%	-	* 1.1%	10 5.5%	1 2.1%	9 11.6%	2 4.0%	3.3%	8 5.6%	9 10.5%
Untrustworthy	129 31.8%	91 36.9%	38 24.0%	26 30.7%	48 33.2%	16 24.0%	38 36.0%	28 22.6%	22 35.5%	34 36.3%	20 60.3%	13 16.4%	24 37.2%	10 30.6%	32 31.0%	50 37.6%	36 34.1%	28 34.5%	27 28.1%	38 30.6%	9 33.9%	3 26.8%	16 39.9%	56 30.5%	18 30.2%	27 32.8%	18 31.3%	40 33.2%	51 35.4%	20 24.1%
Accountable	47 11.6%	22 9.0%	25 15.6%	12 13.7%	14 9.7%	12 17.1%	9 9.0%	21 17.6%	4 6.9%	2 2.7%	2 6.3%	18 23.3%	5 7.2%	* 1.2%	8 7.8%	8 6.0%	15 14.1%	8 9.3%	16 16.5%	9 7.2%	2 8.5%	-	4 9.4%	29 16.0%	3 4.2%	9 11.3%	6 10.1%	18 15.1%	13 9.3%	9 11.4%
Unaccountable	139 34.3%	103 41.9%	35 22.3%	21 23.8%	42 28.8%	20 29.4%	56 53.4%	42 34.1%	18 29.9%	34 36.1%	21 63.2%	16 20.9%	22 34.3%	8 25.4%	46 44.7%	62 46.7%	38 36.8%	31 37.9%	27 28.4%	43 34.5%	12 43.2%	6 60.2%	9 22.5%	56 31.0%	23 38.2%	30 36.6%	17 30.7%	45 37.4%	49 34.2%	27 32.3%
Reliable	47 11.6%	19 7.9%	28 17.5%	10 11.3%	14 9.8%	12 17.0%	12 11.1%	17 14.2%	7 11.4%	3 3.0%	1 4.2%	15 18.9%	7 11.7%	2 6.6%	7 6.7%	9 6.4%	8 7.7%	2 2.9%	15 15.8%	22 17.6%	3 10.0%	-	7 18.1%	25 13.9%	2 4.0%	9 11.6%	5 8.4%	25 20.8%	12 8.2%	5 6.5%



Prepared by Survation

Page 31

Table 28

Q12. Which of the following words would you use to describe outsourcing company Serco?

Base: Respondents heard of outsourcing company Serco

	Total	Ger	nder		Aç	ge			2010 \	/ote			Votir	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	Inner- city/ urban	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Weighted Total	405	246	158	86	145	68	106	122	62	94	33	79	64	32	103	133	104	81	95	124	27	10	41	182	61	81	57	121	145	83
Unreliable	155 38.3%	99 40.3%	56 35.2%	21 23.8%	53 36.7%	31 45.5%	50 47.7%	42 34.6%	19 31.0%	50 53.0%	17 51.3%	20 25.0%	23 35.3%	14 43.1%	47 45.1%	59 44.2%	42 39.9%	39 48.4%	40 41.8%	34 27.2%	9 35.0%	3 25.5%	15 35.9%	77 42.1%	24 40.3%	24 29.6%	17 29.6%	44 36.3%	63 43.4%	32 38.2%
Incompetent	134 33.2%	85 34.7%	49 30.8%	28 32.8%	46 31.5%	16 23.4%	44 42.0%	32 26.0%	26 41.4%	34 35.8%	15 47.3%	12 15.6%	25 38.6%	14 42.2%	45 44.0%	57 42.4%	40 38.0%	31 38.1%	24 25.3%	39 31.4%	14 50.7%	6 56.9%	17 41.7%	53 28.9%	19 32.0%	24 29.2%	22 39.3%	41 34.1%	42 28.8%	29 35.1%
Effective	62 15.4%	29 11.9%	33 20.8%	20 22.9%	20 13.6%	15 22.4%	7 7.1%	21 17.1%	10 16.4%	3 2.7%	1 2.3%	21 26.9%	10 15.0%	1 1.9%	11 10.8%	12 8.9%	9 8.5%	12 14.7%	19 19.8%	23 18.3%	1 3.3%	-	8 19.2%	37 20.1%	5 8.0%	12 14.8%	13 23.2%	25 21.0%	14 10.0%	9 11.3%
Patriotic	3 0.8%	2 0.9%	1 0.7%	1 1.3%	1 0.4%	* 0.4%	1 1.4%	2 2.0%	1 1.5%	-	-	2 2.5%	-	-	1 1.1%	1 1.0%	1 1.0%	1 0.7%	1 0.6%	1 0.9%	1.3%	-	1 1.9%	1 0.3%	1 0.9%	1 1.4%	1 0.9%	1 1.1%	1 0.4%	1 1.1%
Unpatriotic	24 5.8%	14 5.8%	9 5.9%	9 10.7%	10 6.7%	1 0.9%	4 3.8%	4 3.1%	2 3.9%	4 4.7%	5 14.9%	1 1.7%	6 9.7%	2 6.2%	5 5.2%	11 8.1%	5 5.0%	4 5.1%	2 2.6%	12 9.5%	6 21.4%		4 9.8%	7 3.8%	1 2.2%	5 6.8%	3 5.8%	6 4.8%	10 7.3%	4 4.7%
SIGMA	1683 415.7%	1060 430.1%	623 393.4%	368 427.6%	569 391.4%	264 390.3%	482 455.9%	511 419.4%	258 417.9%	354 378.0%	159 486.5%	308 391.7%	286 448.4%	121 373.0%	429 415.9%	560 419.5%	465 446.5%	325 401.9%	387 405.8%	501 404.5%	124 463.6%	38 380.0%	169 412.2%	768 421.7%	244 402.6%	326 401.8%	220 387.4%	527 436.6%	595 411.7%	341 411.9%



Prepared by Survation

Table 29

Q13. Have you heard of outsourcing company G4S?

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Yes No

SIGMA

To	tal	Gen	der		Aç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	ality	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
10	05	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
10	05	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	72 6.9%	387 79.4%	285 55.1%	162 56.2%	241 67.8%	106 70.3%	163 77.5%	221 82.8%	132 61.5%	135 79.2%	51 72.6%	136 77.0%	132 61.9%	38 74.8%	164 76.1%	216 74.2%	153 75.2%	139 70.0%	160 68.1%	219 59.7%	64 64.3%	31 56.8%	47 54.9%	256 69.5%	108 67.4%	164 70.7%	88 56.2%	155 63.2%	262 68.3%	166 76.3%
	33 3.1%	101 20.6%	232 44.9%	127 43.8%	114 32.2%	45 29.7%	47 22.5%	46 17.2%	82 38.5%	35 20.8%	19 27.4%	41 23.0%	81 38.1%	13 25.2%	51 23.9%	75 25.8%	50 24.8%	60 30.0%	75 31.9%	148 40.3%	35 35.7%	23 43.2%	39 45.1%	112 30.5%	52 32.6%	68 29.3%	69 43.8%	91 36.8%	122 31.7%	52 23.7%
	05 0.0%	488 100.0%	517 100.0%	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213 100.0%	51 100.0%	215 100.0%	291 100.0%	203 100.0%	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99 100.0%	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232 100.0%	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218 100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Page 33

Table 30

Q14. Which of the following words would you use to describe outsourcing company G4S? Base : Respondents heard of outsourcing company G4S

	Total	Ge	nder		Aç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Inter	ntion			SE	G				Regi	ion6				Loca	ality	
																											Inner-	Outer suburban		Village /
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/ urban	/ Suburban	Town	Countrys
Unweighted Total	701	381	320	134	265	110	192	226	127	130	67	125	135	43	172	231	160	173	178	189	60	29	62	255	105	187	112	185	235	169
Weighted Total	672	387	285	162	241	106	163	221	132	135	51	136	132	38	164	216	153	139	160	219	64	31	47	256	108	164	88	155	262	166
Efficient	39 5.8%	13 3.4%	26 9.0%	20 12.2%	11 4.7%	6 5.9%	1 0.9%	15 6.6%	4 2.7%	1 1.1%	1 2.1%	11 8.3%	4 3.1%	2 6.0%	3 1.8%	7 3.0%	4 2.9%	11 7.7%	11 6.9%	13 5.8%	4 5.5%	1.2%	6 12.9%	14 5.5%	4 3.4%	11 6.9%	7 8.0%	8 5.4%	16 6.2%	7 4.4%
Wasteful	243 36.2%	156 40.4%	87 30.5%	39 24.1%	88 36.7%	44 41.4%	72 44.0%	80 36.3%	43 33.0%	53 39.2%	28 54.9%	39 28.4%	46 34.5%	12 30.4%	72 44.2%	92 42.4%	67 43.9%	51 36.4%	52 32.7%	72 33.0%	28 44.7%	7 22.0%	14 30.9%	100 39.0%	25 23.1%	67 41.0%	30 34.1%	55 35.6%	102 38.8%	56 33.6%
Corrupt	154 22.9%	102 26.4%	52 18.2%	35 21.7%	61 25.2%	23 22.1%	35 21.4%	43 19.4%	30 22.6%	25 18.9%	25 49.9%	17 12.4%	23 17.8%	4 11.4%	51 31.2%	73 33.9%	30 19.5%	46 32.8%	31 19.1%	48 22.0%	18 28.4%	9 30.0%	12 26.3%	52 20.3%	28 26.1%	34 20.9%	18 20.9%	42 26.7%	58 22.0%	36 21.9%
Honest	14 2.1%	7 1.7%	8 2.7%	10 6.1%	4 1.6%	-	* 0.2%	3 1.5%	3 2.2%	0.3%	2 3.0%	2 1.5%	7 5.5%		3 1.7%	3 1.3%	4 2.7%	1 0.9%	6 3.6%	3 1.4%	3 4.4%	* 1.0%	4 9.4%	2 0.9%	2 1.6%	3 1.7%	5 6.1%	2 1.2%	6 2.5%	1 0.4%
Fair	36 5.4%	21 5.4%	16 5.5%	28 17.0%	7 3.1%	* 0.3%	1 0.7%	5 2.3%	3 2.5%	4 3.1%	1 2.8%	7 5.0%	6 4.9%	2 4.4%	1 0.5%	4 1.7%	9 5.8%	9 6.8%	6 4.0%	12 5.3%	4 6.3%	1.2%	5 10.3%	14 5.6%	6 6.0%	6 3.9%	12 13.3%	4 2.6%	15 5.6%	6 3.5%
Greedy	246 36.6%	172 44.5%	73	36 22.4%	99 41.3%	40 38.1%	70 42.9%	74 33.4%	49 36.9%	56 41.9%	26 51.5%	42 30.6%	48 36.4%	14 35.2%	72 44.3%	96 44.7%	49 32.0%	48 34.7%	76 47.7%	72 32.7%	22 34.3%	13 42.9%	19 40.0%	98 38.3%	41 37.5%	52 31.5%	27 31.1%	60 38.8%	97 37.1%	61 36.6%
Innovative	19 2.8%	13 3.3%	6 2.1%	8 5.0%	6 2.5%	1 1.2%	3 2.0%	3 1.6%	8 6.0%	1 0.7%	* 0.9%	2 1.5%	7 5.7%	1 1.5%	2 1.0%	3 1.5%	2 1.3%	5 3.9%	5 2.9%	7 3.1%	1 0.9%	1 3.1%	2 5.3%	8 3.1%	-	7 4.1%	1 1.5%	4 2.3%	8 3.1%	6 3.5%
Old-fashioned	24 3.5%	10 2.5%	14 4.9%	11 6.9%	8 3.4%	4 3.9%	-	6 2.8%	4 2.9%	6 4.6%	1 2.2%	9 6.5%	4 2.9%	:	4 2.4%	6 2.8%	9 5.8%	* 0.2%	6 3.8%	7 3.3%	3 3.9%	* 1.0%	1 2.6%	6 2.4%	5 4.9%	8 5.0%	7 7.5%	3 2.1%	11 4.1%	3 1.8%
Value for money	21 3.1%	14 3.6%	7 2.4%	14 8.3%	4 1.5%	2 1.8%	2 1.0%	5 2.1%	6 4.3%	1 0.9%	2 4.4%	6 4.5%	4 3.0%	-	3 1.7%	5 2.4%	6 3.9%	8 5.5%	2 1.1%	5 2.5%	1 2.3%	-	4 9.5%	6 2.3%	6 5.4%	3 1.9%	4 5.1%	3	8 3.0%	6 3.3%
Expensive	246 36.5%	146 37.7%	100 34.9%	43 26.5%	80 33.4%	37 35.1%	85 52.0%	85 38.3%	44 33.7%	45 33.7%	32 62.7%	52 38.4%	38 29.1%	19 48.7%	54 32.8%	77 35.5%	51 33.6%	39 27.9%	54 33.9%	101 46.1%	30 46.8%	2 8.0%	15 31.9%	82 31.9%	57 53.1%	58 35.4%	28 31.5%	42 27.1%	105 40.1%	71 42.4%
Public spirited	13 1.9%	4 1.0%	9	7 4.0%	3 1.1%	3 3.0%	* 0.3%	1 0.6%	2 1.8%	3 2.4%	* 0.7%	* 0.3%	4 2.7%	* 1.3%	1 0.3%	2 0.9%	2 1.1%	1 0.7%	8 5.2%	2 0.8%	7 11.6%	-	1 2.5%	1 0.5%	1 1.2%	2 1.0%	5 5.3%	2 1.0%	3 1.3%	3 2.0%
Self-interested	277 41.3%	173 44.7%	104	37 22.6%	105 43.5%	48 45.6%	88 53.7%	113 51.3%	46 35.3%	52 38.5%	23 45.6%	62 45.6%	44 33.1%	9 24.6%	91 55.4%	113 52.6%	50 32.7%	56 40.2%	77 47.9%	94 42.9%	25 39.7%	10 33.3%	18 38.6%	109 42.4%	42 38.9%	71 43.2%	29 33.1%	70	114 43.6%	64 38.4%
Heartless	84 12.5%	62 15.9%	22	27 16.4%	28 11.8%	14 13.7%	14 8.9%	28 12.8%	13 10.0%	16 11.6%	11 20.9%	25 18.1%	16 11.9%	5 13.1%	15 9.0%	27 12.3%	15 9.9%	25 17.8%	25 15.5%	19 8.8%	7 11.0%	3 11.2%	5 11.4%	32 12.5%	24 22.0%	12 7.3%	12 13.5%	19 12.0%	27 10.3%	27 16.0%
Caring	10 1.5%	2 0.5%	8 2.8%	8 5.0%	1 0.6%	* 0.4%	-	2 0.8%	3 2.2%	-	-	3 2.3%	4 3.0%	-	1 0.3%	1 0.2%	3 2.2%	1 0.6%	4 2.5%	2 0.8%	1 1.8%	-	3 7.2%	-	* 0.4%	5 3.1%	3 3.8%	3 2.0%	2 0.8%	1 0.9%
Trustworthy	41 6.0%	19 4.9%	22 7.6%	19 11.7%	7 3.1%	12 11.5%	2 1.2%	11 4.9%	3 2.1%	8 5.7%	* 0.7%	11 7.9%	7 5.4%	3 8.7%	1 0.4%	1 0.3%	6 3.6%	8 5.5%	20 12.2%	8 3.6%	6 8.9%	-	6 12.3%	17 6.6%	5 4.9%	7 4.2%	7 8.1%	13 8.1%	13 4.9%	8 4.7%
Untrustworthy	314 46.7%	203 52.5%	111 38.9%	66 40.6%	131 54.4%	32 30.4%	85 52.2%	107 48.6%	68 51.8%	54 40.2%	31 61.8%	51 37.7%	74 56.1%	14 35.5%	87 53.2%	115 53.3%	64 42.0%	62 44.4%	85 53.3%	103 46.9%	34 52.8%	16 53.0%	26 55.2%	122 47.5%	47 43.4%	68 41.7%	34 38.8%	86 55.3%	113 43.0%	81 48.9%
Accountable	43 6.3%	21 5.3%	22 7.7%	21 12.8%	13 5.2%	6 5.7%	3 1.9%	11 4.9%	8 6.2%	3 2.5%	1 2.8%	9 7.0%	13 10.2%	1 3.0%	7 4.3%	8 3.7%	16 10.3%	5 3.3%	9 5.8%	13 5.9%	3 4.2%	1 3.8%	5 10.8%	12 4.6%	10 8.9%	12 7.5%	10 11.1%	6 4.0%	18 6.8%	9 5.3%
Unaccountable	212 31.5%	135 34.8%	77	40 24.6%	78 32.2%	29 27.2%	65 40.1%	81 36.6%	35 26.6%	37 27.2%	22 43.7%	43 31.6%	33 25.0%	9 23.6%	67 41.0%	87	48 31.6%	50 35.8%	42 25.9%	71 32.4%	21 32.3%	6 19.8%	13 26.9%	70 27.4%	37 34.5%	64 38.7%	21 24.2%	50	85 32.4%	55 33.3%
Reliable	35 5.2%	12 3.1%	23 7.9%	15 9.0%	7 2.9%	12 11.2%	1 0.7%	14 6.4%	5 3.6%	6 4.2%	3 5.9%	12 8.8%	7 5.5%	3 7.2%	3 1.6%	5 2.4%	10 6.3%	3 2.2%	17 10.4%	5 2.3%	5 7.7%	-	5 9.9%	13 5.0%	3 3.2%	9 5.4%	3 3.9%	14 8.7%	12 4.7%	5 3.1%



Prepared by Survation

Table 30

Q14. Which of the following words would you use to describe outsourcing company G4S? Base : Respondents heard of outsourcing company G4S

	Total	Ger	der		Ag	ie .			2010 \	/ote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	litv	$\overline{}$
			Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB		OTHER	CON	LAB	LD		OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales			Midlands	North	Inner- city/ urban	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Weighted Total	672	387	285	162	241	106	163	221	132	135	51	136	132	38	164	216	153	139	160	219	64	31	47	256	108	164	88	155	262	166
Unreliable	439	264	174	72	170	65	131	158	86	93	39	84	83	26	126	157	97	87	99	155	45	20	27	182	61	103	52	108	162	117
	65.3%	68.3%	61.2%	44.5%	70.8%	61.6%	80.2%	71.7%	65.1%	69.3%	76.0%	61.8%	63.2%	69.1%	77.1%	72.8%	63.5%	62.9%	61.5%	70.7%	69.8%	65.9%	57.4%	70.9%	56.2%	62.5%	58.7%	69.8%	61.8%	70.1%
Incompetent	412	255	157	58	151	73	130	146	77	98	34	81	76	25	124	149	87	84	107	134	33	22	24	169	63	100	39	97	162	115
	61.4%	65.9%	55.2%	35.9%	62.9%	69.2%	79.4%	66.3%	58.2%	72.6%	66.2%	59.1%	57.4%	65.8%	75.5%	69.0%	57.0%	60.8%	66.5%	61.3%	51.2%	70.7%	51.8%	66.1%	57.8%	60.8%	44.3%	62.4%	61.7%	69.0%
Effective	48	16	32	18	13	14	2	16	7	6	2	20	5	1	4	6	10	11	19	7	7	1	5	18	5	11	4	15	17	12
	7.1%	4.1%	11.1%	11.3%	5.4%	13.5%	1.3%	7.2%	5.5%	4.8%	3.4%	14.9%	4.1%	3.0%	2.2%	2.6%	6.8%	8.0%	11.6%	3.4%	10.9%	2.7%	11.0%	7.0%	5.1%	6.8%	4.3%	9.4%	6.4%	7.4%
Patriotic	6 0.9%	4 0.9%	2 0.8%	4 2.3%	2 0.8%	* 0.2%	-	2 0.7%	1 0.5%	-	-	1 1.0%	2 1.6%		2 1.3%	2 1.1%	1 0.3%	2 1.5%	2 1.4%	1 0.4%	-	-	3 6.1%	1 0.3%	1 0.8%	1 0.9%	3 3.0%	1 0.6%	2 0.7%	* 0.2%
Unpatriotic	28	16	13	10	10	4	5	8	6	3	3	1	9	1	7	11	8	3	6	12	4	*	4	8	3	8	6	5	14	3
	4.2%	4.1%	4.4%	6.1%	4.1%	3.9%	2.8%	3.5%	4.4%	2.3%	6.3%	0.6%	7.1%	3.0%	4.1%	5.3%	5.0%	1.8%	3.7%	5.6%	6.6%	1.4%	9.5%	3.1%	2.8%	5.1%	6.9%	3.3%	5.5%	1.7%
SIGMA	3002	1840	1162	645	1088	473	796	1016	553	573	288	591	566	152	798	1050	647	615	768	967	310	114	230	1135	477	723	369	710	1171	753
	446.7%	475.0%	408.1%	397.0%	452.4%	446.8%	487.7%	460.6%	419.9%	425.6%	568.5%	433.6%	429.3%	395.3%	487.3%	486.3%	424.1%	442.3%	479.1%	440.9%	485.9%	372.1%	489.4%	442.8%	441.2%	440.7%	418.1%	457.3%	446.4%	452.4%



Prepared by Survation

Table 31

Q15. Have you heard of outsourcing company Atos?

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Yes No

SIGMA

	otal	Gen	der		Aç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1 1	005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1	005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	281 28.0%	152 31.1%	130 25.1%	62 21.5%	111 31.3%	67 44.5%	41 19.4%	59 22.3%	75 34.9%	66 38.9%	33 46.8%	35 19.6%	70 32.8%	10 20.2%	65 30.3%	104 35.7%	59 29.1%	40 20.3%	59 25.3%	122 33.1%	44 44.1%	17 32.3%	21 24.8%	93 25.3%	39 24.4%	66 28.6%	37 23.3%	76 31.0%	113 29.4%	56 25.6%
	724 72.0%	336 68.9%	387 74.9%	227 78.5%	244 68.7%	84 55.5%	170 80.6%	207 77.7%	139 65.1%	104 61.1%	37 53.2%	142 80.4%	143 67.2%	41 79.8%	150 69.7%	187 64.3%	144 70.9%	158 79.7%	176 74.7%	246 66.9%	55 55.9%	37 67.7%	64 75.2%	275 74.7%	121 75.6%	166 71.4%	121 76.7%	170 69.0%	271 70.6%	162 74.4%
	005 00.0%	488 100.0%	517 100.0%	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213 100.0%	51 100.0%	215 100.0%	291 100.0%	203 100.0%	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99 100.0%	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232 100.0%	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218 100.0%



Table 32

Q16. Which of the following words would you use to describe outsourcing company Atos?

Base: Respondents heard of outsourcing company Atos

	Total	Gei	nder		A	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Inter	ntion			SE	G				Regi	ion6				Loca	ality	
																											Inner-	Outer suburban		Village /
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/ urban	/ Suburban	Town	Countrys
Unweighted Total	278	147	131	47	121	59	51	65	66	57	38	36	65	14	59	96	64	61	64	88	33	17	31	89	42	66	43	81	86	68
Weighted Total	281	152	130	62	111	67	41	59	75	66	33	35	70	10	65	104	59	40	59	122	44	17	21	93	39	66	37	76	113	56
Efficient	9 3.3%	6 4.3%	3 2.1%	1 1.5%	4 3.9%	3 4.7%	1 1.8%	4 6.6%	3 4.2%	1 2.1%	-	6 16.7%	1 1.2%	1 5.7%	1 1.1%	2 1.6%	3 4.9%	1 3.7%	4 6.8%	1 0.6%	0.9%	1 5.4%	2 9.3%	1 1.1%	2 3.9%	3 5.0%	2 6.4%	1 1.0%	3 3.0%	3 4.7%
Wasteful	92 32.8%	52 34.4%	40 30.8%	17 28.0%	38 34.5%	18 26.6%	19 45.4%	14 23.3%	32 42.2%	25 37.9%	6 18.4%	7 21.3%	39 55.5%	3 27.1%	16 24.8%	22 21.1%	14 24.1%	15 36.7%	18 30.6%	44 36.2%	15 34.5%	12 68.3%	4 19.7%	26 27.6%	15 37.2%	21 31.1%	11 29.3%	22 28.6%	33 29.1%	27 48.2%
Corrupt	86 30.7%	46 30.1%	41 31.4%	17 27.7%	45 40.0%	17 25.6%	7 18.2%	9 14.8%	26 34.6%	19 29.1%	15 46.1%	* 0.7%	26 37.3%	1 14.4%	19 28.8%	40 38.3%	16 26.9%	13 33.3%	11 18.0%	46 38.1%	21 48.0%	5 30.2%	8 39.0%	18 19.0%	17 43.6%	17 25.6%	14 37.6%	27 35.3%	34 30.5%	11 20.4%
Honest	3 1.1%	3 2.1%	-	2 2.8%	1 1.0%	-	* 0.9%	1 1.2%	3 3.4%		-	1 3.3%	2 2.5%		* 0.5%	* 0.3%	2 3.5%	* 0.9%	-	1 0.6%	-	-	1 5.3%	* 0.4%	-	2 2.6%	2 4.7%	1 1.0%	* 0.3%	* 0.6%
Fair	11 3.8%	6 4.0%	5 3.6%	3 4.9%	5 4.7%	2 2.3%	1 2.3%	3 4.8%	4 5.3%	2 2.4%	-	3 8.0%	3 4.1%	-	1 1.1%	2 2.2%	6 10.1%	3 6.6%	1 2.3%	1 0.6%	1 2.7%	-	2 9.9%	2 2.1%	3 7.2%	3 4.1%	2 4.7%	4 5.1%	3 2.6%	2 4.0%
Greedy	128 45.7%	77 50.7%	52 39.7%	24 39.1%	49 43.6%	36 54.3%	19 47.0%	22 36.8%	24 32.5%	38 58.1%	18 54.9%	9 24.6%	30 42.7%	3 29.0%	40 61.7%	58 55.9%	20 33.8%	17 42.2%	34 58.0%	56 46.1%	26 60.2%	3 19.8%	12 56.2%	48 51.4%	15 37.2%	24 36.5%	14 37.6%	35 46.5%	53 46.5%	27 48.1%
Innovative	6 2.0%	2 1.2%	4 2.9%	-	3 2.7%	1 1.7%	1 3.6%	4 7.5%	-	1 1.7%	-	3 10.0%	-	2 16.9%	* 0.5%	* 0.3%	2 3.0%	-	-	4 3.1%	-	-	-	1 1.6%	1 2.9%	3 4.5%	-	1 1.5%	3 2.3%	2 3.2%
Old-fashioned	8 2.8%	2 1.4%	6	2 3.1%	5 4.6%	* 0.5%	* 0.9%	3 5.0%	* 0.5%	1 2.2%	3 8.2%	2 5.2%	2 3.2%	-	1 1.6%	2 2.0%	* 0.6%	* 0.9%	* 0.6%	6 4.8%	-	-	-	2 1.7%	4 9.9%	2 3.5%	3 8.3%	1 1.2%	2 2.0%	2 2.9%
Value for money	4 1.3%	3 1.7%	1 0.8%	1 1.7%	1 0.7%	2 2.7%	-	2 3.6%		* 0.7%	1 3.2%	3 8.0%	-	-	1 1.3%	1 0.8%	3 5.5%	* 0.9%	-	-	-	-	2 7.7%	1 1.1%	-	1 1.4%	1 3.5%	1 1.2%	* 0.3%	1 1.9%
Expensive	91 32.4%	44 29.3%	47	18 28.4%	34 30.8%	18 27.3%	21 51.0%	17 28.2%	23 31.2%	21 31.9%	17 50.9%	9 25.9%	23 32.9%	7 65.8%	23 36.1%	33	19 31.6%	12 30.5%	16 26.4%	44 36.5%	19 44.0%	1 5.4%	5 25.0%	26 27.8%	12 31.2%	27 41.3%	9 24.7%	23	36 32.2%	22 39.8%
Public spirited	8 2.8%	6 3.7%	2 1.8%	2 2.8%	4 3.4%	2 3.5%	-	4 6.2%	2 2.3%	2 2.6%	-	2 5.5%	2 3.3%	1 11.1%	1 1.3%	1 0.8%	4 7.2%	2 3.9%	1 1.5%	1 0.9%	1 1.8%	-	1 5.7%	2 2.3%	2 5.2%	2 2.6%	3 8.6%	2 2.0%	2 2.2%	1 1.4%
Self-interested	121 43.1%	64 42.3%	57 43.9%	22 34.7%	51 45.5%	22 32.7%	27 66.0%	27 44.8%	31 41.8%	32 48.8%	14 41.8%	9 26.2%	29 41.1%	8 72.5%	28 43.4%	46 44.0%	26 43.5%	14 34.6%	18 30.6%	62 51.3%	27 61.9%	6 34.4%	11 54.0%	29 31.1%	13 32.3%	35 52.4%	10 26.4%	35 45.6%	50 44.0%	27 48.5%
Heartless	173 61.5%	92 60.8%	81 62.3%	34 54.1%	68 61.5%	44 66.3%	26 64.6%	24 40.8%	46 60.9%	45 67.9%	25 75.4%	7 18.9%	42 60.0%	5 46.5%	46 71.4%	78 74.8%	26 44.1%	23 56.0%	38 64.4%	86 70.8%	38 87.5%	12 70.3%	13 62.1%	58 62.7%	14 35.3%	37 55.5%	20 54.5%	50 65.3%	66 58.7%	37 66.4%
Caring	9 3.2%	7 4.6%	2 1.7%	7 11.4%	1 0.8%	1 1.7%	-	5 7.8%	2 2.3%	2 2.6%	1 3.2%	6 16.3%	2 3.3%	1 11.1%	-	-	3 4.7%	* 0.9%	5 8.1%	1 0.9%	-	-	* 1.7%	2 1.7%	5 13.9%	2 2.6%	2 6.2%	1 1.5%	5 4.1%	1 1.9%
Trustworthy	9 3.0%	5 3.6%	3 2.4%	4 6.1%	3 2.4%	1 1.7%	1 2.3%	1 1.2%	6 8.1%	1 1.7%	-	3 7.6%	4 5.4%	1 11.1%	0.5%	* 0.3%	6 10.6%	* 0.9%	-	2 1.6%	-	-	2 8.2%	2 1.8%	3 8.6%	2 2.6%	5 12.6%	3 3.3%	* 0.3%	1 1.9%
Untrustworthy	175 62.2%	97 63.9%	78 60.1%	34 55.2%	80 72.1%	42 62.3%	19 45.7%	25 41.5%	40 53.8%	49 74.8%	21 65.0%	8 22.9%	46 65.7%	4 42.6%	51 78.9%	78 74.9%	35 59.0%	22 55.5%	36 60.9%	81 67.0%	25 56.0%	12 67.9%	15 71.6%	65 69.9%	19 49.2%	39 58.5%	20 53.5%	54 71.5%	68 60.4%	33 58.6%
Accountable	9 3.1%	7 4.7%	1 1.1%	3 4.3%	5 4.8%		1 1.5%	3 5.0%	3 3.4%	1 2.1%	* 1.1%	4 10.3%	2 2.5%	1 5.7%	1 1.1%	2 1.6%	4 7.2%	2 4.7%	1 2.2%	1 0.9%	-	2 11.8%	3 11.9%	1 0.8%	1 2.3%	2 3.5%	2 5.9%	3 3.8%	2 1.5%	2 3.4%
Unaccountable	143 50.8%	78 51.7%	65 49.8%	34 55.4%	50 45.3%	37 55.0%	21 52.1%	20 34.2%	34 45.2%	38 56.8%	22 66.8%	6 16.4%	35 49.5%	4 42.4%	43 66.8%	65 62.4%	24 41.3%	18 45.3%	33 55.2%	67 54.7%	35 79.2%	6 37.0%	8 37.5%	50 53.9%	18 47.2%	25 37.8%	11 29.0%	34 44.8%	67 59.7%	31 55.3%
Reliable	13 4.7%	8 5.2%	5 4.1%	7 10.8%	3 2.8%	2 3.0%	1 3.3%	6 9.9%	3 3.9%	1 1.7%	1 4.5%	6 16.8%	2 3.1%	2 16.9%	1 1.2%	3 2.4%	3 4.9%	1 3.7%	5 8.6%	4 3.0%	* 0.9%	-	2 10.1%	3 3.3%	7 16.7%	1 1.5%	2 4.8%	3 4.2%	6 5.7%	2 3.2%

Survation.

Prepared by Survation

Page 37

Table 32

Q16. Which of the following words would you use to describe outsourcing company Atos?

Base: Respondents heard of outsourcing company Atos

	Total	Gen	der		Age			2010 Vote				Voting Intention					SEG				Region6						Locality			
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Weighted Total	281	152	130	62	111	67	41	59	75	66	33	35	70	10	65	104	59	40	59	122	44	17	21	93	39	66	37	76	113	56
Unreliable	153 54.6%	89 58.7%	65 49.7%	31 50.0%	67 59.8%	30 44.2%	26 64.2%	24 40.6%	45 60.1%	35 53.2%	21 65.5%	9 26.2%	44 63.4%	5 49.8%	41 63.2%	66 64.0%	27 46.5%	26 64.6%	21 35.6%	79 64.8%	30 68.5%	10 57.5%	11 49.6%	45 47.8%	18 46.7%	40 60.3%	21 57.2%	49 64.6%	50 44.1%	34 60.5%
Incompetent	185 65.8%	94 62.2%	91 70.1%	34 54.4%	73 65.8%	48 71.8%	30 73.3%	29 48.3%	45 60.3%	52 79.1%	24 73.8%	13 38.1%	47 66.9%	6 53.3%	53 81.6%	81 77.9%	38 63.9%	28 70.7%	39 66.3%	79 64.6%	22 50.1%	14 80.6%	11 53.4%	67 72.3%	22 57.5%	48 72.1%	22 61.0%	59 76.9%	70 61.5%	35 62.5%
Effective	10 3.6%	6 3.7%	5 3.5%	2 2.8%	2 1.5%	7 10.0%	-	4 7.5%	3 3.9%	2 2.8%	1.3%	5 15.1%	2 3.1%	1 11.1%	1 1.9%	1 1.2%	4 6.7%	1.0%	4 6.4%	2 1.6%	* 0.9%	-	2 11.4%	1 0.7%	1 2.9%	5 8.2%	3 8.3%	3 4.3%	3 2.4%	1 2.0%
Patriotic	3 1.1%	2 1.6%	1 0.5%	2 2.8%	* 0.3%	-	1 2.3%	* 0.6%	2 2.3%	-	-	-	2 2.5%		* 0.5%	* 0.3%	3 4.6%	-	* 0.6%		-	-	1 4.4%	* 0.4%	-	2 2.6%	2 4.7%	1 0.8%	* 0.3%	0.6%
Unpatriotic	33 11.8%	18 11.7%	15 11.9%	6 9.2%	15 13.3%	5 8.1%	7 17.6%	1 2.4%	19 25.0%	4 6.5%	1 3.3%	-	19 27.4%	1 6.6%	7 11.0%	8 7.9%	1 2.1%	1 1.9%	4 7.1%	27 22.1%	9 21.6%		3 13.2%	9 10.0%	1 2.8%	10 15.7%	3 7.9%	7 9.9%	15 13.7%	7 13.1%
SIGMA	1482 527.0%	815 537.7%	667 514.5%	305 491.2%	607 545.8%	339 506.0%	231 564.2%	251 422.5%	394 527.2%	374 566.6%	191 583.3%	120 344.1%	403 576.3%	56 539.9%	378 580.3%	588 566.7%	290 490.4%	201 499.4%	291 490.2%	695 571.2%	271 618.9%	85 488.6%	120 566.7%	459 492.5%	193 493.8%	353 531.6%	182 497.6%	419 550.6%	573 507.7%	308 553.1%



Prepared by Survation

Table 33

Q17. Have you heard of outsourcing company Capita?

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Yes No

SIGMA

Total	Gen	nder		A	ge	2010 Vote						Voti	ng Inten	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	ality	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
272 27.0%	164 33.6%	108 20.9%	46 16.0%	119 33.5%	53 35.4%	53 25.4%	88 32.9%	54 25.0%	65 38.5%	25 35.3%	58 33.0%	56 26.1%	23 45.4%	68 31.6%	87 29.9%	74 36.7%	62 31.0%	69 29.5%	67 18.1%	19 19.3%	13 24.4%	24 27.7%	100 27.0%	47 29.5%	67 28.8%	44 28.3%	74 30.0%	96 24.9%	58 26.5%
733 73.0%	324 66.4%	409 79.1%	243 84.0%	236 66.5%	97 64.6%	157 74.6%	179 67.1%	160 75.0%	104 61.5%	45 64.7%	119 67.0%	157 73.9%	28 54.6%	147 68.4%	204 70.1%	128 63.3%	137 69.0%	166 70.5%	301 81.9%	80 80.7%	41 75.6%	62 72.3%	269 73.0%	113 70.5%	165 71.2%	113 71.7%	172 70.0%	289 75.1%	160 73.5%
1005 100.0%	488 100.0%	517 100.0%	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213 100.0%	51 100.0%	215 100.0%	291 100.0%	203 100.0%	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99 100.0%	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232 100.0%	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218 100.0%



Table 34 Q18. Which of the following words would you use to describe outsourcing company Capita? Base: Respondents heard of outsourcing company Capita

6 May 2014

	Total	Gei	nder		Aç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Inter	ntion			SE	G				Regi	ion6				Loca	ality	
																											Inner-	Outer suburban		Village /
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	сон	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South I	Midlands	North	city/ urban	/ Suburban	Town	Countrys
Unweighted Total	292	163	129	43	121	51	77	94	60	62	33	58	65	26	68	90	85	80	69	58	17	9	33	106	47	77	44	90	96	62
Weighted Total	272	164	108	46	119	53	53	88	54	65	25	58	56	23	68	87	74	62	69	67	19	13	24	100	47	67	44	74	96	58
Efficient	55 20.3%	28 17.1%	27 25.2%	6 13.3%	24 20.2%	15 27.5%	10 19.4%	25 29.1%	13 24.9%	8 12.0%	3 11.2%	13 22.4%	13 22.9%	8 36.0%	12 18.3%	14 15.8%	17 22.8%	16 25.6%	10 14.8%	12 18.4%	1 5.0%	2.5%	5 21.1%	23 23.5%	6 13.3%	19 28.9%	11 24.8%	13 17.1%	20 20.4%	12 20.9%
Wasteful	38 13.9%	24 14.7%	14 12.8%	5 9.8%	15 12.6%	5 8.6%	14 25.7%	13 15.4%	7 13.6%	9 13.1%	5 18.7%	7 11.8%	8 14.1%	1 4.8%	8 12.1%	12 13.5%	11 14.3%	11 17.7%	7 9.6%	10 14.5%	2 11.5%	2.3%	1 5.6%	12 11.6%	6 12.5%	15 23.0%	5 11.0%	11 15.1%	12 12.4%	10 17.1%
Corrupt	27 9.9%	20 12.4%	7 6.2%	3 6.3%	16 13.1%	1 1.2%	8 14.7%	4 5.1%	4 7.7%	7 10.9%	9 37.2%	3 5.4%	5 8.8%	-	7 10.2%	13 15.4%	4 5.9%	6 9.9%	8 11.1%	9 13.2%	5 24.1%	1 8.0%	2 7.7%	5 5.5%	4 9.2%	10 14.4%	3 6.0%	7 9.4%	9 9.7%	8 13.9%
Honest	28 10.4%	11 7.0%	17 15.6%	5 11.0%	6 5.3%	12 22.7%	5 9.0%	7 8.5%	10 18.9%	3 4.9%	* 0.8%	8 14.5%	9 15.9%	-	2 3.1%	2 2.7%	6 8.6%	6 9.5%	8 10.9%	8 12.7%	3 17.3%	-	3 13.2%	7 7.1%	6 12.5%	9 13.4%	9 21.1%	8 10.8%	8 7.9%	3 5.9%
Fair	44 16.3%	18 10.9%	26 24.3%	7 14.3%	17 14.3%	11 19.8%	10 18.7%	16 17.8%	11 19.7%	4 6.4%	3 10.5%	11 18.6%	8 14.6%	3 11.0%	8 11.2%	10 11.3%	11 14.9%	11 18.1%	8 12.0%	14 20.5%	4 21.2%	6 41.9%	3 14.4%	16 15.7%	6 11.8%	10 14.9%	9 20.1%	10 13.6%	20 20.6%	5 9.5%
Greedy	70 25.8%	55 33.8%	15 13.7%	5 10.4%	35 29.8%	15 27.6%	15 28.6%	18 20.2%	9 17.4%	27 41.1%	11 46.1%	16 26.6%	7 12.1%	7 29.7%	21 31.3%	30 34.1%	13 17.1%	12 18.8%	31 44.4%	15 22.7%	7 37.1%	3 24.4%	7 31.3%	26 25.7%	17 35.5%	10 14.5%	6 12.9%	16 21.4%	23 23.7%	26 44.9%
Innovative	27 10.0%	15 9.1%	12 11.2%	13 27.2%	8 6.4%	5 10.1%	2 3.0%	13 14.8%	5 8.5%	2 2.3%	1 2.4%	10 16.9%	5 8.9%	1 4.8%	8 12.1%	9 10.1%	5 6.4%	8 12.3%	8 11.3%	7 10.3%	* 2.2%	1 8.5%	2 8.3%	9 9.4%	6 13.7%	8 11.5%	9 20.0%	8 11.2%	7 7.0%	3 5.6%
Old-fashioned	21 7.6%	17 10.2%	4 3.6%	2 5.0%	5 4.1%	13 23.9%	1 1.4%	6 7.0%	1 1.1%	11 16.4%	2 7.2%	2 4.2%	1 1.8%	* 1.5%	15 22.1%	16 18.3%	2 3.3%	4 6.4%	12 18.0%	2 2.7%	1 4.4%	3 19.0%	-	14 13.8%	* 0.8%	3 4.9%	1 2.5%	2 2.2%	16 16.3%	2 4.2%
Value for money	18 6.6%	11 6.5%	7 6.6%	-	8 6.5%	5 9.8%	5 9.1%	10 11.7%	2 4.5%	3 4.2%	-	6 10.9%	* 0.8%	* 1.9%	4 5.6%	4 4.6%	4 4.8%	6 9.3%	5 7.8%	3 4.7%	3 14.5%	-	3 14.0%	3 3.0%	5 11.5%	3 5.0%	4 8.5%	5 6.5%	6 5.9%	4 6.3%
Expensive	98 36.2%	68 41.7%	30 27.9%	11 23.0%	41 34.4%	22 42.1%	25 45.9%	32 36.5%	17 32.1%	28 42.2%	9 36.0%	22 37.5%	20 36.8%	7 30.5%	27 39.2%	32 36.6%	27 36.0%	22 35.6%	36 51.5%	14 21.1%	4 18.5%	1 7.0%	9 36.4%	40 40.1%	20 42.8%	25 37.1%	9 21.3%	20 27.0%	41 42.4%	28 49.1%
Public spirited	30 11.2%	5 3.2%	25 23.3%	12 25.7%	6 5.5%	9 16.2%	3 6.3%	2 2.5%	8 15.1%	9 14.0%	1 2.9%	1 2.4%	11 19.4%	3 13.3%	5 6.9%	5 6.2%	7 9.0%	4 6.9%	4 5.3%	16 23.6%	3 14.5%	-	1 5.3%	10 9.8%	5 10.4%	12 17.4%	15 34.2%	5 7.4%	6 6.7%	3 5.6%
Self-interested	95 34.9%	75 45.5%	20 18.8%	11 24.9%	42 35.3%	17 32.0%	24 45.8%	28 32.0%	16 30.4%	32 48.4%	11 46.6%	17 28.9%	14 25.8%	8 36.0%	31 45.1%	39 45.3%	27 35.9%	19 30.1%	33 48.1%	16 24.6%	7 34.4%	3 24.4%	10 43.7%	39 39.4%	19 40.8%	15 22.7%	11 23.7%	21 28.4%	39 40.9%	24 42.0%
Heartless	31 11.4%	23 14.3%	8 7.0%	1.0%	20 16.9%	4 8.0%	6 11.5%	13 15.3%	5 9.4%	4 6.8%	6 26.3%	9 15.2%	7 13.0%	1 2.5%	5 6.7%	10 11.9%	3 3.6%	5 8.7%	12 18.0%	10 15.7%	5 23.8%	3 19.5%	1 3.9%	6 5.9%	12 26.3%	5 7.0%	2 4.3%	5 6.3%	8 8.6%	16 28.0%
Caring	19 6.9%	10 5.9%	9 8.4%	6 12.5%	4 3.0%	6 11.6%	3 6.1%	3 3.7%	8 14.4%	4 5.8%	-	5 8.0%	7 12.4%	1 2.7%	1 0.8%	1 0.6%	5 7.2%	3 4.9%	3 4.0%	8 11.5%	3 17.3%	-	4 17.4%	6 6.3%	2 5.2%	3 3.9%	5 10.8%	3 3.5%	5 5.2%	6 11.0%
Trustworthy	34 12.3%	18 10.9%	16 14.6%	4 9.0%	14 11.9%	6 10.8%	9 17.8%	14 15.6%	8 15.1%	3 4.7%	1 5.9%	11 19.7%	4 8.0%	3 11.6%	7 9.8%	8 9.3%	8 10.4%	13 20.3%	5 7.2%	8 12.5%	1 2.8%	1 8.5%	1 5.6%	11 11.3%	11 22.7%	8 11.7%	6 14.3%	14 18.4%	10 10.4%	4 6.4%
Untrustworthy	66 24.4%	52 31.5%	15 13.6%	5 11.2%	38 31.5%	7 13.5%	16 30.8%	19 22.1%	10 19.4%	20 30.3%	12 48.9%	14 23.9%	16 28.1%	5 23.2%	14 21.2%	24 28.1%	15 20.7%	13 20.3%	21 30.3%	17 26.2%	10 52.1%	4 27.5%	7 29.8%	15 14.9%	15 32.0%	15 23.0%	6 13.5%	20 27.7%	15 15.9%	25 42.7%
Accountable	49 17.9%	17 10.6%	31 29.0%	6 13.4%	23 19.0%	13 24.0%	7 13.1%	20 23.2%	9 17.3%	4 5.5%	* 0.8%	15 25.4%	10 18.7%	4 15.1%	8 11.4%	8 9.0%	9 12.0%	11 18.6%	10 14.9%	18 27.0%	1 2.8%	3 20.4%	4 16.1%	17 16.6%	10 21.2%	15 22.5%	7 15.9%	20 26.7%	15 16.1%	6 11.2%
Unaccountable	68 24.9%	53 32.5%	14	10 22.1%	23	15 28.0%	19 35.8%	14 16.3%	10 19.5%	26 39.8%	12 48.5%	7 12.4%	13 23.6%	3 12.6%	28	37	19 25.9%	11 17.9%	22 31.3%	16 23.6%	10 50.4%	1 5.7%	4 16.2%	26 26.5%	7 15.5%	19 27.8%	7 15.8%	18	29 30.2%	13 23.0%
Reliable	56 20.6%	29 17.8%	27 24.8%	14 29.3%	23 19.0%	10 19.3%	9 17.7%	28 31.4%	7 13.3%	8 11.6%	1 4.3%	18 30.2%	11 19.3%	2 10.6%	10 15.4%	12 13.5%	17 23.3%	15 25.1%	14 19.6%	9 14.2%	4 22.2%	1 8.5%	7 29.6%	17 17.2%	13 27.5%	13 19.9%	8 18.2%	22 30.5%	16 17.2%	9 15.4%

Survation.

Prepared by Survation

Table 34

Q18. Which of the following words would you use to describe outsourcing company Capita?

Base: Respondents heard of outsourcing company Capita

1	Total		da.						2010 1	1-1-			1/-41	ng Intent				SE					Dani					1	II.A	
	Total	Gen	aer		Ag	je			2010 \	rote			VOUI	ig intent	ion			35	.u				Regi	ONO				Loca	шу	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	Inner- city/ urban	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Weighted Total	272	164	108	46	119	53	53	88	54	65	25	58	56	23	68	87	74	62	69	67	19	13	24	100	47	67	44	74	96	58
Unreliable	60 22.2%	42 25.8%	18 16.8%	6 12.6%	29 24.3%	8 14.8%	18 33.2%	20 23.3%	9 17.0%	15 22.2%	13 54.5%	16 26.9%	12 21.6%	4 16.8%	12 17.5%	24 27.8%	18 24.0%	8 12.8%	19 26.8%	16 24.1%	9 46.1%	4 27.5%	3 13.0%	17 16.7%	15 30.9%	13 19.8%	4 10.0%	15 19.9%	15 15.6%	26 45.6%
Incompetent	60 22.2%	47 28.4%	14 12.8%	4 9.5%	33 28.1%	7 13.1%	16 29.3%	22 25.2%	10 18.0%	16 24.0%	11 44.9%	16 27.2%	14 24.4%	4 18.5%	11 16.8%	21 23.9%	14 19.2%	10 15.9%	21 29.6%	16 23.7%	8 40.4%	3 25.2%	5 21.5%	17 16.7%	15 30.6%	13 19.1%	5 10.7%	15 20.9%	17 17.5%	23 40.4%
Effective	54 19.8%	26 16.1%	27 25.4%	12 25.2%	20 16.5%	14 26.6%	8 15.9%	20 22.5%	6 11.5%	10 14.5%	1 4.7%	14 24.8%	10 17.9%	7 29.4%	9 13.6%	11 12.3%	18 23.8%	11 17.8%	13 18.8%	12 18.4%	5 26.5%	-	4 15.3%	18 18.2%	12 24.6%	15 23.0%	13 30.1%	20 27.2%	12 12.6%	8 14.6%
Patriotic	5 1.7%	3 1.7%	2 1.8%	1 1.2%	4 3.3%	* 0.5%	-	3 3.7%	1 1.1%	-	1 3.7%	2 3.7%	-	-	2 3.4%	3 3.0%	-	4 7.3%	* 0.4%	-	-	-	1.0%	3 3.1%	-	1 2.1%	-	2 2.3%	3 3.2%	-
Unpatriotic	9 3.2%	6 3.6%	3 2.6%	-	5 4.0%	2 3.0%	2 4.3%	1 0.9%	2 3.5%	4 5.5%	2 7.6%	-	1 2.4%	1 3.0%	4 5.2%	6 6.7%	3 3.7%	1 2.1%	4 5.5%	1 1.2%	* 1.1%	-	1 3.4%	4 3.6%	4 7.8%	* 0.6%	1 1.8%	4 5.6%	2 1.7%	2 3.8%
SIGMA	1062 390.7%	674 411.2%	388 359.6%	146 317.8%	458 384.6%	221 414.9%	237 443.1%	354 403.7%	189 353.3%	253 386.7%	116 469.7%	244 417.4%	206 371.2%	73 315.5%	259 380.9%	350 402.3%	263 353.0%	229 372.0%	313 451.2%	258 387.1%	94 489.9%	37 280.7%	89 373.9%	360 361.1%	217 459.0%	260 388.0%	156 351.4%	283 384.1%	352 367.9%	270 467.1%



Prepared by Survation

Table 35

Q19. Which of the following do you think private companies such as Serco, G4S, Capita and Atos currently consider to be important motivators when running public services? Providing the best service to the public

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Is important to them Is not important to Don't know

SIGMA

Total	Gen	der		Αç	je			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regio	on6				Loca	lity	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
218	98	120	76	83	23	36	66	42	33	10	56	42	13	45	56	53	39	53	73	10	6	20	90	27	64	47	43	83	45
21.7%	20.1%	23.1%	26.2%	23.3%	15.3%	17.2%	24.7%	19.7%	19.6%	13.7%	31.8%	19.7%	25.4%	20.8%	19.2%	26.3%	19.5%	22.5%	19.8%	10.3%	10.4%	23.8%	24.3%	17.1%	27.4%	29.9%	17.5%	21.7%	20.4%
541	315	226	125	192	89	135	154	108	115	47	80	115	27	146	199	114	116	119	191	62	25	40	202	90	120	69	137	202	134
53.8%	64.5%	43.7%	43.1%	54.1%	59.3%	64.3%	57.8%	50.7%	67.9%	67.6%	45.3%	54.2%	52.1%	67.7%	68.5%	56.2%	58.7%	50.5%	51.9%	62.3%	45.6%	47.0%	54.8%	56.3%	51.7%	43.8%	55.7%	52.5%	61.3%
246	75	171	89	80	38	39	46	63	21	13	41	55	11	25	36	35	43	63	104	27	24	25	77	43	48	41	66	99	40
24.5%	15.4%	33.1%	30.7%	22.6%	25.4%	18.6%	17.4%	29.6%	12.4%	18.7%	23.0%	26.1%	22.4%	11.5%	12.4%	17.5%	21.8%	26.9%	28.4%	27.3%	44.0%	29.3%	20.9%	26.6%	20.8%	26.3%	26.7%	25.9%	18.3%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



6 May 2014

Table 36

Q19. Which of the following do you think private companies such as Serco, G4S, Capita and Atos currently consider to be important motivators when running public services? Maximizing profit for their shareholders

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Is important to them Is not important to Don't know

To	otal	Gen	der		Αç	je			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
10	005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
10	005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	92 8.8%	369 75.6%	323 62.5%	174 60.3%	258 72.7%	102 67.7%	157 74.7%	229 86.1%	120 56.3%	127 74.7%	54 76.9%	138 77.9%	124 58.1%	38 73.7%	174 80.7%	236 81.1%	165 81.5%	146 73.4%	159 67.4%	221 60.3%	67 67.7%	25 46.3%	60 69.8%	259 70.2%	116 72.1%	163 70.3%	97 61.5%	170 69.0%	270 70.3%	155 71.3%
	01 0.1%	59 12.0%	43 8.2%	37 12.9%	24 6.8%	18 11.9%	22 10.3%	18 6.6%	28 13.1%	22 12.9%	5 6.7%	12 6.8%	37 17.4%	5 10.5%	20 9.4%	23 8.1%	16 7.9%	17 8.5%	25 10.7%	43 11.7%	3 3.3%	6 11.9%	6 6.6%	46 12.4%	7 4.3%	32 13.9%	21 13.6%	22 9.0%	35 9.1%	23 10.4%
	212 1.1%	61 12.4%	152 29.3%	77 26.7%	73 20.5%	31 20.4%	32 15.0%	19 7.3%	65 30.5%	21 12.4%	11 16.4%	27 15.3%	52 24.5%	8 15.9%	21 9.9%	32 10.8%	21 10.6%	36 18.2%	52 22.0%	103 28.0%	29 29.1%	23 41.8%	20 23.6%	64 17.3%	38 23.6%	37 15.8%	39 24.9%	54 21.9%	79 20.6%	40 18.3%
	005	488 100.0%	517 100.0%	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213 100.0%	51 100.0%	215 100.0%	291 100.0%	203 100.0%	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232 100.0%	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218 100.0%



Table 37

Q19. Which of the following do you think private companies such as Serco, G4S, Capita and Atos currently consider to be important motivators when running public services? Saving money for taxpayers

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Is important to them Is not important to Don't know

SIGMA

Total	Ger	nder		A	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	ility	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
137 13.69	63 12.9%	73 14.2%	51 17.7%	49 13.8%	17 11.1%	20 9.4%	40 14.9%	33 15.3%	22 13.1%	7 9.7%	43 24.1%	27 12.6%	3 5.9%	28 12.8%	38 13.2%	25 12.5%	29 14.7%	38 16.2%	44 12.0%	8 8.4%	5 9.2%	14 16.2%	52 14.2%	21 13.2%	36 15.5%	31 19.6%	19 7.8%	57 14.8%	30 13.8%
632 62.89	353 72.2%	279 54.0%	151 52.2%	221 62.3%	104 69.1%	156 73.9%	190 71.2%	113 52.9%	128 75.5%	52 74.2%	105 59.3%	134 63.1%	35 68.2%	165 76.9%	215 73.8%	148 73.0%	129 65.2%	139 59.1%	214 58.3%	65 65.0%	24 45.2%	45 52.2%	242 65.5%	101 62.7%	151 64.9%	85 54.4%	157 63.9%	240 62.4%	149 68.5%
237 23.69	72 6 14.8%	164 31.8%	87 30.0%	85 24.0%	30 19.9%	35 16.7%	37 13.9%	68 31.8%	19 11.4%	11 16.1%	29 16.6%	52 24.3%	13 25.9%	22 10.3%	38 13.0%	29 14.5%	40 20.1%	58 24.8%	109 29.7%	26 26.5%	25 45.5%	27 31.6%	75 20.3%	39 24.1%	45 19.6%	41 26.0%	70 28.4%	88 22.8%	39 17.7%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51 100.0%	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54 100.0%	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218



6 May 2014

Table 38

Q19. Which of the following do you think private companies such as Serco, G4S, Capita and Atos currently consider to be important motivators when running public services? Preserving their corporate brand and reputation Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Is important to them Is not important to Don't know

Т	otal	Gen	der		Αç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1	005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1	005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	615 61.2%	318 65.1%	297 57.4%	163 56.5%	223 62.7%	91 60.5%	138 65.5%	199 74.9%	118 55.3%	116 68.2%	39 56.5%	134 75.9%	116 54.4%	33 63.5%	156 72.4%	204 70.0%	144 71.2%	116 58.6%	154 65.5%	199 54.1%	49 49.5%	25 45.5%	52 60.3%	239 64.9%	95 59.3%	153 66.1%	83 52.6%	153 62.1%	247 64.2%	133 60.8%
	148 4.7%	86 17.7%	61 11.9%	39 13.5%	50 14.1%	27 18.0%	31 14.8%	33 12.4%	29 13.8%	31 18.2%	13 18.3%	15 8.3%	45 21.0%	9 17.4%	30 14.1%	43 14.9%	27 13.3%	35 17.6%	29 12.2%	57 15.6%	16 16.4%	5 9.2%	10 11.1%	50 13.6%	24 14.8%	40 17.3%	32 20.6%	27 11.0%	55 14.4%	33 15.2%
	243 24.1%	84 17.2%	159 30.7%	87 30.0%	82 23.1%	32 21.5%	41 19.7%	34 12.7%	66 31.0%	23 13.6%	18 25.2%	28 15.8%	52 24.6%	10 19.1%	29 13.5%	44 15.1%	32 15.6%	47 23.8%	52 22.3%	111 30.3%	34 34.0%	25 45.3%	24 28.6%	79 21.5%	42 26.0%	39 16.7%	42 26.8%	66 26.9%	82 21.4%	52 24.0%
	005	488 100.0%	517 100.0%	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213 100.0%	51 100.0%	215 100.0%	291 100.0%	203 100.0%	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99 100.0%	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232 100.0%	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218 100.0%



6 May 2014

Table 39

Q19. Which of the following do you think private companies such as Serco, G4S, Capita and Atos currently consider to be important motivators when running public services? Welfare of employees

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Is important to them Is not important to Don't know

Total	Gen	der		Ag	je			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regio	on6				Loca	lity	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
224 22.3%	102 21.0%	122 23.5%	87 30.1%	83 23.5%	27 18.0%	26 12.6%	70 26.3%	41 19.1%	34 19.8%	13 18.7%	54 30.6%	44 20.5%	12 24.1%	37 17.4%	59 20.3%	49 24.4%	44 22.0%	52 22.1%	79 21.4%	20 20.1%	9 17.0%	23 26.7%	77 20.8%	35 21.9%	58 25.2%	51 32.3%	49 20.0%	83 21.5%	41 18.9%
458 45.5%	263 53.9%	195 37.7%	102 35.1%	170 47.8%	77 51.0%	110 52.1%	133 50.0%	90 42.0%	98 57.8%	40 56.8%	84 47.2%	106 49.8%	23 44.0%	121 56.3%	158 54.3%	101 49.9%	97 48.6%	117 49.5%	142 38.8%	47 47.8%	19 35.4%	32 37.7%	178 48.3%	76 47.5%	104 44.9%	50 31.7%	114 46.3%	173 45.1%	121 55.4%
324 32.2%	123 25.2%	201 38.8%	101 34.8%	102 28.7%	47 31.0%	74 35.3%	63 23.6%	83 38.9%	38 22.4%	17 24.4%	39 22.1%	63 29.6%	16 31.9%	57 26.3%	74 25.4%	52 25.8%	58 29.4%	67 28.4%	146 39.8%	32 32.0%	26 47.6%	30 35.5%	114 30.9%	49 30.5%	70 30.0%	56 35.9%	83 33.7%	128 33.4%	56 25.8%
1005 100.0%	488 100.0%	517	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213 100.0%	51 100.0%	215 100.0%	291	203 100.0%	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99 100.0%	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232 100.0%	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218 100.0%



6 May 2014

Table 40

Q20. Which of the following do you think should be important motivators for private companies such as Serco, G4S, Capita and Atos when running public services? Providing the best service to the public

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Should be important Should not be important to them Don't know

Total	Gei	nder		Ag	e			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regio	on6				Loca	lity	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
800 79.6°	410 % 84.1%	390 75.4%	195 67.4%	287 80.8%	128 85.1%	190 90.4%	246 92.2%	158 73.7%	151 89.1%	58 83.2%	152 86.0%	158 74.2%	44 86.1%	196 91.0%	258 88.6%	171 84.1%	150 75.8%	183 77.6%	295 80.4%	78 78.4%	29 54.4%	63 73.6%	295 80.2%	130 81.2%	201 86.8%	116 73.6%	189 76.8%	310 80.7%	186 85.2%
48 4.8°	26 % 5.4%	21 4.1%	22 7.7%	13 3.8%	5 3.2%	7 3.5%	6 2.2%	11 5.3%	6 3.3%	5 7.0%	4 2.0%	15 7.1%	1 2.0%	6 2.9%	12 4.0%	16 7.9%	13 6.6%	7 3.0%	11 3.1%	1 1.1%	1 2.7%	3 3.8%	28 7.5%	1 0.8%	11 4.6%	16 10.2%	10 4.1%	12 3.2%	9 4.3%
157 15.6°	51 % 10.5%	106 20.5%	72 25.0%	55 15.4%	18 11.7%	13 6.2%	15 5.6%	45 20.9%	13 7.6%	7 9.8%	21 12.0%	40 18.8%	6 11.9%	13 6.1%	21 7.4%	16 8.0%	35 17.6%	46 19.3%	60 16.4%	20 20.5%	23 42.9%	19 22.5%	46 12.4%	29 18.0%	20 8.6%	25 16.1%	47 19.1%	62 16.1%	23 10.5%
1005	488	517 100.0%	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213	51 100.0%	215	291 100.0%	203	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99 100.0%	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218



Table 41

Q20. Which of the following do you think should be important motivators for private companies such as Serco, G4S, Capita and Atos when running public services? Maximizing profit for their shareholders

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Should be important Should not be important to them Don't know

SIGMA

Total	Gei	nder		Αç	je			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
378 37.6%	195 40.1%	182 35.3%	102 35.3%	149 41.9%	57 37.6%	71 33.5%	135 50.6%	64 29.9%	54 32.0%	22 32.1%	98 55.5%	76 35.9%	18 34.7%	81 37.7%	104 35.6%	88 43.2%	75 37.6%	101 43.1%	113 30.9%	25 25.3%	18 32.8%	32 37.4%	155 42.0%	57 35.2%	89 38.6%	61 39.1%	91 37.0%	150 39.1%	75 34.6%
389 38.7%	213 43.6%	176 34.1%	89 30.8%	127 35.9%	66 43.9%	106 50.6%	96 36.2%	86 40.3%	87 51.2%	38 54.6%	48 27.3%	84 39.4%	25 48.8%	107 49.8%	149 51.1%	85 41.8%	78 39.3%	73 31.2%	153 41.6%	42 42.8%	13 23.2%	28 32.5%	135 36.6%	65 40.4%	104 44.7%	57 36.6%	96 39.2%	144 37.5%	91 41.9%
238 23.7%	80 6 16.4%	158 30.6%	98 33.9%	79 22.2%	28 18.5%	33 15.9%	35 13.2%	64 29.8%	29 16.8%	9 13.3%	30 17.2%	53 24.7%	8 16.5%	27 12.5%	39 13.3%	31 15.1%	46 23.1%	60 25.7%	101 27.5%	32 31.9%	24 44.1%	26 30.1%	79 21.4%	39 24.4%	39 16.7%	38 24.4%	59 23.8%	90 23.4%	51 23.4%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218



6 May 2014

Table 42

Q20. Which of the following do you think should be important motivators for private companies such as Serco, G4S, Capita and Atos when running public services? Saving money for taxpayers

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Should be important Should not be important to them Don't know

Tota	ıl (Gende	r		Ag	je			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
	Mal	e Fe	emale	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
100	5 47	3	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
100	5 48	8	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
75. 75.			367 70.9%	190 65.6%	277 78.0%	104 69.3%	183 86.9%	238 89.5%	148 69.3%	136 80.1%	55 78.4%	147 83.1%	149 70.0%	39 76.1%	180 83.5%	239 82.1%	162 79.6%	153 77.3%	163 69.3%	275 74.9%	74 75.0%	29 54.2%	58 68.3%	276 74.8%	121 75.3%	194 83.5%	110 70.2%	184 74.7%	282 73.4%	178 81.8%
7.	4 1% 9.1	8 7%	27 5.1%	26 8.9%	15 4.2%	22 14.3%	12 5.7%	10 3.9%	10 4.8%	20 12.0%	8 11.6%	6 3.6%	16 7.7%	5 10.2%	20 9.4%	28 9.5%	20 10.1%	11 5.5%	26 10.9%	17 4.7%	6 5.7%	1 1.3%	6 6.7%	46 12.4%	3 2.1%	12 5.3%	12 7.5%	16 6.7%	30 7.7%	16 7.5%
17 17.			124 24.0%	73 25.4%	63 17.8%	25 16.3%	16 7.4%	18 6.6%	55 25.9%	13 7.9%	7 10.0%	24 13.3%	47 22.3%	7 13.7%	15 7.1%	24 8.3%	21 10.3%	34 17.2%	47 19.8%	75 20.4%	19 19.3%	24 44.5%	21 25.1%	47 12.8%	36 22.7%	26 11.3%	35 22.3%	46 18.6%	73 18.9%	23 10.7%
100			517	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213 100.0%	51 100.0%	215 100.0%	291 100.0%	203 100.0%	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99 100.0%	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232 100.0%	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218 100.0%



6 May 2014

Table 43 Q20. Which of the following do you think should be important motivators for private companies such as Serco, G4S, Capita and Atos when running public services? Preserving their corporate brand and reputation

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Should be important Should not be important to them Don't know

То	tal	Gen	der		Aç	je			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regio	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban	Town	Village / Countrys ide
10	05	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
10	05	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	69 6.6%	289 59.2%	280 54.2%	148 51.1%	230 64.9%	76 50.7%	115 54.5%	190 71.1%	105 49.2%	98 57.4%	37 53.6%	131 74.0%	115 54.1%	27 53.1%	128 59.7%	173 59.4%	117 57.8%	113 56.9%	141 59.7%	197 53.7%	44 44.7%	29 54.2%	47 54.5%	209 56.6%	97 60.4%	140 60.4%	93 59.0%	128 52.2%	218 56.7%	130 59.7%
	23 2.2%	129 26.3%	95 18.3%	56 19.5%	62 17.4%	46 30.6%	59 28.1%	45 17.0%	48 22.3%	51 30.0%	24 34.7%	24 13.7%	47 21.9%	14 26.6%	64 29.6%	85 29.1%	61 29.8%	44 22.0%	49 20.9%	70 19.1%	23 22.7%	1 1.8%	14 16.6%	95 25.7%	29 18.2%	59 25.6%	31 19.6%	59 23.9%	82 21.3%	52 23.8%
	13 .2%	71 14.5%	142 27.5%	85 29.5%	63 17.7%	28 18.7%	37 17.4%	32 11.9%	61 28.5%	21 12.6%	8 11.7%	22 12.3%	51 23.9%	10 20.3%	23 10.7%	34 11.5%	25 12.4%	42 21.1%	45 19.3%	100 27.3%	32 32.6%	24 44.0%	25 28.9%	65 17.7%	34 21.4%	32 14.0%	34 21.4%	59 23.9%	85 22.0%	36 16.4%
10		488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218



6 May 2014

Table 44

Q20. Which of the following do you think should be important motivators for private companies such as Serco, G4S, Capita and Atos when running public services? Welfare of employees

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Should be important Should not be important to them Don't know

Tota	Ge	nder		Αç	je			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
789 78.5	399 % 81.7%	390 75.4%	197 68.2%	285 80.3%	120 80.0%	186 88.3%	246 92.3%	151 70.4%	151 88.9%	55 78.4%	153 86.6%	155 72.7%	43 84.9%	193 89.7%	251 86.4%	170 83.8%	154 77.7%	179 76.3%	284 77.3%	75 75.3%	30 55.4%	62 72.8%	295 80.1%	128 79.6%	196 84.4%	112 71.2%	191 77.6%	301 78.2%	186 85.2%
52 5.2		15 2.8%	23 7.9%	16 4.4%	7 4.3%	7 3.4%	5 2.0%	14 6.7%	5 3.1%	8 11.7%	2 1.0%	18 8.5%	1 2.0%	8 3.7%	16 5.7%	16 7.8%	8 4.1%	12 5.3%	16 4.3%	5 5.1%	1 1.9%	3 3.6%	26 7.2%	4 2.4%	13 5.4%	14 8.9%	10 3.9%	19 5.0%	9 4.2%
164 16.3		113 21.8%	69 23.9%	54 15.3%	24 15.7%	17 8.3%	15 5.6%	49 22.8%	14 8.0%	7 9.9%	22 12.4%	40 18.9%	7 13.1%	14 6.6%	23 7.9%	17 8.4%	36 18.3%	43 18.5%	68 18.4%	19 19.6%	23 42.7%	20 23.6%	47 12.7%	29 18.0%	24 10.1%	31 19.9%	45 18.5%	65 16.8%	23 10.6%
1005	488 % 100.0%	517 100.0%	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213 100.0%	51 100.0%	215 100.0%	291 100.0%	203 100.0%	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232 100.0%	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218 100.0%



Table 45

Q21. Who do you think is primarily responsible when private companies running public services are found guilty of fraud and/or mismanagement of government contracts? Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total The companies themselves

The government The companies and the government are equally responsible Don't Know

SIGMA

Total	Gei	nder		Aç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban	Town	Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
269	146	123	72	94	35	67	90	34	49	14	66	49	16	59	72	59	56	65	87	20	12	36	116	29	55	43	84	86	56
26.7	6 29.9%	23.8%	24.9%	26.6%	23.3%	31.9%	33.6%	15.9%	28.7%	20.4%	37.4%	22.8%	31.1%	27.3%	24.9%	29.2%	28.4%	27.8%	23.6%	19.8%	21.8%	41.9%	31.5%	18.1%	23.8%	27.3%	34.1%	22.3%	25.9%
163	88	75	44	60	20	39	26	57	27	16	23	43	10	29	45	42	29	21	71	15	13	11	58	26	40	25	40	59	38
16.2	6 18.0%	14.5%	15.3%	16.9%	13.1%	18.5%	9.9%	26.6%	15.8%	22.9%	12.9%	20.3%	20.0%	13.6%	15.6%	20.6%	14.8%	8.9%	19.2%	14.6%	23.7%	13.2%	15.9%	16.0%	17.3%	15.9%	16.4%	15.4%	17.6%
484	228	257	122	181	84	98	143	102	84	37	82	103	22	119	159	94	93	120	176	48	25	33	169	86	120	79	101	196	109
48.2	46.7%	49.7%	42.1%	51.0%	55.8%	46.4%	53.6%	47.6%	49.4%	53.1%	46.2%	48.4%	42.8%	55.3%	54.5%	46.5%	47.1%	51.1%	48.0%	48.7%	46.2%	38.0%	45.7%	53.4%	51.8%	50.2%	41.2%	50.9%	49.9%
89	27	62	51	19	12	7	8	21	10	3	6	18	3	8	14	7	19	29	34	17	5	6	25	20	16	10	20	44	14
8.8	% 5.4%	12.1%	17.7%	5.5%	7.8%	3.2%	3.0%	9.8%	6.0%	3.6%	3.5%	8.6%	6.0%	3.8%	4.9%	3.6%	9.7%	12.2%	9.2%	16.8%	8.4%	6.9%	6.9%	12.5%	7.1%	6.6%	8.3%	11.4%	6.6%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
100.0	6 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Page 52

Table 46

Q22. How much do you or do you not trust the following organisations? Private outsourcing companies
Base : All Respondents

	Total	Ger	nder		Ag	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Inten	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	Inner- city/ urban	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
Trust completely	25 2.5%	8 1.5%	17 3.4%	17 5.7%	8 2.3%	-	* 0.2%	5 2.0%	6 2.7%	9 5.2%	-	13 7.6%	4 2.0%	5 9.3%	1 0.4%	2 0.6%	14 7.1%	2 0.9%	4 1.8%	4 1.2%	2 2.0%	-	2 2.0%	7 1.9%	9 5.5%	5 2.4%	7 4.6%	1 0.4%	12 3.0%	5 2.4%
Trust somewhat	184	83	101	58	73	31	22	69	35	22	9	57	36	9	25	38	47	40	48	48	10	7	20	77	23	46	35	45	71	32
	18.3%	16.9%	19.5%	20.2%	20.6%	20.4%	10.2%	26.1%	16.5%	13.0%	12.8%	32.1%	16.8%	17.9%	11.5%	13.0%	23.2%	20.1%	20.5%	13.2%	9.6%	12.8%	22.9%	21.0%	14.5%	20.0%	22.3%	18.4%	18.5%	14.9%
Somewhat distrust	369	172	197	103	128	47	91	108	72	60	20	60	85	24	81	102	62	78	90	137	38	27	34	125	58	85	68	104	117	80
	36.7%	35.3%	38.1%	35.6%	36.1%	31.3%	43.4%	40.6%	33.6%	35.3%	28.7%	34.1%	40.0%	47.6%	37.7%	34.9%	30.8%	39.4%	38.4%	37.4%	38.6%	50.4%	40.1%	34.0%	36.0%	36.5%	43.6%	42.3%	30.5%	36.5%
Completely distrust	274	171	104	46	105	54	69	56	67	65	36	25	60	8	87	122	59	55	55	106	36	14	19	103	42	57	28	67	113	67
	27.3%	34.9%	20.1%	16.0%	29.7%	35.9%	32.6%	21.1%	31.3%	38.0%	51.6%	14.3%	28.1%	15.4%	40.3%	41.9%	28.9%	27.6%	23.3%	28.9%	35.9%	26.5%	22.1%	27.9%	26.4%	24.8%	17.5%	27.4%	29.3%	30.6%
Don't know	153	55	98	65	40	19	29	27	34	14	5	21	28	5	22	28	20	24	38	71	14	6	11	56	28	38	19	28	72	34
	15.2%	11.3%	18.9%	22.5%	11.4%	12.4%	13.7%	10.2%	15.9%	8.4%	7.0%	11.9%	13.0%	9.8%	10.2%	9.6%	10.0%	12.0%	15.9%	19.4%	13.8%	10.3%	12.9%	15.2%	17.7%	16.4%	12.0%	11.5%	18.7%	15.5%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Prepared by Survation

6 May 2014

Page 53

Table 47

Q22. How much do you or do you not trust the following organisations?

Central government Base : All Respondents

	Total	Ger	ider		Aç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Inten	tion			SE	:G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
Trust completely	45 4.5%	23 4.6%	23 4.4%	26 8.9%	9 2.6%	2 1.3%	9 4.1%	14 5.4%	10 4.8%	12 6.8%	2 3.4%	21 12.1%	12 5.4%	5 10.2%	3 1.6%	3 1.2%	19 9.4%	4 1.8%	10 4.3%	12 3.4%	4 3.7%	-	4 4.5%	8 2.1%	12 7.6%	18 7.6%	10 6.1%	4 1.5%	20 5.3%	12 5.3%
Trust somewhat	314	160	155	83	119	44	68	126	56	45	14	91	54	22	63	76	80	78	59	96	14	13	28	132	44	81	58	83	100	73
	31.3%	32.7%	29.9%	28.6%	33.5%	29.5%	32.4%	47.2%	26.0%	26.5%	20.4%	51.7%	25.4%	42.0%	29.5%	26.2%	39.4%	39.1%	25.3%	26.3%	14.0%	23.8%	32.8%	35.9%	27.5%	34.8%	37.2%	33.6%	26.1%	33.5%
Somewhat distrust	340	168	171	97	116	47	79	85	71	62	22	48	70	19	75	106	61	59	89	132	30	26	33	123	50	79	51	90	128	72
	33.8%	34.5%	33.2%	33.7%	32.5%	31.5%	37.7%	31.8%	33.0%	36.7%	31.5%	27.0%	32.8%	36.5%	34.7%	36.4%	29.8%	29.5%	37.7%	35.9%	29.9%	48.6%	38.0%	33.3%	31.2%	33.8%	32.3%	36.6%	33.2%	32.8%
Completely distrust	218	114	104	39	86	48	46	34	54	45	26	11	60	2	65	89	38	41	51	88	37	11	17	78	33	40	25	53	90	50
	21.7%	23.4%	20.2%	13.4%	24.2%	31.6%	21.9%	12.7%	25.2%	26.2%	37.4%	6.1%	28.0%	4.3%	30.3%	30.6%	18.7%	20.8%	21.9%	23.9%	37.2%	20.3%	19.8%	21.2%	20.3%	17.4%	16.0%	21.7%	23.5%	22.7%
Don't know	87	24	64	45	26	9	8	8	24	6	5	6	18	4	8	17	5	17	25	39	15	4	4	28	22	15	13	16	46	12
	8.7%	4.8%	12.3%	15.4%	7.2%	6.1%	3.8%	3.0%	11.1%	3.7%	7.2%	3.1%	8.4%	7.1%	3.9%	5.7%	2.7%	8.8%	10.8%	10.6%	15.3%	7.3%	4.9%	7.5%	13.5%	6.3%	8.4%	6.7%	11.9%	5.6%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Page 54

Table 48

Q22. How much do you or do you not trust the following organisations?

Base : All Respondents

	Total Gender Age								2010	Vote			Votir	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	Inner- city/ urban	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
Trust completely	283	153	130	54	107	53	69	66	95	58	7	37	91	12	71	87	50	38	66	128	21	24	13	112	37	76	43	77	109	54
	28.1%	31.3%	25.1%	18.6%	30.1%	35.3%	32.7%	24.8%	44.5%	34.1%	9.7%	21.2%	42.8%	24.3%	33.1%	30.1%	24.6%	19.4%	28.3%	34.8%	20.9%	44.5%	14.8%	30.5%	23.3%	32.5%	27.2%	31.3%	28.4%	24.7%
Trust somewhat	509	244	265	158	188	58	104	154	77	95	43	107	89	36	94	136	115	112	124	158	52	19	50	177	91	117	71	121	197	120
	50.6%	49.9%	51.3%	54.8%	52.8%	38.7%	49.6%	58.0%	36.0%	56.0%	61.2%	60.3%	41.8%	69.9%	43.5%	46.8%	56.7%	56.5%	52.6%	42.9%	52.4%	34.3%	58.3%	48.1%	56.7%	50.5%	44.9%	49.2%	51.3%	55.0%
Somewhat distrust	112	56	56	38	31	20	23	35	19	14	7	27	17	3	37	42	29	25	19	38	8	10	16	43	14	22	26	29	28	30
	11.1%	11.4%	10.9%	13.0%	8.8%	13.3%	11.0%	13.2%	9.1%	8.2%	10.6%	15.0%	8.0%	5.0%	17.4%	14.3%	14.5%	12.6%	8.0%	10.3%	7.6%	18.1%	18.2%	11.5%	8.7%	9.7%	16.3%	11.6%	7.3%	13.6%
Completely distrust	30 2.9%	16 3.3%	14 2.6%	6 2.0%	12 3.3%	6 3.9%	6 2.9%	5 1.8%	4 1.8%	:	8 11.7%	1 0.8%	4 1.7%	-	5 2.2%	14 4.9%	4 2.1%	7 3.4%	4 1.8%	14 3.9%	6 6.3%	-	3 3.2%	9 2.4%	7 4.2%	3 1.2%	10 6.2%	5 2.1%	11 2.8%	4 1.8%
Don't know	72	20	52	34	17	13	8	6	19	3	5	5	12	*	8	11	4	16	22	30	13	2	5	28	11	14	9	14	39	11
	7.2%	4.1%	10.1%	11.6%	4.9%	8.8%	3.8%	2.2%	8.7%	1.7%	6.9%	2.8%	5.8%	0.8%	3.8%	3.9%	2.1%	8.1%	9.4%	8.1%	12.8%	3.1%	5.6%	7.5%	7.1%	6.1%	5.4%	5.7%	10.2%	4.9%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Page 55

6 May 2014

Table 49

Q22. How much do you or do you not trust the following organisations?

Police service

Base : All Respondents

	Total Gender				A	ge			2010	Vote			Votir	g Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South I	Midlands	North	Inner- city/ urban	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
Trust completely	168	97	71	58	45	18	48	51	42	31	8	45	33	5	42	49	30	38	28	71	14	3	6	69	33	43	25	30	65	48
	16.7%	19.8%	13.8%	20.1%	12.6%	11.7%	22.7%	19.3%	19.7%	18.0%	11.6%	25.5%	15.5%	9.9%	19.6%	16.9%	15.0%	19.1%	11.9%	19.5%	14.0%	4.9%	7.1%	18.8%	20.8%	18.3%	15.7%	12.1%	17.0%	22.1%
Trust somewhat	484	220	264	119	189	79	97	148	92	98	25	94	97	41	86	120	110	91	126	157	39	31	48	163	73	128	78	131	181	93
	48.2%	45.1%	51.1%	41.2%	53.4%	52.3%	46.1%	55.5%	43.1%	57.6%	36.4%	52.9%	45.4%	79.2%	39.9%	41.3%	54.1%	45.6%	53.7%	42.9%	39.7%	56.6%	55.6%	44.3%	45.8%	55.1%	49.9%	53.3%	47.2%	42.9%
Somewhat distrust	185	94	91	55	63	24	43	41	35	20	23	26	47	3	40	64	37	40	34	73	22	15	21	67	23	36	34	54	49	48
	18.4%	19.3%	17.5%	18.9%	17.7%	16.0%	20.5%	15.5%	16.2%	11.6%	32.7%	14.6%	22.3%	6.0%	18.7%	21.9%	18.5%	20.0%	14.3%	19.9%	22.1%	28.0%	25.1%	18.1%	14.4%	15.4%	21.9%	22.0%	12.7%	21.9%
Completely distrust	87	58	29	18	37	18	15	19	19	18	11	5	15	2	39	47	19	14	25	30	12	4	5	38	13	13	11	14	45	17
	8.7%	11.9%	5.6%	6.1%	10.4%	12.1%	6.9%	7.1%	9.0%	10.8%	15.5%	3.0%	7.1%	3.3%	18.3%	16.3%	9.4%	6.9%	10.5%	8.1%	11.7%	7.3%	6.1%	10.4%	8.2%	5.4%	7.3%	5.6%	11.6%	8.0%
Don't know	81	19	62	39	21	12	8	7	26	3	3	7	21	1	8	11	6	17	23	35	12	2	5	31	17	13	8	17	44	11
	8.0%	3.8%	12.0%	13.7%	5.9%	8.0%	3.8%	2.7%	12.0%	2.0%	3.8%	4.0%	9.7%	1.6%	3.5%	3.7%	3.0%	8.3%	9.6%	9.6%	12.5%	3.1%	6.1%	8.3%	10.8%	5.8%	5.3%	6.9%	11.5%	5.1%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Public Sector and Outsourcing Issues Poll

Prepared on behalf of We Own It

Table 50

Q22. How much do you or do you not trust the following organisations?

The Armed Forces
Base : All Respondents

SEG Total Gender Age 2010 Vote Voting Intention Region6 Locality Village Outer Inner suburban Countrys city/ Male 18-34 35-54 55-64 65+ CON LAB LD OTHER CON LAB LD UKIP OTHER AB C1 C2 DE Scotland Wales Midlands North ide Female London South urban Suburban Unweighted Total 1005 284 92 240 177 Weighted Total 1005 488 517 289 355 151 210 266 214 170 70 177 213 51 215 291 203 199 235 367 99 54 86 369 160 232 157 246 384 218 Trust completely 394 227 168 86 122 67 119 115 102 78 23 71 87 17 116 137 67 67 82 177 36 28 23 151 52 103 51 94 154 96 40.0% 39.2% 46.5% 32.4% 29.9% 34.3% 44.7% 56.5% 43.1% 47.8% 45.7% 32.7% 40.7% 33.6% 53.8% 47.2% 33.1% 33.9% 35.0% 48.3% 36.6% 51.8% 41.0% 32.5% 44.4% 32.8% 38.1% 40.0% 43.8% Trust somewhat 395 182 117 27 99 102 138 100 107 82 39.3% 37.3% 41.3% 36.8% 45.2% 39.6% 32.8% 43.7% 28.8% 43.9% 38.3% 45.2% 34.7% 55.4% 33.3% 34.2% 48.2% 42.9% 43.1% 30.2% 31.3% 32.1% 53.0% 37.6% 37.9% 43.2% 46.1% 43.4% 34.9% 37.7% Somewhat distrust 23 7.7% 5.5% 9.8% 11.8% 8.6% 5.2% 2.5% 5.8% 5.8% 6.8% 13.9% 7.8% 8.7% 5.2% 5.1% 8.2% 9.4% 10.2% 6.3% 6.2% 8.2% 8.5% 9.9% 7.1% 12.8% 4.3% 10.8% 7.1% 6.5% 8.5% Completely distrust 23 12 0.7% 2.8% 7.2% 1.5% 4.4% 4.9% 3.8% 4.8% 5.1% 3.0% 3.5% 0.8% 5.8% 2.0% 10.9% 7.0% 2.2% 4.0% 6.1% 6.5% 3.8% 4.5% 3.8% 2.6% 6.2% 2.4% 3.8% 4.7% 4.0% 5.1% 30 17 Don't know 65 13 23 10 16 7.5% 1.6% 6.2% 3.6% 2.8% 12.7% 16.7% 6.6% 6.6% 6.6% 14.6% 5.0% 12.6% 170 70 177 157 SIGMA 1005 488 517 355 151 210 266 213 215 291 203 199 235 367 99 86 369 160 232 246 384 218 214

100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%

100.0% 100.0%

100.0% 100.0%

100.0%

100.0%

100.0%

100.0%

100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Table 51

Q22. How much do you or do you not trust the following organisations?

The judiciary
Base : All Respondents

	Total Gender Age								2010	Vote			Votir	g Inten	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
Trust completely	130	77	53	37	45	9	39	40	38	23	6	31	37	9	27	34	41	39	15	36	10	11	4	49	25	31	17	36	42	35
	12.9%	15.8%	10.2%	12.8%	12.6%	6.0%	18.7%	15.1%	17.6%	13.5%	9.0%	17.7%	17.5%	17.3%	12.5%	11.6%	20.1%	19.6%	6.2%	9.7%	10.5%	19.8%	4.8%	13.2%	15.8%	13.2%	11.1%	14.5%	11.0%	16.0%
Trust somewhat	472	245	227	119	166	79	108	158	94	87	33	109	92	35	86	120	112	77	131	151	33	21	43	168	68	137	77	117	177	101
	47.0%	50.3%	43.9%	41.1%	46.8%	52.6%	51.4%	59.2%	44.0%	51.1%	47.6%	61.4%	43.2%	69.0%	39.8%	41.3%	55.4%	38.9%	55.7%	41.2%	32.9%	37.9%	50.2%	45.6%	42.7%	59.2%	49.2%	47.5%	46.1%	46.4%
Somewhat distrust	206	103	102	44	85	37	39	34	41	39	17	23	50	4	63	79	27	47	44	86	23	17	22	83	34	26	26	60	70	49
	20.5%	21.2%	19.8%	15.3%	24.0%	24.4%	18.6%	12.6%	19.1%	23.1%	24.8%	12.8%	23.6%	7.8%	29.1%	27.0%	13.5%	23.7%	18.5%	23.5%	22.9%	31.8%	25.2%	22.4%	21.4%	11.3%	16.7%	24.4%	18.2%	22.7%
Completely distrust	70 7.0%	31 6.4%	39 7.6%	22 7.5%	25 7.2%	9 6.1%	14 6.7%	18 6.6%	13 6.2%	8 4.4%	8 11.9%	3 1.6%	11 5.2%	-	30 13.8%	40 13.7%	9 4.3%	10 5.0%	9 3.9%	43 11.7%	10 9.7%	1 1.4%	6 6.9%	25 6.8%	9 5.8%	17 7.5%	17 10.8%	12 5.0%	31 8.2%	10 4.5%
Don't know	127	30	96	67	34	16	10	17	28	13	5	11	22	3	10	19	14	25	37	51	24	5	11	44	23	20	19	21	64	23
	12.6%	6.2%	18.6%	23.3%	9.5%	10.9%	4.6%	6.5%	13.1%	7.8%	6.7%	6.4%	10.5%	5.8%	4.8%	6.4%	6.7%	12.7%	15.8%	13.9%	24.0%	9.1%	12.9%	11.9%	14.3%	8.8%	12.3%	8.6%	16.6%	10.4%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Page 58

6 May 2014

Table 52

Q22. How much do you or do you not trust the following organisations?

The civil service Base : All Respondents

	Total	Ger	nder		Ag	ne e			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Inten	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	litv	
			Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB		OTHER	CON	LAB	LD		OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London		Midlands	North	Inner- city/ urban	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
Trust completely	80	42	38	41	22	6	11	17	20	16	2	19	28	6	8	14	24	20	14	22	3	4	4	31	17	22	17	19	29	14
	8.0%	8.6%	7.4%	14.1%	6.2%	3.9%	5.4%	6.4%	9.5%	9.3%	2.9%	10.8%	13.4%	11.4%	3.6%	4.8%	12.0%	10.0%	5.9%	6.0%	2.9%	6.8%	4.7%	8.3%	10.4%	9.6%	11.0%	7.9%	7.6%	6.6%
Trust somewhat	429	214	215	108	170	58	93	130	92	84	17	89	90	30	81	110	103	81	95	150	38	19	44	148	64	115	63	109	162	95
	42.7%	43.9%	41.6%	37.3%	48.0%	38.7%	44.1%	48.8%	43.1%	49.2%	24.6%	50.2%	42.4%	59.2%	37.8%	38.0%	50.6%	40.8%	40.6%	40.9%	37.9%	34.7%	51.7%	40.2%	40.0%	49.4%	40.3%	44.4%	42.0%	43.8%
Somewhat distrust	274	132	143	68	92	39	76	77	52	43	30	44	64	11	79	101	52	48	68	105	25	23	21	108	38	58	48	77	88	61
	27.3%	26.9%	27.6%	23.4%	25.8%	25.9%	36.2%	28.8%	24.4%	25.2%	42.9%	24.9%	29.8%	22.3%	36.7%	34.7%	25.9%	24.0%	29.0%	28.6%	24.8%	42.5%	24.9%	29.4%	23.8%	25.2%	30.9%	31.2%	22.9%	28.1%
Completely distrust	98	66	32	9	39	30	19	22	22	17	15	10	11	1	38	47	13	25	21	39	11	6	6	38	16	19	11	19	40	28
	9.7%	13.5%	6.1%	3.0%	11.1%	19.8%	9.3%	8.3%	10.1%	10.1%	21.3%	5.7%	5.4%	2.8%	17.5%	16.0%	6.5%	12.3%	8.9%	10.6%	10.6%	11.2%	6.7%	10.3%	10.0%	8.2%	6.9%	7.9%	10.3%	12.7%
Don't know	123	34	89	64	31	17	11	21	28	10	6	15	19	2	9	19	10	25	37	51	24	3	10	44	25	18	17	21	66	19
	12.3%	7.0%	17.2%	22.1%	8.8%	11.6%	5.1%	7.8%	12.9%	6.1%	8.3%	8.4%	9.0%	4.4%	4.4%	6.5%	5.0%	12.8%	15.6%	13.9%	23.8%	4.9%	12.0%	11.9%	15.8%	7.6%	10.8%	8.6%	17.2%	8.8%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Table 53

Q22. How much do you or do you not trust the following organisations?

Local government Base : All Respondents

	Total	Gen	der		Aç	je			2010	Vote			Votir	g Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	Inner- city/ urban	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
Trust completely	58 5.8%	28 5.7%	30 5.8%	34 11.7%	12 3.4%	5 3.1%	8 3.6%	12 4.4%	19 8.8%	15 8.8%	3 3.9%	18 10.4%	22 10.1%	4 8.5%	8 3.9%	12 4.2%	22 10.8%	15 7.8%	5 2.2%	16 4.3%	3 3.1%	-	4 4.9%	22 6.0%	14 8.4%	15 6.6%	9 5.9%	9 3.5%	31 8.1%	9 4.2%
Trust somewhat	376	186	190	104	126	58	88	116	80	64	21	84	81	25	51	80	89	76	93	119	37	15	29	143	53	98	70	98	137	72
	37.4%	38.1%	36.8%	35.9%	35.6%	38.8%	41.7%	43.4%	37.4%	37.4%	29.6%	47.6%	38.0%	48.9%	23.5%	27.4%	43.8%	38.0%	39.7%	32.3%	37.0%	27.2%	34.3%	38.8%	32.9%	42.2%	44.3%	39.7%	35.7%	33.1%
Somewhat distrust	307	151	156	76	116	41	74	82	61	52	22	43	63	17	90	110	62	55	57	132	20	26	33	113	36	77	50	96	89	72
	30.5%	30.9%	30.1%	26.4%	32.6%	27.2%	35.0%	30.8%	28.3%	30.5%	31.1%	24.1%	29.8%	33.8%	41.6%	37.8%	30.4%	27.8%	24.3%	35.9%	20.1%	48.8%	39.1%	30.6%	22.5%	33.4%	31.6%	39.2%	23.1%	33.0%
Completely distrust	173	100	74	31	77	33	32	49	28	34	19	24	29	2	57	72	22	37	55	59	22	10	14	61	37	26	16	28	78	51
	17.3%	20.4%	14.2%	10.7%	21.6%	22.2%	15.4%	18.3%	13.1%	20.0%	27.3%	13.7%	13.8%	4.6%	26.6%	24.9%	11.1%	18.4%	23.5%	16.1%	22.6%	19.2%	16.5%	16.5%	23.2%	11.3%	10.5%	11.5%	20.2%	23.4%
Don't know	90	24	67	44	24	13	9	8	26	6	6	7	18	2	9	16	8	16	24	42	17	3	4	30	21	15	12	15	50	14
	9.0%	4.8%	12.9%	15.2%	6.9%	8.7%	4.3%	3.0%	12.3%	3.3%	8.1%	4.1%	8.4%	4.3%	4.4%	5.7%	4.0%	8.0%	10.4%	11.5%	17.3%	4.8%	5.2%	8.2%	13.1%	6.5%	7.7%	6.1%	12.9%	6.3%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Page 60

Table 54 Q23. Which, if any, of the following events do you think should prevent a company from applying for future government contracts?

Base : All Respondents

	Total	Ger	ider		A	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban	Town	Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
Under investigation for fraud	503 50.0%	231 47.3%	272 52.6%	108 37.3%	200 56.4%	86 57.3%	108 51.5%	164 61.7%	93 43.5%	84 49.6%	32 45.7%	105 59.4%	98 46.3%	35 67.8%	102 47.3%	141 48.3%	99 48.7%	101 51.1%	118 50.3%	183 49.9%	44 44.2%	32 60.0%	42 48.5%	186 50.6%	74 46.3%	120 51.6%	74 46.9%	139 56.5%	163 42.4%	127 58.4%
Been convicted of fraud	603 60.0%	285 58.3%	319 61.7%	150 51.8%	221 62.3%	102 67.7%	131 62.0%	189 71.0%	106 49.5%	104 61.4%	36 52.0%	122 68.9%	112 52.8%	40 79.0%	127 59.0%	168 57.9%	140 68.8%	127 63.7%	131 55.8%	205 55.8%	51 51.8%	31 57.4%	55 64.6%	231 62.8%	89 55.3%	141 60.6%	93 59.0%	154 62.7%	205 53.4%	152 69.6%
Evaded tax	566 56.3%	275 56.4%	291 56.3%	139 48.0%	215 60.5%	89 59.1%	123 58.6%	176 65.9%	97 45.6%	103 60.4%	35 50.3%	111 62.6%	112 52.7%	38 73.7%	116 53.9%	156 53.6%	132 64.9%	128 64.4%	116 49.3%	189 51.5%	49 49.1%	34 63.2%	53 61.8%	216 58.6%	86 53.6%	126 54.1%	83 52.6%	137 55.9%	201 52.3%	145 66.6%
Failed to meet contract conditions on previous government jobs (e g underprovision)	497 49.4%	250 51.2%	247 47.7%	117 40.5%	189 53.2%	78 51.6%	113 53.7%	156 58.7%	87 40.6%	87 51.1%	34 48.5%	103 58.3%	90 42.4%	32 62.5%	105 48.6%	141 48.4%	119 58.8%	110 55.6%	101 43.0%	165 44.9%	39 39.6%	24 44.8%	49 57.6%	193 52.4%	78 48.8%	110 47.3%	84 53.2%	134 54.4%	151 39.3%	128 58.8%
Been found to have covered up incidences of gross misconduct within the practice of the organisation	575 57.2%	279 57.1%	297 57.4%	133 46.0%	219 61.7%	98 65.4%	125 59.2%	185 69.5%	101 47.4%	103 60.4%	37 53.3%	120 67.6%	105 49.5%	39 75.5%	117 54.4%	159 54.7%	134 66.2%	125 63.1%	125 53.3%	189 51.5%	51 51.3%	31 56.9%	56 65.6%	217 59.0%	88 54.7%	130 55.9%	90 57.3%	145 59.1%	193 50.1%	147 67.6%
None of the above	18 1.8%	12 2.4%	7 1.3%	7 2.3%	6 1.8%	-	5 2.4%	4 1.7%	2 0.7%	3 1.7%	3 4.0%	2 1.3%	2 0.7%	3 5.6%	4 1.7%	4 1.2%	5 2.4%	6 3.1%	1 0.3%	7 1.8%	-	3 5.7%	1 0.7%	10 2.8%	2 1.4%	2 0.9%	3 2.1%	4 1.6%	10 2.7%	1 0.2%
I don't think government services should ever be sub- contracted to private companies	212 21.1%	117 23.9%	95 18.4%	43 14.9%	67 19.0%	36 23.7%	66 31.3%	38 14.2%	61 28.7%	46 27.2%	25 35.1%	17 9.3%	52 24.3%	5 9.5%	67 31.3%	85 29.3%	33 16.2%	28 14.3%	49 21.0%	101 27.6%	30 30.4%	5 9.5%	11 12.8%	77 20.9%	31 19.2%	58 24.8%	25 16.2%	49 19.8%	96 24.9%	42 19.3%
Don't know	89 8.8%	30 6.1%	59 11.4%	58 20.1%	22 6.2%	8 5.2%	1 0.4%	10 3.6%	17 8.1%	9 5.2%	2 2.5%	14 7.6%	18 8.5%	* 0.8%	4 2.0%	13 4.3%	12 5.9%	18 8.8%	35 15.0%	24 6.5%	15 15.5%	3 4.8%	8 9.9%	19 5.1%	26 16.4%	17 7.4%	14 9.1%	18 7.1%	44 11.6%	12 5.7%
SIGMA	3063 304.7%	1477 302.7%	1585 306.6%	754 260.9%	1140 321.1%	497 330.0%	672 319.2%	922 346.2%	565 264.3%	539 317.0%	204 291.5%	593 335.2%	590 277.2%	192 374.4%	641 298.2%	866 297.8%	674 332.0%	644 324.1%	678 287.9%	1063 289.5%	280 281.9%	164 302.4%	275 321.6%	1150 312.1%	474 295.6%	702 302.5%	465 296.4%	779 317.2%	1063 276.6%	755 346.3%



Table 55

Q24. Which of the following statements is closest to your opinion?

Base : All Respondents

	Total	Gen	nder		A	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Inten	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	Inner- city/ urban	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
When private companies are found to have been mismanaging a public service or defrauding taxpayers, the service should be put back out to different private companies	309	176	133	73	123	53	60	122	43	61	14	99	43	22	69	89	79	58	89	81	19	20	26	118	52	72	45	80	94	91
	30.8%	36.2%	25.7%	25.4%	34.5%	35.2%	28.6%	45.8%	20.3%	35.8%	20.7%	55.8%	20.0%	43.3%	32.1%	30.6%	39.2%	29.4%	38.0%	22.1%	19.6%	37.5%	30.4%	32.0%	32.1%	31.1%	28.6%	32.5%	24.3%	41.7%
When private companies are found to have been mismanaging a public service or defrauding taxpayers, the service should be re-nationalised and run by the government	526	254	272	148	168	71	139	109	137	95	48	48	138	22	128	175	99	106	94	228	62	25	40	195	70	132	93	131	202	100
	52.4%	52.1%	52.7%	51.2%	47.2%	47.5%	66.2%	40.8%	64.2%	56.2%	68.9%	26.9%	64.8%	43.9%	59.5%	60.1%	48.7%	53.2%	40.2%	62.0%	62.9%	45.9%	46.8%	53.0%	43.3%	56.7%	59.4%	53.4%	52.4%	46.0%
Don't know	169	57	112	68	65	26	11	36	33	14	7	31	32	7	18	27	25	35	51	59	17	9	20	55	39	28	19	35	89	27
	16.9%	11.8%	21.7%	23.4%	18.2%	17.3%	5.2%	13.4%	15.6%	8.0%	10.3%	17.3%	15.2%	12.7%	8.5%	9.3%	12.2%	17.4%	21.9%	16.0%	17.5%	16.6%	22.8%	15.0%	24.5%	12.2%	12.0%	14.1%	23.2%	12.3%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Page 62

Table 56

Q25. Would you be more or less likely to vote for a party that promised to ... Remove public contracts from companies who are found to have committed fraud Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total	
Weighted Total	
More likely	
Less likely	
No difference	

SIGMA

Total	Gen	der		Aç	je			2010	/ote			Voti	ng Intent	tion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	ality	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
735	378	357	184	261	119	172	203	163	137	56	130	158	41	174	227	155	142	169	269	73	24	54	291	108	184	102	171	288	173
73.1%	77.4%	69.1%	63.7%	73.4%	78.8%	81.5%	76.2%	76.1%	80.9%	80.3%	73.5%	74.3%	80.4%	81.0%	78.0%	76.2%	71.4%	71.6%	73.3%	73.4%	43.8%	62.7%	78.9%	67.1%	79.2%	65.1%	69.8%	75.0%	79.4%
48	26	22	27	15	1	5	11	9	6	3	10	16	*	6	13	11	9	16	12	3	3	10	17	7	7	10	12	20	6
4.8%	5.4%	4.2%	9.4%	4.3%	0.7%	2.2%	4.3%	4.4%	3.5%	4.7%	5.8%	7.7%	0.6%	2.7%	4.3%	5.3%	4.7%	7.0%	3.1%	2.8%	6.2%	12.1%	4.7%	4.5%	3.0%	6.3%	4.8%	5.3%	2.8%
222	84	138	78	79	31	34	52	42	26	11	37	38	10	35	52	38	48	50	87	24	27	22	61	46	41	45	63	76	39
22.1%	17.2%	26.7%	26.9%	22.3%	20.6%	16.3%	19.6%	19.6%	15.6%	15.1%	20.6%	18.0%	19.0%	16.4%	17.7%	18.6%	23.9%	21.4%	23.6%	23.9%	50.0%	25.1%	16.4%	28.4%	17.8%	28.6%	25.5%	19.7%	17.8%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Table 57

Q25. Would you be more or less likely to vote for a party that promised to ...

Require companies who run public services to submit to Freedom of Information requests Base : All Respondents

	Total	Ger	nder		Αį	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Inten	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
More likely	631	333	298	143	222	111	155	183	132	127	49	105	122	37	161	211	129	124	148	230	69	23	45	259	78	154	83	145	255	148
	62.8%	68.2%	57.6%	49.3%	62.6%	73.5%	73.8%	68.5%	61.7%	74.7%	70.5%	59.2%	57.5%	72.3%	74.9%	72.5%	63.5%	62.3%	62.9%	62.5%	69.2%	43.1%	52.6%	70.4%	48.8%	66.5%	52.9%	59.0%	66.4%	67.9%
Less likely	73	33	39	42	22	1	7	8	23	7	7	9	32	1	10	17	20	14	18	20	3	4	7	30	11	16	24	14	30	4
	7.2%	6.8%	7.6%	14.6%	6.1%	1.0%	3.5%	3.0%	10.8%	4.1%	9.5%	5.3%	15.2%	2.9%	4.8%	6.0%	9.9%	6.9%	7.9%	5.5%	3.2%	7.9%	8.5%	8.2%	7.2%	6.9%	15.2%	5.8%	7.9%	1.9%
No difference	301	122	180	104	111	38	48	76	59	36	14	63	58	13	44	62	54	61	69	118	27	26	33	79	71	62	50	87	99	66
	30.0%	25.0%	34.7%	36.0%	31.3%	25.5%	22.7%	28.4%	27.5%	21.2%	19.9%	35.5%	27.3%	24.8%	20.4%	21.5%	26.6%	30.7%	29.3%	32.0%	27.6%	49.0%	38.9%	21.4%	44.0%	26.6%	31.9%	35.3%	25.7%	30.2%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Prepared by Survation

Table 58

No difference SIGMA

Q25. Would you be more or less likely to vote for a party that promised to ... Consult the public over all new contracts with private companies to run public services

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total More likely Less likely

To	tal	Gen	der		Aç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	ality	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Walaa	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban	Town	Village / Countrys ide
_		wate	гентате	10-34	33-34	33-04	05+	CON	LAD	LU	OTHER	CON	LAD	LU	UKIF	OTHER	AD	CI	C2	DE	Scotianu	wates	LUIIUUII	South	Wildianus	NOILII	urbari	Suburban	TOWIT	iue
10	05	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
10	05	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	23 !.1%	267 54.6%	257 49.6%	138 47.7%	195 55.0%	75 49.9%	115 54.6%	139 52.0%	124 58.2%	94 55.2%	41 59.1%	80 45.2%	122 57.5%	23 45.2%	119 55.4%	162 55.8%	100 49.4%	115 58.0%	112 47.6%	196 53.3%	61 61.6%	22 40.0%	49 56.9%	185 50.2%	79 49.4%	126 54.4%	81 51.6%	130 53.0%	206 53.5%	106 48.6%
	32 5.2%	40 8.2%	42 8.1%	35 12.0%	34 9.5%	8 5.3%	6 2.8%	17 6.5%	17 7.8%	13 7.4%	5 6.9%	18 10.4%	26 12.3%	7 14.5%	12 5.4%	19 6.7%	25 12.2%	11 5.3%	20 8.3%	26 7.1%	2 1.5%	1 2.6%	4 4.9%	42 11.4%	12 7.2%	21 8.9%	17 10.6%	17 6.9%	31 8.1%	17 7.8%
	00 .8%	181 37.2%	218 42.2%	116 40.3%	126 35.6%	68 44.8%	90 42.6%	111 41.5%	73 34.0%	64 37.4%	24 34.0%	78 44.3%	64 30.2%	21 40.3%	84 39.2%	109 37.5%	78 38.4%	73 36.7%	104 44.1%	145 39.6%	37 36.9%	31 57.4%	33 38.2%	142 38.4%	70 43.3%	85 36.7%	59 37.7%	98 40.0%	147 38.3%	95 43.5%
10 100		488 00.0%	517 100.0%	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213 100.0%	51 100.0%	215 100.0%	291 100.0%	203 100.0%	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99 100.0%	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232 100.0%	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218 100.0%



Table 59

Q25. Would you be more or less likely to vote for a party that promised to ...

Limit the number of contracts an individual company can obtain

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total More likely Less likely No difference

SIGMA

Total	Gen	nder		Αç	je			2010 \	√ote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
571	295	275	132	203	97	139	169	126	111	46	95	123	29	138	184	116	108	134	212	62	25	44	212	82	146	71	139	229	132
56.8%	60.5%	53.3%	45.6%	57.1%	64.6%	66.1%	63.3%	59.0%	65.5%	65.2%	53.9%	57.9%	57.6%	64.1%	63.1%	57.2%	54.2%	57.0%	57.7%	62.4%	45.4%	51.2%	57.5%	50.9%	62.7%	45.1%	56.6%	59.5%	60.6%
67	41	26	32	29	1	5	13	22	5	4	21	22	3	4	10	22	10	16	19	2	*	6	31	14	13	21	10	23	12
6.7%	8.4%	5.0%	11.2%	8.1%	0.9%	2.2%	4.8%	10.4%	2.8%	6.0%	11.9%	10.1%	6.2%	1.7%	3.3%	10.8%	5.1%	6.7%	5.3%	1.5%	0.8%	6.5%	8.5%	8.7%	5.8%	13.4%	4.2%	6.1%	5.6%
367	151	216	125	124	52	67	85	65	54	20	61	68	19	74	98	65	81	85	136	36	29	36	125	65	73	65	96	132	74
36.5%	31.0%	41.7%	43.2%	34.9%	34.5%	31.6%	31.9%	30.5%	31.7%	28.8%	34.2%	32.0%	36.3%	34.2%	33.6%	32.0%	40.7%	36.2%	37.0%	36.1%	53.9%	42.3%	33.9%	40.3%	31.4%	41.5%	39.1%	34.4%	33.8%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Table 60

225. Would you be more or less likely to vote for a party that promised to ...
Consult with the public over which services should be outsourced to private companies
Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total	Ī
Weighted Total	
More likely	
Less likely	
No difference	
SIGMA	

Total	Gen	der		Aç	je			2010	/ote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	ality	
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
602	288	313	155	220	102	125	153	136	120	49	88	132	34	146	200	129	117	135	219	64	20	47	226	87	156	86	146	234	135
59.9%	59.1%	60.6%	53.6%	62.0%	67.6%	59.2%	57.4%	63.5%	70.8%	69.8%	49.5%	61.8%	66.1%	67.7%	68.9%	63.7%	59.0%	57.6%	59.5%	64.3%	36.3%	55.5%	61.3%	53.9%	67.4%	55.0%	59.4%	61.0%	61.9%
76	43	33	37	22	5	12	17	14	13	5	20	22	3	16	19	14	10	21	31	4	4	7	39	13	10	22	10	34	10
7.6%	8.9%	6.4%	12.8%	6.2%	3.4%	5.7%	6.4%	6.7%	7.4%	7.3%	11.2%	10.2%	5.2%	7.4%	6.6%	7.1%	4.9%	9.0%	8.4%	3.7%	7.5%	8.5%	10.5%	7.9%	4.2%	13.9%	4.1%	8.8%	4.8%
327	156	171	97	113	44	74	96	64	37	16	69	60	15	54	71	59	72	79	118	32	30	31	104	61	66	49	90	116	73
32.6%	32.0%	33.1%	33.6%	31.8%	29.0%	35.1%	36.2%	29.8%	21.8%	23.0%	39.2%	28.0%	28.7%	24.9%	24.5%	29.2%	36.1%	33.4%	32.0%	32.0%	56.2%	36.1%	28.2%	38.2%	28.4%	31.1%	36.6%	30.2%	33.3%
1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



6 May 2014

Table 61 Q26. Serco is a British outsourcing company. Its operations include public and private transport and traffic control, aviation, military and nuclear weapons contracts, detention centres and prisons and schools. It is contracted by the UK Government to run some public services. Its revenue from UK public sector contracts was £1.8 billion in 2012.

Last December, Serco agreed to repay £68.5 million to the government, after being found to have overcharged for providing electronic prisoner tags, including allegations of charging for tagging prisoners who were dead or in prison. Which of the following statements is closest to your opinion?

Base : All Respondents

	Total	Ger	nder		A	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Inten	tion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	Inner- city/ urban	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
This is an acceptable settlement between the government and Serco	268	134	134	80	93	41	54	88	50	63	10	77	50	22	51	71	67	43	85	72	22	7	21	103	53	60	47	61	98	63
	26.7%	27.5%	25.9%	27.5%	26.3%	27.4%	25.6%	33.2%	23.2%	37.2%	14.7%	43.2%	23.6%	43.1%	23.8%	24.4%	33.2%	21.8%	36.3%	19.6%	22.2%	13.1%	24.4%	28.0%	33.3%	25.9%	29.7%	24.8%	25.5%	28.7%
This is not an acceptable settlement between the government and Serco	483	275	209	109	186	66	122	116	116	79	51	64	112	18	129	173	90	106	87	199	50	23	41	176	69	119	74	124	182	102
	48.1%	56.3%	40.4%	37.7%	52.5%	43.5%	58.0%	43.7%	54.1%	46.5%	72.4%	36.0%	52.5%	35.1%	60.0%	59.6%	44.6%	53.4%	37.1%	54.1%	50.6%	43.4%	48.4%	47.9%	42.9%	51.5%	47.4%	50.5%	47.4%	47.0%
Don't know	254	79	175	100	75	44	34	62	49	28	9	37	51	11	35	46	45	49	63	97	27	24	23	89	38	53	36	61	104	53
	25.2%	16.2%	33.8%	34.7%	21.2%	29.0%	16.4%	23.1%	22.7%	16.3%	12.8%	20.8%	23.9%	21.8%	16.3%	16.0%	22.2%	24.8%	26.6%	26.3%	27.2%	43.5%	27.2%	24.1%	23.8%	22.7%	22.9%	24.7%	27.1%	24.3%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



6 May 2014

Table 62 Q27. In January, Serco was cleared to bid for public sector government contracts after it was barred last year from bidding for new contracts. It is currently under criminal investigation by the Serious Fraud Office regarding the issue of overcharging on its government contracts. Which of the following statements is closest to your opinion? Base : All Respondents

	Total	Gen	nder		Αį	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Inten	tion			SE	G				Regio	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	city/	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
It is right that Serco should be allowed to bid for government contracts again now	71 7.1%	28 5.8%	43 8.3%	39 13.5%	20 5.7%	9 5.7%	4 1.7%	15 5.6%	25 11.8%	14 8.2%	2 2.5%	18 10.1%	23 10.9%	7 13.3%	10 4.8%	16 5.4%	23 11.5%	12 6.1%	22 9.3%	14 3.8%	3 3.4%	1 2.5%	6 7.0%	35 9.6%	15 9.4%	9 4.0%	19 12.1%	19 7.6%	25 6.6%	8 3.8%
Serco should be allowed to bid for government contracts again, but not yet	163 16.2%	73 14.9%	90 17.5%	54 18.6%	58 16.4%	28 18.5%	23 11.1%	60 22.4%	27 12.9%	33 19.3%	6 9.2%	45 25.5%	25 11.6%	16 30.9%	32 14.8%	42 14.3%	54 26.7%	32 16.0%	33 14.0%	44 12.1%	8 7.9%	8 15.3%	10 11.4%	57 15.5%	31 19.2%	49 21.0%	29 18.2%	43 17.7%	64 16.6%	28 12.7%
Serco should never be allowed to bid for government contracts again	630 62.7%	349 71.5%	281 54.3%	124 42.9%	240 67.6%	100 66.6%	166 78.7%	171 64.2%	133 62.3%	113 66.2%	58 83.1%	96 54.1%	138 64.8%	27 53.2%	161 74.7%	215 74.0%	105 51.8%	127 64.2%	144 61.2%	252 68.7%	68 68.5%	30 55.8%	55 64.4%	235 63.8%	90 56.3%	147 63.6%	85 54.0%	153 62.3%	237 61.8%	154 70.9%
Don't know	141 14.0%	38 7.7%	103 19.9%	72 25.0%	36 10.3%	14 9.2%	18 8.6%	21 7.8%	28 13.1%	11 6.3%	4 5.1%	18 10.4%	27 12.7%	1 2.6%	12 5.7%	18 6.3%	20 10.1%	27 13.7%	36 15.4%	57 15.4%	20 20.1%	14 26.3%	15 17.2%	41 11.1%	24 15.1%	27 11.5%	25 15.7%	31 12.5%	58 15.0%	28 12.7%
SIGMA	1005 100.0%	488 100.0%	517 100.0%	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213 100.0%	51 100.0%	215 100.0%	291 100.0%	203 100.0%	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99 100.0%	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232 100.0%	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218 100.0%



Table 63 Q28. G4S is British security services company that provides security for people, buildings and cash. It is contracted by the UK Government to run some public services. Its revenue from UK public sector contracts was £0.7 billion in 2012-13. In March, G4S agreed to repay £109 million to the government, after being found to have overcharged for providing electronic prisoner tags, including allegations of charging for tagging prisoners who were dead or in prison, and overcharging for prisoner escort contracts. Which of the following statements is closest to your opinion? Base : All Respondents

	Total	Ger	nder		Αç	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	Inner- city/ urban	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
This is an acceptable settlement between the government and G4S	255	126	128	81	88	42	43	81	36	60	13	69	45	20	47	66	61	49	78	67	15	12	20	107	47	52	49	60	93	53
	25.3%	25.9%	24.8%	28.1%	24.8%	28.1%	20.4%	30.6%	17.0%	35.5%	18.2%	38.8%	21.0%	39.3%	22.0%	22.7%	30.1%	24.5%	33.0%	18.3%	14.6%	23.0%	23.1%	29.1%	29.6%	22.4%	31.0%	24.3%	24.3%	24.2%
This is not an acceptable settlement between the government and G4S	524	288	236	131	185	71	137	129	128	87	50	71	122	20	138	183	108	104	98	212	64	19	44	189	70	134	73	133	193	124
	52.1%	58.9%	45.6%	45.2%	52.2%	46.8%	65.2%	48.5%	59.9%	51.0%	71.1%	40.0%	57.3%	39.5%	64.0%	62.9%	53.3%	52.6%	41.8%	57.6%	64.8%	35.7%	51.3%	51.3%	43.9%	57.6%	46.5%	54.1%	50.3%	57.0%
Don't know	227	74	153	77	82	38	30	56	49	23	7	37	46	11	30	42	34	45	59	89	20	22	22	73	43	47	35	53	98	41
	22.6%	15.2%	29.5%	26.7%	23.0%	25.0%	14.5%	20.9%	23.1%	13.5%	10.6%	21.2%	21.7%	21.2%	14.0%	14.4%	16.6%	22.9%	25.1%	24.1%	20.6%	41.4%	25.6%	19.7%	26.6%	20.0%	22.5%	21.5%	25.4%	18.8%
SIGMA	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



Table 64 Q29.In April, G4S was cleared to bid for public sector government contracts after it was barred last year from bidding for new contracts. It is currently under criminal investigation by the Serious Fraud Office regarding the issue of overcharging on its government contracts. Which of the following statements is closest to your opinion? Base : All Respondents

	Total	Ger	der		A	ge			2010	Vote			Voti	ng Intent	ion			SE	G				Regi	on6				Loca	lity	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	AB	C1	C2	DE	Scotland	Wales	London	South	Midlands	North	Inner- city/ urban	Outer suburban / Suburban		Village / Countrys ide
Unweighted Total	1005	473	532	248	380	146	231	284	181	163	92	168	198	55	217	300	208	240	267	289	85	46	99	364	157	249	177	261	348	219
Weighted Total	1005	488	517	289	355	151	210	266	214	170	70	177	213	51	215	291	203	199	235	367	99	54	86	369	160	232	157	246	384	218
It is right that G4S should be allowed to bid for government contracts again now	78 7.8%	36 7.3%	42 8.2%	33 11.3%	28 7.7%	14 9.3%	4 2.0%	23 8.7%	18 8.6%	16 9.6%	4 5.7%	22 12.2%	22 10.2%	11 21.2%	6 2.9%	13 4.6%	24 12.0%	11 5.8%	26 11.3%	16 4.4%	5 5.4%	1 2.6%	7 8.7%	36 9.8%	18 11.2%	9 4.0%	16 10.3%	22 9.1%	26 6.8%	14 6.3%
G4S should be allowed to bid for government contracts again, but not yet	185 18.4%	84 17.2%	101 19.5%	63 21.9%	64 18.0%	27 17.8%	31 14.6%	64 23.9%	46 21.5%	28 16.3%	8 11.7%	46 26.1%	43 20.0%	13 24.5%	35 16.3%	45 15.5%	54 26.6%	34 17.1%	43 18.5%	54 14.6%	14 14.0%	7 12.7%	12 14.0%	77 20.9%	17 10.9%	57 24.6%	33 20.7%	45 18.1%	75 19.5%	33 15.2%
G4S should never be allowed to bid for government contracts again	597 59.4%	326 66.9%	270 52.3%	127 44.1%	219 61.7%	92 61.0%	158 75.3%	161 60.5%	121 56.8%	114 66.9%	54 77.6%	84 47.6%	124 58.2%	26 51.7%	156 72.7%	208 71.5%	103 50.9%	125 62.9%	137 58.3%	230 62.7%	64 64.9%	32 58.6%	54 62.9%	204 55.5%	99 61.7%	140 60.2%	82 52.5%	149 60.6%	222 57.8%	143 65.7%
Don't know	145 14.4%	42 8.6%	103 20.0%	66 22.7%	45 12.6%	18 12.0%	17 8.1%	18 6.9%	28 13.1%	12 7.2%	4 5.1%	25 14.2%	25 11.7%	1 2.6%	17 8.1%	24 8.3%	21 10.5%	28 14.3%	28 12.0%	67 18.3%	16 15.6%	14 26.1%	12 14.4%	51 13.8%	26 16.2%	26 11.3%	26 16.5%	30 12.2%	61 15.9%	28 12.9%
SIGMA	1005 100.0%	488 100.0%	517 100.0%	289 100.0%	355 100.0%	151 100.0%	210 100.0%	266 100.0%	214 100.0%	170 100.0%	70 100.0%	177 100.0%	213 100.0%	51 100.0%	215 100.0%	291 100.0%	203 100.0%	199 100.0%	235 100.0%	367 100.0%	99 100.0%	54 100.0%	86 100.0%	369 100.0%	160 100.0%	232 100.0%	157 100.0%	246 100.0%	384 100.0%	218 100.0%

