04/05/2016

Prepared on behalf The Jewish Chronicle



Jewish Chronicle Poll



Methodology

Fieldwork Dates

 3^{rd} – 4^{th} May 2016

Data Collection Method

The survey was generally conducted via telephone. A combination of both landline and mobile data were called. Additional respondents were invited to take part in the survey online. Invitations and one reminder were sent out to these respondents via email.

Population Sampled

All residents aged 18+ in Great Britain who identify as Jewish.

Sample Size

1,008

Sampling Method

Data called were members of a pre-recruited Survation panel of Jewish residents in the UK. When joining the panel, respondents were asked to confirm whether they were Jewish (including secular or non-practicing) and only those who were continued into the survey.

Data Weighting

Data were weighted to the profile of all Jewish adults aged 18+ in Great Britain. Data were weighted by age, sex and region. Targets for the weighted data were derived from Office of National Statistics 2011 Census data.

Margin of Error

Because only a sample of the full population was interviewed, all results are subject to margin of error, meaning that not all differences are statistically significant. For example, in a question where 50% (the worst case scenario as far as margin of error is concerned) gave a particular answer, with a sample of 1,008 it is 95% certain that the 'true' value will fall within the range of 3.1% from the sample result. Subsamples from the cross-breaks will be subject to higher margin of error, conclusions drawn from crossbreaks with very small sub-samples should be treated with caution.



Question presentation

All data tables shown in full below, in order and wording put to respondents, including but not limited to all tables relating to published data and all relevant tables preceding them. Tables for demographic questions might not be included but these should be clear from the cross-breaks on published tables. In all questions where the responses are a list of parties, names or statements, these will typically have been displayed to respondents in a randomising order. The only questions which would not have had randomising responses would be those in which there was a natural order to maintain – e.g. a scale from "strongly agree" to "strongly disagree", a list of numbers from 0 to 10 or questions which had factual rather than opinion-related answers such as demographic information. "Other", "Don't know" and "Refused" responses are not randomised.

Not all questions will have necessarily been asked to all respondents – this is because they may be follow-on questions from previous questions or only appropriate to certain demographic groups. Lower response counts should make clear where this has occurred.

Data were analysed and weighted by Survation. For further information please contact: Chris Hopkins 0203 818 9661 chris.hopkins@survation.com

If you are interested in commissioning a poll from us, please contact researchteam@survation.com for a prompt response to your enquiry and we'll call you right back with the appropriate person.

Sign up for our press releases at http://eepurl.com/mOK8T

Follow us on twitter:

www.twitter.com/survation for our regular survey work and political polling www.twitter.com/damiansurvation for Damian Lyons Lowe's twitter feed Survation are a member of The British Polling Council and abide by its rules: <u>http://www.britishpollingcouncil.org</u> Survation Ltd Registered in England & Wales Number 07143509

Survation.

Prepared on behalf of The Jewish Chronicle

Table 1

Q1. Normal weightings

Q1. Thinking back to the General Election in May 2015, which party did you vote for in that election, or did you not vote?

Base: All respondents

т	otal	Se	x		Age				Westmins	ter Voting	Intention				2015	5 Westmin	ster Past	Vote		Lab Consid			Region	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Und	Would not vote	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Did not vote	Yes	No	London	Man- chester	Other
Unweighted Total 1	1008	502	506	154	278	576	670	68	38	21	20	109	58	646	150	24	7	11	93	269	589	600	127	281
Weighted Total 1	1008	487	521	194	229	585	671	70	38	19	22	107	58	645	152	25	7	11	92	274	582	542	222	244
	645 4.0%	307 63.1%	338 64.8%	115 59.3%	139 60.7%	391 66.8%	569 84.9%	3 4.2%	8 20.5%	10 51.3%	8 35.1%	34 31.9%	12 20.9%	645 100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	184 67.2%	461 79.2%	351 64.8%	139 62.9%	154 63.2%
	152 5.1%	81 16.6%	72 13.7%	28 14.2%	32 14.1%	92 15.8%	35 5.2%	55 79.2%	7 18.4%	2 9.5%	4 20.0%	32 30.1%	17 29.3%	-	152 100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	82 15.1%	38 17.4%	32 13.0%
	25 2.5%	16 3.3%	9 1.7%	5 2.3%	7 3.2%	13 2.2%	3 *	2 3.0%	16 43.0%	-	-	3 3.0%	1 1.3%	-	-	25 100.0%	-	-	-	14 5.3%	10 1.8%	10 1.8%	8 3.6%	7 2.9%
UKIP	7).7%	3 0.7%	4 0.7%	-	1 0.7%	5 0.9%	-	-	-	6 30.7%	-	1 0.9%	-	-	-	-	7 100.0%	-	-	3 1.0%	4 0.7%	3 0.6%	2 0.8%	2 0.7%
Green 0	8).8%	2 *	6 1.1%	2 1.2%	1 0.6%	5 0.8%	-	2 2.5%	-	-	7 29.9%	-	-	:	-	-	-	8 73.1%	-	8 2.8%	1 *	4 0.7%	2 1.0%	2 1.0%
Another party	3 *	2 *	1 *	-	2 1.0%	1 *	2 *	-	1 2.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 26.9%	-	3 1.1%	-	1 *	1 0.7%	1 *
	92 9.1%	51 10.5%	41 7.9%	34 17.7%	24 10.6%	33 5.7%	34 5.0%	7 10.0%	4 10.0%	2 8.5%	1 3.3%	18 16.5%	27 47.0%	-	-	-	-	-	92 100.0%	31 11.5%	60 10.4%	45 8.3%	15 6.8%	32 13.0%
Refused	76 7.5%	25 5.1%	51 9.8%	10 5.3%	21 9.1%	45 7.6%	28 4.2%	1 1.1%	2 5.8%	-	3 11.7%	19 17.7%	1 1.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	31 11.2%	45 7.8%	46 8.6%	15 6.8%	14 5.8%
SIGMA 1	1008 00.0%	487 100.0%	521 100.0%	194 100.0%	229 100.0%	585 100.0%	671 100.0%	70 100.0%	38 100.0%	19 100.0%	22 100.0%	107 100.0%	58 100.0%	645 100.0%	152 100.0%	25 100.0%	7 100.0%	11 100.0%	92 100.0%	274 100.0%	582 100.0%	542 100.0%	222 100.0%	244 100.0%

4 May 2016

Page 4

Survation.

Page 5 4 May 2016

Table 2

Q2. Normal weightings

Q2. If there was a General Election taking place tomorrow, and there was a candidate from all political parties standing in your constituency, which party do you think you would vote for, or would you not vote? Base: All respondents

	Total	s	ex		Age				Westmins	ter Voting	Intention				2015	Westmin	ster Past	Vote		Lab Consid			Region	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Und	Would not vote	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Did not vote	Yes	No	London	Man- chester	Other
Unweighted Total	1008	502	506	154	278	576	670	68	38	21	20	109	58	646	150	24	7	11	93	269	589	600	127	281
Weighted Total	1008	487	521	194	229	585	671	70	38	19	22	107	58	645	152	25	7	11	92	274	582	542	222	244
Conservatives	671 66.5%	321 65.9%	350 67.1%	124 63.7%	148 64.6%	399 68.2%	671 100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	569 88.3%	35 22.8%	3 10.4%	-	2 19.4%	34 36.8%	179 65.3%	457 78.6%	366 67.6%	148 67.0%	156 63.9%
Labour	70 6.9%	40 8.1%	30 5.8%	21 10.8%	19 8.3%	29 5.0%	-	70 100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	3 *	55 36.2%	2 8.3%	-	2 15.1%	7 7.6%	11 4.2%	3 0.5%	36 6.7%	18 8.3%	15 6.1%
Liberal Democrats	38 3.8%	27 5.6%	11 2.0%	5 2.8%	12 5.3%	20 3.4%	-	-	38 100.0%	-	-	-	-	8 1.2%	7 4.6%	16 65.3%	-	1 7.5%	4 4.1%	19 7.0%	12 2.0%	12 2.1%	11 4.9%	15 6.3%
UKIP	19 1.9%	15 3.1%	4 0.8%	-	4 1.9%	15 2.5%	-	-	-	19 100.0%	-	-	-	10 1.5%	2 1.2%	-	6 86.2%	-	2 1.8%	4	14 2.4%	9 1.7%	4 1.6%	7 2.7%
Another Party	22 2.2%	7 1.4%	15 2.9%	7 3.5%	5 2.3%	10 1.7%	-	-	-	-	22 100.0%	-	-	8 1.2%	4 2.9%	-	-	7 57.9%	1 0.8%	12 4.3%	6 1.0%	11 2.1%	7 3.2%	3 1.4%
Undecided	107 10.6%	39 8.1%	68 13.1%	22 11.2%	23 10.2%	62 10.6%	- -	-	-	-	-	107 100.0%	-	34 5.3%	32 21.2%	3 12.9%	1 13.8%	-	18 19.3%	32 11.8%	43 7.3%	63 11.6%	18 8.0%	27 10.9%
Would not vote	58 5.8%	30 6.2%	28 5.3%	13 6.7%	13 5.5%	32 5.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	58 100.0%	12 1.9%	17 11.2%	1 3.1%	-	-	27 29.7%	9 3.3%	32 5.5%	27 4.9%	12 5.6%	19 7.7%
Refused	23 2.3%	8 1.6%	16 3.0%	2 1.2%	4 1.9%	17 2.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	8 2.8%	16 2.7%	18 3.2%	3 1.4%	2 1.0%
SIGMA	1008 100.0%	487 100.0%	521 100.0%	194 100.0%	229 100.0%	585 100.0%	671 100.0%	70 100.0%	38 100.0%	19 100.0%	22 100.0%	107 100.0%	58 100.0%	645 100.0%	152 100.0%	25 100.0%	7 100.0%	11 100.0%	92 100.0%	274 100.0%	582 100.0%	542 100.0%	222 100.0%	244 100.0%

Page 6 4 May 2016

Table 3

Q2. Normal weightings

Q2. If there was a General Election taking place tomorrow, and there was a candidate from all political parties standing in your constituency, which party do you think you would vote for, or would you not vote? Base: All respondents with refused removed

	Total	s	ex		Age				Westmins	ster Voting	Intention				2015	Westmin	ster Past	Vote		Lab Consid			Region	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Und	Would not vote	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Did not vote	Yes	No	London	Man- chester	Other
Unweighted Total	984	494	490	152	273	559	670	68	38	21	20	109	58	645	150	24	7	11	93	261	573	581	125	278
Weighted Total	985	479	505	192	225	568	671	70	38	19	22	107	58	644	152	25	7	11	92	266	566	525	219	241
Conservatives	671	321	350	124	148	399	671	-	-	-	-	-	-	569	35	3	-	2	34	179	457	366	148	156
	68.1%	67.0%	69.2%	64.5%	65.9%	70.2%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	88.4%	22.8%	10.4%	-	19.4%	36.8%	67.2%	80.8%	69.8%	67.9%	64.5%
Labour	70	40	30	21	19	29	-	70	-	-	-	-	-	3	55	2	-	2	7	11	3	36	18	15
	7.1%	8.2%	5.9%	11.0%	8.5%	5.2%	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	*	36.2%	8.3%	-	15.1%	7.6%	4.3%	0.5%	6.9%	8.4%	6.2%
Liberal Democrats	38 3.8%	27 5.7%	11 2.1%	5 2.9%	12 5.4%	20 3.5%	-	-	38 100.0%	-	-	-	-	8 1.2%	7 4.6%	16 65.3%	-	1 7.5%	4 4.1%	19 7.2%	12 2.1%	12 2.2%	11 4.9%	15 6.4%
UKIP	19 2.0%	15 3.1%	4 0.9%	-	4 1.9%	15 2.6%	-	-	-	19 100.0%	-	-	-	10 1.5%	2 1.2%	-	6 86.2%	-	2 1.8%	4 1.3%	14 2.4%	9 1.7%	4 1.6%	7 2.7%
Another Party	22 2.2%	7 1.5%	15 3.0%	7 3.6%	5 2.3%	10 1.8%	-	-	-	-	22 100.0%	-	-	8 1.2%	4 2.9%	-	-	7 57.9%	1 0.8%	12 4.4%	6 1.0%	11 2.2%	7 3.3%	3 1.4%
Undecided	107 10.9%	39 8.2%	68 13.5%	22 11.3%	23 10.4%	62 11.0%	-	-	-	-	-	107 100.0%	-	34 5.3%	32 21.2%	3 12.9%	1 13.8%	-	18 19.3%	32 12.2%	43 7.5%	63 12.0%	18 8.1%	27 11.0%
Would not vote	58 5.9%	30 6.3%	28 5.5%	13 6.8%	13 5.6%	32 5.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	58 100.0%	12 1.9%	17 11.2%	1 3.1%	-	-	27 29.7%	9 3.4%	32 5.6%	27 5.1%	12 5.7%	19 7.8%
SIGMA	985 100.0%	479 100.0%	505 100.0%	192 100.0%	225 100.0%	568 100.0%	671 100.0%	70 100.0%	38 100.0%	19 100.0%	22 100.0%	107 100.0%	58 100.0%	644 100.0%	152 100.0%	25 100.0%	7 100.0%	11 100.0%	92 100.0%	266 100.0%	566 100.0%	525 100.0%	219 100.0%	241



4 May 2016

Page 7

Q2. Normal weightings

Table 4

Q2. If there was a General Election taking place tomorrow, and there was a candidate from all political parties standing in your constituency, which party do you think you would vote for, or would you not vote? Base: All respondents with refused & would not vote removed

	Total	S	ex		Age				Westmins	ter Voting	Intention				2015	Westmin	ster Past	Vote			our eration		Region	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Und	Would not vote	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Did not vote	Yes	No	London	Man- chester	Other
Unweighted Total	926	464	462	142	258	526	670	68	38	21	20	109	-	633	134	23	7	11	65	252	540	551	118	257
Weighted Total	927	449	478	179	212	536	671	70	38	19	22	107	-	632	135	24	7	11	65	257	534	498	206	223
Conservatives	671	321	350	124	148	399	671	-	-	-	-	-	-	569	35	3	-	2	34	179	457	366	148	156
	72.4%	71.5%	73.2%	69.2%	69.8%	74.5%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	90.1%	25.6%	10.7%	-	19.4%	52.3%	69.6%	85.6%	73.6%	72.1%	70.0%
Labour	70 7.5%	40 8.8%	30 6.3%	21 11.8%	19 9.0%	29 5.5%	-	70 100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	3 *	55 40.8%	2 8.5%	-	2 15.1%	7 10.8%	11 4.4%	3 0.6%	36 7.3%	18 8.9%	15 6.7%
Liberal Democrats	38 4.1%	27 6.1%	11 2.2%	5 3.1%	12 5.7%	20 3.8%	-	-	38 100.0%	-	-	-	-	8 1.2%	7 5.1%	16 67.4%	-	1 7.5%	4 5.9%	19 7.4%	12 2.2%	12 2.3%	11 5.2%	15 6.9%
UKIP	19 2.1%	15 3.3%	4 0.9%	-	4 2.1%	15 2.8%	-	-	-	19 100.0%	-	-	-	1.2 % 10 1.6%	2 1.3%	-	6 86.2%	-	2 2.5%	4	14 2.6%	9 1.8%	4 1.7%	7 3.0%
Another Party	2.1% 22 2.4%	7 1.6%	15 3.1%	7 3.8%	5 2.4%	10 1.9%	-	-	-	-	22 100.0%	-	-	8 1.2%	4 3.3%	-	-	7 57.9%	1 1.1%	1.47% 12 4.6%	6 1.1%	11 2.3%	7 3.4%	3.0% 3 1.6%
Undecided	107 11.6%	39 8.7%	68 14.2%	22 12.1%	23 11.0%	62 11.6%	-	-	-	-	-	107 100.0%	-	34 5.4%	32 23.9%	3 13.3%	1 13.8%	-	18 27.4%	32 12.6%	43 8.0%	63 12.6%	18 8.6%	27 11.9%
SIGMA	927 100.0%	449 100.0%	478 100.0%	179 100.0%	212 100.0%	536 100.0%	671 100.0%	70 100.0%	38 100.0%	19 100.0%	22 100.0%	107 100.0%	-	632 100.0%	135 100.0%	24 100.0%	7 100.0%	11 100.0%	65 100.0%	257 100.0%	534 100.0%	498 100.0%	206	223

Page 8 4 May 2016

Table 5 Q2. Normal weightings

Q2. If there was a General Election taking place tomorrow, and there was a candidate from all political parties standing in your constituency, which party do you think you would vote for, or would you not vote? Base: All respondents with refused, would not vote & undecided removed

	Total	s	iex		Age			,	Westmins	ster Voting	Intention				2015	5 Westmin	ster Past	Vote		Lab Consid	our eration		Region	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Und	Would not vote	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Did not vote	Yes	No	London	Man- chester	Other
Unweighted Total	817	422	395	125	230	462	670	68	38	21	20	-	-	601	99	20	6	11	47	222	496	482	108	227
Weighted Total	819	410	410	157	189	474	671	70	38	19	22	-	-	598	103	21	6	11	47	225	492	435	188	196
Conservatives	671 81.9%	321 78.3%	350 85.4%	124 78.8%	148 78.4%	399 84.3%	671 100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	569 95.3%	35 33.7%	3 12.4%	-	2 19.4%	34 72.1%	179 79.6%	457 93.0%	366 84.2%	148 78.9%	156 79.4%
Labour	70 8.5%	40 9.6%	30 7.3%	21 13.4%	19 10.1%	29 6.2%	-	70 100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	3 *	55 53.5%	2 9.9%	-	2 15.1%	7 14.8%	11 5.1%	3 0.6%	36 8.4%	18 9.8%	15 7.6%
Liberal Democrats	38 4.6%	27 6.7%	11 2.6%	5 3.5%	12 6.4%	20 4.3%	-	-	38 100.0%	-	-	-	-	8 1.3%	7 6.8%	16 77.8%	-	1 7.5%	4 8.1%	19 8.5%	12 2.4%	12 2.7%	11 5.7%	15 7.9%
UKIP	19 2.3%	15 3.6%	4 1.1%	-	4 2.3%	15 3.1%	-	-	-	19 100.0%	-	-	-	10 1.6%	2 1.8%	-	6 100.0%	-	2 3.5%	4	14 2.8%	9 2.1%	4 1.9%	7 3.4%
Another Party	22 2.7%	7 1.7%	15 3.7%	7 4.4%	5 2.7%	10 2.1%	-	-	-	-	22 100.0%	-	-	8 1.3%	4 4.3%	-	-	7 57.9%	1 1.5%	12 5.2%	6 1.2%	11 2.6%	7	3 1.8%
SIGMA	819 100.0%	410 100.0%	410	4.4% 157 100.0%	2.7% 189 100.0%	474	- 671 100.0%	- 70 100.0%	- 38 100.0%	- 19 100.0%	22 100.0%	-	-	598 100.0%	4.3% 103 100.0%	- 21 100.0%	- 6 100.0%	57.9% 11 100.0%	47 100.0%	5.2% 225 100.0%	492 100.0%	435 100.0%	3.8% 188 100.0%	1.8% 196 100.0%
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	10



Prepared on behalf of The Jewish Chronicle

Page 9 4 May 2016

Table 6

Q3. Normal weightings

Q3. Have you considered voting Labour in the past 10 years?

Base: All respondents who did not vote Labour in 2015

	Total	Se	ex		Age				Westmins	ter Voting	Intention				2015	5 Westmin	ster Past \	/ote		Lab Consid			Region	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Und	Would not vote	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Did not vote	Yes	No	London	Man- chester	Other
Unweighted Total	858	422	436	133	239	486	638	16	30	19	15	74	42	646	-	24	7	11	93	269	589	509	105	244
Weighted Total	856	406	450	166	197	493	636	14	31	17	18	75	41	645	-	25	7	11	92	274	582	460	183	212
Yes	274	147	127	66	75	133	179	11	19	4	12	32	9	184	-	14	3	11	31	274	-	144	70	61
	32.0%	36.2%	28.3%	39.9%	38.0%	27.0%	28.1%	79.0%	61.9%	20.6%	66.8%	43.2%	22.3%	28.5%	-	58.1%	39.4%	93.8%	34.3%	100.0%	-	31.2%	37.9%	28.7%
No	582	259	322	100	122	360	457	3	12	14	6	43	32	461	-	10	4	1	60	-	582	317	114	151
	68.0%	63.8%	71.7%	60.1%	62.0%	73.0%	71.9%	21.0%	38.1%	79.4%	33.2%	56.8%	77.7%	71.5%	-	41.9%	60.6%	6.2%	65.7%	-	100.0%	68.8%	62.1%	71.3%
SIGMA	856	406	450	166	197	493	636	14	31	17	18	75	41	645	-	25	7	11	92	274	582	460	183	212
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Survation.

Table 7

Q4. Normal weightings

Q4. Which of the following statements is closest to your view

Base: All respondents who did not vote Labour in 2015 but have considered voting Labour in the past 10 years

	Total	S	ex		Age				Westmins	ster Voting	Intention				201	5 Westmin	ster Past	Vote		Lab Conside			Region	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Und	Would not vote	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Did not vote	Yes	No	London	Man- chester	Other
Unweighted Total	269	149	120	53	84	132	178	13	18	3	10	30	9	183	-	14	2	10	30	269	-	158	41	70
Weighted Total	274	147	127	66	75	133	179	11	19	4	12	32	9	184	-	14	3	11	31	274	-	144	70	61
Jeremy Corbyn as leader of the Labour Party is	230	127	104	56	63	111	150	8	18	3	12	27	6	155	-	14	2	11	27	230	-	117	61	52
important when considering my vote	84.1%	86.3%	81.6%	84.8%	84.3%	83.6%	83.9%	68.8%	96.3%	75.5%	100.0%	84.5%	70.0%	84.2%	-	100.0%	67.6%	100.0%	86.8%	84.1%	-	81.3%	88.3%	85.9%
Jeremy Corbyn as leader of the Labour Party is	39	18	20	8	12	19	25	4	1	1	-	4	3	26	-	-	1	-	4	39	-	22	8	9
NOT important when considering my vote	14.1%	12.4%	16.0%	11.7%	15.7%	14.3%	13.9%	31.2%	3.7%	24.5%	-	12.1%	30.0%	14.1%	-	-	32.4%	-	13.2%	14.1%	-	15.2%	11.7%	14.1%
Don't know	5	2	3	2	-	3	4	-	-	-	-	1	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5	-	-
	1.8%	1.3%	2.4%	3.4%	-	2.1%	2.2%	-	-	-	-	3.4%	-	1.6%	-	-	-	-	-	1.8%	-	3.5%	-	-
SIGMA	274	147	127	66	75	133	179	11	19	4	12	32	9	184	-	14	3	11	31	274	-	144	70	61
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

4 May 2016

Page 10

Prepared on behalf of The Jewish Chronicle

Table 8

Q5. Normal weightings

Q5. Thinking about your personal safety, how safe or unsafe do you feel as a Jewish person in Britain?

Base: All respondents

	Total	Se	ex		Age				Westmins	ster Voting	Intention				2015	5 Westmin	ster Past	Vote		Lab Consid	our eration		Region	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Und	Would not vote	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Did not vote	Yes	No	London	Man- chester	Other
Unweighted Total	1008	502	506	154	278	576	670	68	38	21	20	109	58	646	150	24	7	11	93	269	589	600	127	281
Weighted Total	1008	487	521	194	229	585	671	70	38	19	22	107	58	645	152	25	7	11	92	274	582	542	222	244
Very safe	214 21.3%	131 27.0%	83 15.9%	35 18.3%	49 21.4%	130 22.2%	119 17.7%	32 46.7%	12 30.6%	9 47.7%	4 16.3%	22 20.2%	12 21.5%	114 17.7%	43 28.4%	10 41.7%	3 48.2%	3 28.9%	29 31.7%	58 21.3%	113 19.4%	122 22.4%	40 18.1%	53 21.6%
Quite safe	607 60.2%	287 59.0%	320 61.4%	123 63.2%	136 59.2%	349 59.6%	417 62.2%	29 42.3%	23 60.8%	10 52.3%	15 66.6%	68 63.1%	32 55.8%	397 61.5%	86 56.6%	13 52.3%	4 51.8%	6 50.4%	52 56.5%	170 61.9%	351 60.4%	321 59.3%	127 57.2%	159 65.0%
Quite unsafe	153 15.2%	59 12.2%	94 18.0%	30 15.5%	38 16.5%	85 14.6%	114 17.0%	6 8.1%	3 8.7%	-	2 10.3%	13 11.9%	11 18.1%	112 17.3%	19 12.6%	1 6.0%	-	2 20.7%	10 10.9%	39 14.1%	96 16.4%	80 14.8%	45 20.3%	28 11.5%
Very unsafe	22 2.2%	5 1.0%	17 3.2%	6 3.0%	2 0.9%	14 2.3%	16 2.3%	1 1.6%	-	-	-	2 1.7%	2 3.1%	17 2.6%	2 1.2%	-	-	-	-	1 0.5%	18 3.2%	11 2.0%	7 3.1%	4 1.6%
Don't Know	12 1.2%	4 0.8%	8 1.5%	-	4 1.9%	7 1.3%	5 0.8%	1 1.4%	-	-	1 6.8%	3 3.1%	1 1.5%	6 0.9%	2 1.2%	-	-	-	1 0.9%	6 2.2%	4 0.7%	8 1.5%	3 1.3%	1 *
SIGMA	1008 100.0%	487 100.0%	521 100.0%	194 100.0%	229 100.0%	585 100.0%	671 100.0%	70 100.0%	38 100.0%	19 100.0%	22 100.0%	107 100.0%	58 100.0%	645 100.0%	152 100.0%	25 100.0%	7 100.0%	11 100.0%	92 100.0%	274 100.0%	582 100.0%	542 100.0%	222 100.0%	244 100.0%

4 May 2016

Page 12 4 May 2016

Table 9

Q6. Normal weightings

Q6. I would like you to consider the following political parties with anti-semitism, and place them on a scale from 1 to 5. 1 meaning there are low levels of anti-Semitism among the political party's members and elected representatives and 5 meaning there are high levels of anti-Semitism with the party's members and elected representatives. Conservative

Base: All respondents

	Total	S	ex		Age				Westmins	ter Voting	Intention				2015	Westmin	ster Past	Vote		Lab Consid			Region	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Und	Would not vote	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Did not vote	Yes	No	London	Man- chester	Other
nweighted Total	1008	502	506	154	278	576	670	68	38	21	20	109	58	646	150	24	7	11	93	269	589	600	127	281
eighted Total	1008	487	521	194	229	585	671	70	38	19	22	107	58	645	152	25	7	11	92	274	582	542	222	244
	346 34.4%	186 38.2%	160 30.7%	88 45.4%	84 36.5%	175 29.9%	270 40.2%	12 16.7%	9 23.3%	8 41.6%	9 41.1%	22 20.3%	9 16.4%	263 40.7%	33 21.6%	5 18.9%	1 10.5%	1 7.5%	21 23.2%	95 34.8%	218 37.5%	189 34.8%	79 35.4%	79 32.4%
	361 35.8%	178 36.6%	183 35.1%	68 35.0%	85 37.0%	209 35.7%	257 38.3%	24 34.2%	12 30.7%	6 31.9%	4 18.7%	36 33.9%	19 32.0%	239 37.0%	51 33.6%	9 36.1%	4 65.7%	2 20.1%	36 39.4%	88 32.2%	222 38.1%	199 36.7%	76 34.4%	86 35.2%
	235 23.3%	95 19.5%	140 26.9%	33 17.3%	49 21.3%	153 26.1%	113 16.8%	24 35.0%	12 32.5%	5 26.4%	8 36.0%	41 38.3%	25 42.5%	113 17.5%	51 33.2%	9 36.4%	2 23.8%	6 49.7%	31 33.5%	73 26.8%	111 19.1%	119 22.0%	55 24.7%	61 25.1%
	54 5.4%	24 4.9%	30 5.8%	5 2.3%	8 3.6%	41 7.1%	28 4.1%	6 8.5%	5 13.5%	-	1 4.3%	6 5.9%	5 7.8%	27 4.2%	14 8.9%	2 8.6%	-	2 15.8%	3 3.0%	15 5.6%	25 4.3%	26 4.9%	10 4.7%	17 7.1%
	11 1.1%	3 0.7%	8 1.5%	-	4 1.7%	7 1.2%	4	4 5.7%	-	-	-	2 1.6%	1 1.3%	4	4 2.7%	-	-	1 6.8%	1 0.8%	2 0.6%	5 0.9%	9 1.6%	2 0.8%	1
lean Score	2.0	1.9	2.1	1.8	2.0	2.1	1.9	2.5	2.4	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.5	1.9	2.4	2.3	2.1	2.9	2.2	2.1	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1
SIGMA	1008 100.0%	487 100.0%	521 100.0%	194 100.0%	229 100.0%	585 100.0%	671 100.0%	70 100.0%	38 100.0%	19 100.0%	22 100.0%	107 100.0%	58 100.0%	645 100.0%	152 100.0%	25 100.0%	7 100.0%	11 100.0%	92 100.0%	274 100.0%	582 100.0%	542 100.0%	222 100.0%	244 100.0%

Page 13 4 May 2016

Table 10

Q7. Normal weightings

Q7. I would like you to consider the following political parties with anti-semitism, and place them on a scale from 1 to 5. 1 meaning there are low levels of anti-Semitism among the political party's members and elected representatives and 5 meaning there are high levels of anti-Semitism with the party's members and elected representatives. Labour

Base: All respondents

	Total	s	ex		Age				Westmins	ter Voting	Intention				2015	Westmin	ster Past	Vote		Lab Conside			Region	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Und	Would not vote	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Did not vote	Yes	No	London	Man- chester	Other
Unweighted Total	1008	502	506	154	278	576	670	68	38	21	20	109	58	646	150	24	7	11	93	269	589	600	127	281
Weighted Total	1008	487	521	194	229	585	671	70	38	19	22	107	58	645	152	25	7	11	92	274	582	542	222	244
1	44 4.3%	22 4.5%	22 4.2%	8 4.0%	13 5.8%	23 3.9%	15 2.3%	14 19.8%	2 6.0%	2 9.5%	2 7.1%	4 3.8%	4 6.9%	16 2.5%	15 10.2%	1 3.5%	-	2 21.8%	7 7.6%	15 5.4%	13 2.3%	26 4.9%	4 1.6%	14 5.6%
2	88 8.8%	42 8.7%	46 8.8%	21 11.0%	26 11.3%	41 7.0%	38 5.6%	13 18.9%	11 28.5%	-	1 6.8%	18 16.5%	5 7.9%	41 6.3%	21 13.5%	8 33.5%	-	-	13 13.7%	28 10.3%	40 6.8%	40 7.4%	26 11.5%	22 9.2%
3	226 22.4%	109 22.4%	117 22.5%	59 30.5%	52 22.9%	115 19.6%	120 17.9%	25 35.3%	10 25.4%	3 17.4%	8 37.8%	37 34.8%	20 34.4%	119 18.4%	48 31.5%	7 27.3%	1 12.5%	4 32.4%	33 35.4%	62 22.8%	116 19.9%	129 23.9%	41 18.4%	56 23.0%
4	262 26.0%	145 29.8%	117 22.4%	52 26.9%	64 27.9%	146 24.9%	197 29.4%	10 14.7%	12 30.9%	1 4.6%	5 21.5%	22 20.6%	10 16.8%	180 28.0%	31 20.1%	9 35.7%	1 13.8%	3 26.4%	15 16.2%	88 32.1%	143 24.6%	145 26.7%	59 26.8%	58 23.7%
5	388 38.5%	169 34.7%	219 42.1%	54 27.6%	74 32.1%	261 44.6%	301 44.8%	8 11.3%	3 9.2%	13 68.6%	6 26.8%	26 24.3%	20 34.0%	289 44.8%	38 24.7%	-	5 73.7%	2 19.3%	25 27.2%	81 29.5%	270 46.4%	202 37.2%	92 41.7%	94 38.6%
Mean Score	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.6	3.7	4.0	4.1	2.8	3.1	4.2	3.5	3.5	3.6	4.1	3.4	3.0	4.6	3.2	3.4	3.7	4.1	3.8	4.0	3.8
SIGMA	1008 100.0%	487 100.0%	521 100.0%	194 100.0%	229 100.0%	585 100.0%	671 100.0%	70 100.0%	38 100.0%	19 100.0%	22 100.0%	107 100.0%	58 100.0%	645 100.0%	152 100.0%	25 100.0%	7 100.0%	11 100.0%	92 100.0%	274 100.0%	582 100.0%	542 100.0%	222 100.0%	244 100.0%

Survation. Page 13

Prepared by Survation on behalf of The Jewish Chronicle

Page 14

4 May 2016

Table 11

Q8. Normal weightings

Q8. I would like you to consider the following political parties with anti-semitism, and place them on a scale from 1 to 5. 1 meaning there are low levels of anti-Semitism among the political party's members and elected representatives and 5 meaning there are high levels of anti-Semitism with the party's members and elected representatives. Liberal Democrats

Base: All respondents

	Total	S	ex		Age				Westmins	ter Voting	Intention				2015	Westmin	ster Past	Vote		Lab Conside			Region	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Und	Would not vote	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Did not vote	Yes	No	London	Man- chester	Other
Unweighted Total	1008	502	506	154	278	576	670	68	38	21	20	109	58	646	150	24	7	11	93	269	589	600	127	281
Weighted Total	1008	487	521	194	229	585	671	70	38	19	22	107	58	645	152	25	7	11	92	274	582	542	222	244
1	216 21.5%	141 28.9%	76 14.5%	54 27.9%	52 22.6%	111 18.9%	133 19.8%	21 30.8%	12 32.3%	7 35.8%	8 36.0%	17 16.0%	12 20.7%	138 21.4%	34 22.1%	13 50.9%	1 12.5%	3 22.6%	20 21.7%	63 23.1%	120 20.6%	106 19.6%	47 21.2%	63 25.9%
2	261 25.9%	132 27.2%	129 24.8%	58 29.7%	63 27.6%	141 24.0%	161 24.0%	26 37.1%	15 39.8%	4 18.5%	7 34.0%	30 28.1%	14 23.6%	154 23.8%	49 32.5%	7 27.0%	3 39.1%	5 42.1%	29 31.1%	80 29.1%	132 22.7%	142 26.3%	56 25.3%	63 25.8%
3	379 37.6%	148 30.4%	231 44.4%	60 30.8%	83 36.0%	237 40.5%	270 40.3%	16 23.4%	11 27.9%	6 29.0%	6 25.7%	41 37.9%	22 38.3%	251 39.0%	47 30.7%	5 22.1%	3 37.1%	2 15.8%	32 34.9%	95 34.7%	237 40.8%	207 38.1%	89 40.1%	83 34.2%
4	97 9.6%	44 9.0%	53 10.2%	15 7.5%	14 6.3%	68 11.6%	67 10.0%	5 6.8%	-	1 3.7%	1 4.3%	13 11.8%	7 12.2%	62 9.6%	14 9.5%	-	-	1 6.3%	10 11.4%	25 9.2%	57 9.8%	54 9.9%	16 7.3%	27 11.0%
5	54 5.3%	22 4.5%	32 6.1%	8 4.1%	17 7.5%	29 4.9%	40 5.9%	1 2.0%	-	3 13.0%	-	7 6.2%	3 5.2%	40 6.2%	8 5.2%	-	1 11.3%	1 13.1%	1 0.8%	11 3.9%	35 6.1%	33 6.1%	13 6.0%	8 3.1%
Mean Score	2.5	2.3	2.7	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.0	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.4	1.7	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.4
SIGMA	1008 100.0%	487 100.0%	521 100.0%	194 100.0%	229 100.0%	585 100.0%	671 100.0%	70 100.0%	38 100.0%	19 100.0%	22 100.0%	107 100.0%	58 100.0%	645 100.0%	152 100.0%	25 100.0%	7 100.0%	11 100.0%	92 100.0%	274 100.0%	582 100.0%	542 100.0%	222 100.0%	244 100.0%

Page 15 4 May 2016

Table 12

Q9. Normal weightings

Q9. I would like you to consider the following political parties with anti-semitism, and place them on a scale from 1 to 5. 1 meaning there are low levels of anti-Semitism among the political party's members and elected representatives and 5 meaning there are high levels of anti-Semitism with the party's members and elected representatives. UKIP

Base: All respondents

	Total	s	ex		Age				Westmins	ter Voting	Intention				2015	Westmin	ster Past	/ote		Lab Conside			Region	
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Und	Would not vote	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Did not vote	Yes	No	London	Man- chester	Other
Unweighted Total	1008	502	506	154	278	576	670	68	38	21	20	109	58	646	150	24	7	11	93	269	589	600	127	281
Weighted Total	1008	487	521	194	229	585	671	70	38	19	22	107	58	645	152	25	7	11	92	274	582	542	222	244
1	58 5.7%	33 6.8%	25 4.8%	11 5.8%	7 3.2%	39 6.7%	42 6.3%	4 6.4%	1 1.9%	7 35.5%	1 4.0%	2 1.5%	1 1.6%	40 6.2%	8 5.1%	1 3.5%	3 39.2%	-	4 4.9%	11 4.1%	39 6.7%	32 5.9%	9 3.8%	17 7.1%
2	116 11.5%	79 16.1%	38 7.2%	17 8.5%	22 9.7%	77 13.2%	83 12.4%	7 10.6%	2 4.2%	7 38.2%	1 3.9%	7 6.4%	4 6.1%	84 13.0%	11 7.5%	1 3.7%	2 35.7%	1 7.5%	8 8.8%	32 11.8%	72 12.5%	65 12.0%	20 9.0%	31 12.8%
3	375 37.2%	186 38.2%	189 36.3%	61 31.5%	81 35.3%	233 39.9%	248 37.0%	18 25.8%	20 53.5%	3 16.9%	6 26.2%	45 41.8%	25 43.6%	235 36.4%	52 34.1%	11 45.4%	2 25.1%	6 50.4%	34 36.7%	96 35.0%	228 39.1%	190 35.0%	98 44.2%	87 35.7%
4	245 24.3%	109 22.4%	136 26.0%	53 27.1%	67 29.4%	125 21.3%	167 24.9%	17 24.7%	6 16.5%	1 4.6%	4 17.9%	32 29.5%	13 22.3%	161 25.0%	39 25.9%	8 30.7%	-	4 35.3%	18 20.1%	71 26.0%	134 23.1%	143 26.3%	45 20.2%	57 23.5%
5	214 21.2%	80 16.5%	134 25.7%	53 27.2%	51 22.3%	110 18.8%	130 19.3%	23 32.5%	9 24.0%	1 4.9%	11 48.1%	22 20.7%	15 26.4%	125 19.4%	42 27.5%	4 16.6%	-	1 6.8%	27 29.5%	64 23.2%	108 18.7%	113 20.8%	50 22.8%	51 20.8%
Mean Score	3.4	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.3	3.4	3.7	3.6	2.1	4.0	3.6	3.7	3.4	3.6	3.5	1.9	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.4
SIGMA	1008 100.0%	487 100.0%	521 100.0%	194 100.0%	229 100.0%	585 100.0%	671 100.0%	70 100.0%	38 100.0%	19 100.0%	22 100.0%	107 100.0%	58 100.0%	645 100.0%	152 100.0%	25 100.0%	7 100.0%	11 100.0%	92 100.0%	274 100.0%	582 100.0%	542 100.0%	222 100.0%	244 100.0%

Prepared on behalf of The Jewish Chronicle

Table 13

Q10. Normal weightings

Q10. Finally, following recent anti-Semitic comments by some members and elected representatives of the Labour Party resulting in their suspension, Jeremy Corbyn is to hold an independent inquiry into anti-Semitism and other forms of racism. He has proposed a new "code of conduct" on racism at Labour's NEC in May, including guidance on "acceptable behaviour and use of language" and making "explicitly clear for the first time Labour will not tolerate any form of racism, including anti-Semitism, in the party" His inquiry, will set out "clear and transparent" rules on how the party should deal with allegations of racism and anti-Semitism and propose training programmes for parliamentary candidates, MPs and councillors. Considering Jeremy Corbyn's responses to these matters, which of the following statements is closest to your view?

Base: All respondents

	Total	Sex		Age			Westminster Voting Intention							2015 Westminster Past Vote						Labour Consideration		Region		
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Und	Would not vote	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	Other	Did not vote	Yes	No	London	Man- chester	Other
Unweighted Total	1008	502	506	154	278	576	670	68	38	21	20	109	58	646	150	24	7	11	93	269	589	600	127	281
Weighted Total	1008	487	521	194	229	585	671	70	38	19	22	107	58	645	152	25	7	11	92	274	582	542	222	244
Jeremy Corbyn is doing a good Job of addressing the issue of anti-semitism within the party	207 20.5%	89 18.4%	117 22.5%	58 30.0%	55 24.2%	93 15.9%	96 14.4%	39 56.3%	11 29.9%	2 8.9%	12 55.4%	29 27.1%	14 25.0%	101 15.7%	43 28.5%	9 36.5%	-	6 50.3%	32 34.8%	84 30.6%	79 13.7%	104 19.1%	48 21.7%	55 22.6%
Jeremy Corbyn is doing a bad Job of addressing	670	355	315	113	129	428	498	24	21	15	7	53	37	470	87	12	7	3	43	155	428	354	152	164
the issue of anti-semitism within the party	66.4%	72.9%	60.4%	58.3%	56.2%	73.1%	74.2%	35.2%	56.8%	78.4%	32.9%	49.2%	64.0%	72.8%	57.1%	46.7%	100.0%	29.0%	46.8%	56.6%	73.5%	65.2%	68.3%	67.4%
Don't know	132 13.0%	42 8.7%	89 17.1%	23 11.7%	45 19.6%	64 11.0%	77 11.4%	6 8.6%	5 13.3%	2 12.7%	3 11.8%	25 23.7%	6 11.0%	74 11.5%	22 14.4%	4 16.9%	-	2 20.8%	17 18.4%	35 12.8%	75 12.8%	85 15.7%	22 10.0%	24 10.0%
SIGMA	1008 100.0%	487 100.0%	521 100.0%	194 100.0%	229 100.0%	585 100.0%	671 100.0%	70 100.0%	38 100.0%	19 100.0%	22 100.0%	107 100.0%	58 100.0%	645 100.0%	152 100.0%	25 100.0%	7 100.0%	11 100.0%	92 100.0%	274 100.0%	582 100.0%	542 100.0%	222 100.0%	244 100.0%

4 May 2016

Page 16