# Councillors Poll

03/02/2016

Prepared on behalf of Action for Children



Survation.

### Methodology

**Fieldwork Dates** 

26<sup>th</sup> January – 1<sup>st</sup> February 2016

**Data Collection Method** 

The survey was conducted via telephone interview.

**Population Sampled** 

All Councillors in England

Sample Size

505

### **Data Weighting**

Data were weighted to the profile of all Councillors in England. Data were weighted by political party and region. Targets for the weighted data were derived from county, borough and district council websites.

### Margin of Error

Because only a sample of the full population was interviewed, all results are subject to margin of error, meaning that not all differences are statistically significant. For example, in a question where 50% (the worst case scenario as far as margin of error is concerned) gave a particular answer, with a sample of 505 it is 95% certain that the 'true' value will fall within the range of 4.3% from the sample result. Subsamples from the cross-breaks will be subject to higher margin of error, conclusions drawn from crossbreaks with very small sub-samples should be treated with caution.



### **Question presentation**

All data tables shown in full below, in order and wording put to respondents, including but not limited to all tables relating to published data and all relevant tables preceding them. Tables for demographic questions might not be included but these should be clear from the cross-breaks on published tables. In all questions where the responses are a list of parties, names or statements, these will typically have been displayed to respondents in a randomising order. The only questions which would not have had randomising responses would be those in which there was a natural order to maintain – e.g. a scale from "strongly agree" to "strongly disagree", a list of numbers from 0 to 10 or questions which had factual rather than opinion-related answers such as demographic information. "Other", "Don't know" and "Refused" responses are not randomised.

Not all questions will have necessarily been asked to all respondents – this is because they may be follow-on questions from previous questions or only appropriate to certain demographic groups. Lower response counts should make clear where this has occurred.

Data were analysed and weighted by Survation.

For further information please contact; Chris Hopkins 0203 818 9661 chris.hopkins@survation.com

If you are interested in commissioning a poll from us, please contact researchteam@survation.com for a prompt response to your enquiry and we'll call you right back with the appropriate person.

Sign up for our press releases at http://eepurl.com/mOK8T

Follow us on twitter:

www.twitter.com/survation for our regular survey work and political polling www.twitter.com/damiansurvation for Damian Lyons Lowe's twitter feed Survation are a member of The British Polling Council and abide by its rules: <a href="http://www.britishpollingcouncil.org">http://www.britishpollingcouncil.org</a>
Survation Ltd Registered in England & Wales Number 07143509



2 Feb 2016

Table 1

Q1. Normal weightings

Q1. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? Early intervention children and family services are a high priority for my local authority. **Base: All Respondents** 

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Strongly agree
Somewhat agree
Neither agree nor disagree
Somewhat disagree
Strongly disagree
Don't know
SIGMA

Total	Ger	nder		Age			Pa	rty						Region				
	Male	Female	18-44	45-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	Other	London	East of England	South East	South West	East Midlands	West Midlands	Yorks & Humber- side	North East	North West
505	344	161	69	238	198	227	181	53	44	31	73	109	56	52	53	37	20	74
505	343	162	72	245	188	236	167	46	56	59	68	96	53	56	49	36	23	66
348	234	114	50	167	131	151	126	31	40	38	42	58	37	39	30	30	19	54
69.0%	68.1%	70.7%	69.4%	68.4%	69.5%	64.1%	75.4%	67.4%	71.7%	64.6%	61.5%	60.1%	70.6%	70.3%	62.4%	84.4%	83.8%	82.4%
92	65	27	15	43	34	47	25	8	13	11	13	22	11	9	12	5	2	6
18.2%	18.8%	16.8%	21.4%	17.4%	18.0%	19.7%	14.8%	17.0%	22.6%	19.2%	19.3%	22.5%	20.6%	16.6%	25.2%	13.2%	10.4%	9.4%
17 3.3%	10 3.0%	6 3.9%	2 3.2%	8 3.1%	7 3.6%	10 4.3%	3 1.9%	2 5.2%	1 2.0%	- -	2 2.7%	8 8.9%	3 5.3%	2 3.4%	2 3.7%	-	-	-
25 4.9%	20 5.8%	5 3.1%	4 6.0%	15 6.3%	5 2.8%	13 5.5%	7 4.0%	3 7.4%	2 3.7%	10 16.2%	5 7.6%	4 4.4%	-	1 1.7%	2 4.6%	-	-	3 4.3%
8 1.5%	4 1.0%	4 2.5%	-	3 1.1%	5 2.6%	4 1.8%	3 1.6%	1 1.6%	-	-	2 2.4%	-	-	-	2 4.1%	1 2.4%	1 5.8%	2 2.6%
16	11	5	-	9	6	11	4	1	-	-	4	4	2	5	-	-	-	1
3.1%	3.1%	3.0%	-	3.8%	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%	1.5%	-	-	6.5%	4.2%	3.4%	8.1%	-	-	-	1.3%
505	343	162	72	245	188	236	167	46	56	59	68	96	53	56	49	36	23	66
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2 Feb 2016

Table 2

Q2. Normal weightings

Q2. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The reduction in central government funding will mean a reduction in early intervention services in my local authority. **Base: All Respondents** 

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Strongly agree
Somewhat agree
Neither agree nor disagree
Somewhat disagree
Strongly disagree
Don't know
SIGMA

Total	Ger	nder		Age			Pa	rty						Region				
	Male	Female	18-44	45-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	Other	London	East of England	South East	South West	East Midlands	West Midlands	Yorks & Humber- side	North East	North West
505	344	161	69	238	198	227	181	53	44	31	73	109	56	52	53	37	20	74
505	343	162	72	245	188	236	167	46	56	59	68	96	53	56	49	36	23	66
201	134	67	36	97	68	83	74	25	19	31	22	26	20	22	22	12	9	35
39.8%	39.2%	41.2%	50.2%	39.5%	36.4%	35.4%	44.1%	54.6%	33.6%	52.5%	32.2%	27.4%	37.9%	40.3%	45.9%	34.7%	41.2%	53.5%
96	67	29	14	45	37	34	38	5	18	7	11	25	9	7	8	12	3	13
19.0%	19.5%	18.1%	19.1%	18.5%	19.7%	14.6%	22.8%	11.9%	32.4%	11.9%	16.5%	26.2%	17.5%	13.1%	15.8%	32.5%	15.1%	20.3%
45	24	21	8	25	13	29	11	2	3	6	6	8	8	4	3	3	4	4
9.0%	7.0%	13.2%	10.7%	10.1%	6.8%	12.3%	6.5%	4.8%	5.8%	9.7%	8.2%	8.8%	15.7%	6.4%	5.7%	7.8%	19.7%	5.5%
55	45	10	5	27	24	27	13	3	12	6	13	11	3	5	6	3	3	4
10.9%	13.1%	6.1%	6.4%	10.9%	12.6%	11.6%	7.6%	7.5%	20.7%	10.4%	19.3%	11.8%	5.3%	9.7%	11.4%	8.5%	14.8%	6.5%
53	42	11	6	26	21	34	13	5	2	6	9	11	9	7	7	3	1	2
10.5%	12.2%	6.9%	8.8%	10.6%	11.0%	14.2%	7.5%	10.0%	4.2%	9.6%	12.4%	11.4%	16.4%	11.8%	13.5%	8.5%	4.6%	3.0%
54	31	24	3	25	26	28	19	5	2	4	8	14	4	10	4	3	1	7
10.8%	9.0%	14.6%	4.8%	10.4%	13.6%	12.0%	11.5%	11.1%	3.2%	6.0%	11.4%	14.5%	7.2%	18.7%	7.7%	7.9%	4.6%	11.2%
505	343	162	72	245	188	236	167	46	56	59	68	96	53	56	49	36	23	66
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2 Feb 2016

### Table 3

Q3. Normal weightings

Q3. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? In my area, I am concerned that it won't be possible to maintain the current level of funding for early intervention services, despite being able to raise money collected from business rates.

### **Base: All Respondents**

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Strongly agree
Somewhat agree
Neither agree nor disagree
Somewhat disagree
Strongly disagree
Don't know
SIGMA

Total	Ger	nder		Age			Pa	rty						Region				
	Male	Female	18-44	45-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	Other	London	East of England	South East	South West	East Midlands	West Midlands	Yorks & Humber- side	North East	North West
505	344	161	69	238	198	227	181	53	44	31	73	109	56	52	53	37	20	74
505	343	162	72	245	188	236	167	46	56	59	68	96	53	56	49	36	23	66
213	136	77	39	112	63	81	92	22	18	39	20	29	19	27	24	15	11	29
42.2%	39.6%	47.9%	54.4%	45.6%	33.3%	34.6%	54.9%	47.0%	32.8%	65.2%	29.9%	30.2%	35.5%	48.5%	49.5%	42.5%	49.6%	44.0%
83	56	27	10	39	34	34	27	6	16	6	19	13	9	11	6	7	5	7
16.4%	16.3%	16.5%	13.6%	15.8%	18.2%	14.3%	16.4%	12.1%	28.2%	9.6%	27.3%	13.6%	16.5%	19.5%	13.1%	18.7%	24.0%	10.8%
48	36	12	7	23	18	23	11	4	10	5	5	14	6	3	2	1	4	8
9.6%	10.5%	7.7%	10.1%	9.4%	9.6%	9.7%	6.8%	8.5%	18.1%	8.8%	6.9%	14.8%	11.4%	5.9%	4.1%	2.9%	16.2%	12.4%
45	35	9	7	23	15	27	9	4	5	2	7	10	5	6	2	6	1	6
8.8%	10.3%	5.8%	9.3%	9.5%	7.8%	11.4%	5.3%	9.3%	8.4%	2.9%	10.1%	10.1%	9.2%	10.1%	4.2%	17.1%	5.5%	9.8%
46	35	11	5	18	23	31	8	5	2	4	9	12	8	2	4	3	-	4
9.2%	10.3%	6.8%	7.4%	7.4%	12.2%	13.2%	4.7%	11.5%	3.7%	7.5%	12.7%	13.0%	14.5%	4.2%	8.8%	7.9%		5.5%
70	45	25	4	30	36	40	20	5	5	4	9	17	7	7	10	4	1	11
13.8%	13.1%	15.3%	5.1%	12.3%	19.0%	16.8%	11.8%	11.6%	8.8%	6.0%	13.1%	18.2%	12.9%	11.9%	20.3%	11.0%	4.6%	17.3%
505	343	162	72	245	188	236	167	46	56	59	68	96	53	56	49	36	23	66
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2 Feb 2016

Table 4

Q4. Normal weightings

Q4. To what extent do you think closing children's centres or limiting the number of families using them in your community, would impact on each of the following? Increase in levels of poor child health. **Base: All Respondents** 

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Big impact	
Moderate impact	
Small impact	
No impact	
Don't know	
SIGMA	

Total	Ger	nder		Age			Pa	rty		Region									
	Male	Female	18-44	45-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	Other	London	East of England	South East	South West	East Midlands	West Midlands	Yorks & Humber- side	North East	North West	
505	344	161	69	238	198	227	181	53	44	31	73	109	56	52	53	37	20	74	
505	343	162	72	245	188	236	167	46	56	59	68	96	53	56	49	36	23	66	
203	132	71	37	97	69	82	86	19	16	19	17	41	21	30	24	13	13	24	
40.2%	38.6%	43.6%	51.8%	39.6%	36.5%	34.7%	51.2%	41.7%	29.3%	31.3%	25.2%	42.8%	39.4%	53.8%	50.3%	36.6%	58.8%	37.1%	
119	76	44	20	58	42	51	42	10	17	15	17	14	17	13	8	11	5	20	
23.6%	22.0%	27.1%	27.2%	23.7%	22.3%	21.8%	25.0%	21.1%	29.5%	25.7%	24.3%	15.0%	31.2%	23.7%	16.3%	30.9%	20.6%	30.1%	
43 8.4%	32 9.2%	11 6.8%	6 8.0%	23 9.4%	14 7.3%	25 10.8%	7 3.9%	7 15.6%	3 6.1%	10 16.2%	7 10.6%	10 10.0%	6 11.1%	-	6 11.5%	2 5.4%	-	3 4.2%	
69 13.7%	56 16.3%	13 8.2%	3 3.6%	34 13.9%	33 17.3%	37 15.9%	12 7.3%	4 8.2%	16 28.2%	4 7.5%	10 15.2%	15 16.0%	7 13.0%	6 10.7%	7 14.0%	6 16.1%	4 16.0%	10 15.1%	
71 14.0%	48 14.0%	23 14.2%	7 9.5%	33 13.4%	31 16.6%	40 16.9%	21 12.6%	6 13.4%	4 6.8%	11 19.3%	17 24.7%	16 16.2%	3 5.3%	7 11.8%	4 7.9%	4 11.0%	1 4.6%	9 13.5%	
505 100.0%	343 100.0%	162 100.0%	72 100.0%	245 100.0%	188 100.0%	236 100.0%	167 100.0%	46 100.0%	56 100.0%	59 100.0%	68 100.0%	96 100.0%	53 100.0%	56 100.0%	49 100.0%	36 100.0%	23 100.0%	66 100.0%	

2 Feb 2016

Table 5

Q5. Normal weightings

Q5. To what extent do you think closing children's centres or limiting the number of families using them in your community, would impact on each of the following? Increase in levels of children with poor development (language and communication skills, behaviour, social skills).

**Base: All Respondents** 

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Big impact	
Moderate impact	
Small impact	
No impact	
Don't know	
SIGMA	

Total	Ger	nder		Age			Pa	rty		Region									
	Male	Female	18-44	45-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	Other	London	East of England	South East	South West	East Midlands	West Midlands	Yorks & Humber- side	North East	North West	
505	344	161	69	238	198	227	181	53	44	31	73	109	56	52	53	37	20	74	
505	343	162	72	245	188	236	167	46	56	59	68	96	53	56	49	36	23	66	
246	155	91	42	121	83	95	105	25	20	32	23	45	27	29	28	14	16	32	
48.7%	45.3%	56.0%	59.1%	49.4%	43.9%	40.5%	62.5%	55.2%	36.5%	53.6%	33.6%	47.1%	51.1%	52.1%	57.6%	39.0%	70.1%	48.9%	
102	70	32	17	47	38	46	29	10	18	11	16	18	14	11	9	6	2	15	
20.2%	20.5%	19.6%	24.3%	19.2%	20.0%	19.4%	17.2%	21.2%	32.1%	18.2%	23.4%	18.7%	26.9%	19.9%	18.9%	17.5%	9.3%	22.3%	
42 8.3%	34 10.0%	8 4.8%	3 3.7%	29 11.8%	10 5.6%	25 10.4%	3 2.1%	4 8.5%	10 17.8%	4 7.5%	10 15.2%	9 9.2%	6 10.9%	2 3.8%	3 6.0%	4 10.7%	-	4 5.5%	
51 10.0%	40 11.7%	10 6.4%	3 3.6%	18 7.4%	30 15.8%	34 14.6%	10 6.3%	2 4.9%	3 6.2%	4 7.5%	8 12.3%	9 9.0%	5 9.2%	6 10.7%	4 8.9%	4 10.8%	5 20.6%	5 8.2%	
64 12.7%	43 12.5%	21 13.2%	7 9.4%	30 12.2%	28 14.7%	36 15.1%	20 11.9%	5 10.1%	4 7.4%	8 13.3%	11 15.5%	15 16.0%	1 1.9%	8 13.5%	4 8.6%	8 21.9%	-	10 15.1%	
505 100.0%	343 100.0%	162 100.0%	72 100.0%	245 100.0%	188 100.0%	236 100.0%	167 100.0%	46 100.0%	56 100.0%	59 100.0%	68 100.0%	96 100.0%	53 100.0%	56 100.0%	49 100.0%	36 100.0%	23 100.0%	66 100.0%	



2 Feb 2016

Table 6

Q6. Normal weightings

Q6. To what extent do you think closing children's centres or limiting the number of families using them in your community, would impact on each of the following? Increase in parental loneliness and isolation. **Base: All Respondents** 

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Big impact Moderate impact Small impact No impact Don't know SIGMA

Total	Ger	nder		Age			Pa	rty						Region				
	Male	Female	18-44	45-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	Other	London	East of England	South East	South West	East Midlands	West Midlands	Yorks & Humber- side	North East	North West
505	344	161	69	238	198	227	181	53	44	31	73	109	56	52	53	37	20	74
505	343	162	72	245	188	236	167	46	56	59	68	96	53	56	49	36	23	66
188	116	71	37	92	59	67	87	16	18	22	17	36	21	25	16	8	13	28
37.2%	33.9%	44.1%	51.1%	37.4%	31.6%	28.5%	51.8%	34.1%	32.2%	37.2%	25.5%	38.0%	39.4%	45.0%	32.9%	23.3%	58.8%	42.8%
134	89	45	19	63	52	67	38	17	12	15	22	18	17	17	14	13	2	15
26.5%	25.9%	27.9%	26.7%	25.7%	27.4%	28.3%	23.0%	36.8%	21.0%	25.7%	31.8%	18.4%	32.1%	31.0%	29.3%	37.4%		22.8%
53	40	13	6	23	24	31	9	6	7	8	8	10	8	3	8	1	3	4
10.4%	11.6%	7.9%	7.9%	9.4%	12.7%	13.3%	5.1%	12.3%	12.3%	13.3%	11.4%	10.1%	14.5%	5.9%	16.5%	3.1%	14.8%	5.9%
59	45	15	6	30	23	33	11	2	13	4	9	13	4	5	9	7	3	5
11.7%	13.0%	9.1%	9.0%	12.1%	12.3%	14.1%	6.3%	4.9%	23.6%	7.5%	12.8%	13.9%	7.2%	8.5%	19.3%	19.8%	11.3%	7.9%
72	54	18	4	38	30	37	23	6	6	10	13	19	4	5	1	6	1	14
14.2%	15.7%	11.1%	5.3%	15.4%	16.0%	15.8%	13.7%	12.0%	10.9%	16.3%	18.5%	19.6%	6.9%	9.7%	2.0%	16.5%	4.6%	20.7%
505	343	162	72	245	188	236	167	46	56	59	68	96	53	56	49	36	23	66
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 7

Q7. Normal weightings

Q7. To what extent do you think closing children's centres or limiting the number of families using them in your community, would impact on each of the following? Decrease in number of children who are identified at risk of neglect. **Base: All Respondents** 

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Big impact Moderate impact Small impact No impact Don't know SIGMA

Total	Ger	nder		Age			Pa	rty						Region				
	Male	Female	18-44	45-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	Other	London	East of England	South East	South West	East Midlands	West Midlands	Yorks & Humber- side	North East	North West
505	344	161	69	238	198	227	181	53	44	31	73	109	56	52	53	37	20	74
505	343	162	72	245	188	236	167	46	56	59	68	96	53	56	49	36	23	66
200	129	72	40	95	66	84	86	16	15	21	21	38	20	26	20	15	13	27
39.7%	37.5%	44.3%	55.2%	38.8%	35.0%	35.5%	51.3%	34.1%	27.1%	34.9%	30.4%	39.7%	38.0%	47.1%	40.3%	41.9%	55.4%	41.5%
118	71	47	14	57	47	48	41	12	17	19	14	20	17	10	12	6	3	17
23.3%	20.6%	29.1%	19.5%	23.2%	25.0%	20.2%	24.6%	26.8%	30.1%	31.9%	21.2%	20.4%	31.1%	18.5%	24.3%	15.8%	14.8%	26.5%
46	40	7	6	26	14	26	4	6	11	3	12	6	7	3	7	4	-	4
9.2%	11.5%	4.2%	8.0%	10.7%	7.7%	11.0%	2.5%	12.1%	18.8%	5.9%	16.8%	6.1%	13.4%	6.0%	14.8%	12.0%		5.5%
65	50	15	6	30	29	37	11	6	11	7	7	16	6	6	5	6	4	8
12.9%	14.6%	9.3%	7.9%	12.3%	15.5%	15.7%	6.7%	13.8%	18.8%	11.0%	10.9%	17.0%	12.1%	10.7%	10.9%	16.2%	16.0%	11.7%
75	54	21	7	37	32	41	25	6	3	10	14	16	3	10	5	5	3	10
14.9%	15.8%	13.1%	9.5%	15.0%	16.9%	17.6%	14.9%	13.2%	5.2%	16.3%	20.6%	16.9%	5.4%	17.8%	9.7%	14.0%	13.9%	14.8%
505	343	162	72	245	188	236	167	46	56	59	68	96	53	56	49	36	23	66
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



2 Feb 2016

Table 8

Q8. Normal weightings

Q8. To what extent do you think closing children's centres or limiting the number of families using them in your community, would impact on each of the following? Decrease in parental employability skills. **Base: All Respondents** 

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Big impact Moderate impact Small impact No impact Don't know SIGMA

Total	Gender		Age			Party				Region									
	Male	Female	18-44	45-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	Other	London	East of England	South East	South West	East Midlands	West Midlands	Yorks & Humber- side	North East	North West	
505	344	161	69	238	198	227	181	53	44	31	73	109	56	52	53	37	20	74	
505	343	162	72	245	188	236	167	46	56	59	68	96	53	56	49	36	23	66	
149	91	58	20	71	57	54	70	11	13	13	14	23	18	23	15	8	12	23	
29.4%	26.5%	35.7%	28.5%	29.2%	30.1%	23.1%	42.0%	23.4%	23.4%	22.4%	20.4%	24.2%	33.3%	41.7%	30.1%	21.3%	53.0%	35.0%	
122	88	35	28	62	32	43	47	16	17	16	15	21	14	12	14	11	4	14	
24.2%	25.6%	21.3%	39.3%	25.5%	16.9%	18.4%	27.9%	34.0%	30.0%	27.2%	22.5%	21.5%	26.6%	22.3%	28.9%	31.5%	19.7%	21.2%	
55	40	15	9	24	22	34	11	7	4	12	9	10	4	5	10	1	-	5	
11.0%	11.8%	9.2%	12.6%	9.8%	11.9%	14.5%	6.4%	15.2%	6.4%	20.0%	13.0%	10.4%	7.3%	8.5%	20.2%	2.4%	-	8.2%	
81	63	18	6	42	32	46	12	6	17	4	12	20	12	6	6	7	5	9	
16.0%	18.2%	11.1%	8.8%	17.1%	17.2%	19.4%	7.1%	12.1%	30.8%	7.5%	17.9%	20.4%	21.7%	10.3%	13.2%	19.7%	21.5%	13.4%	
98	61	37	8	45	45	58	28	7	5	14	18	22	6	10	4	9	1	15	
19.4%	17.9%	22.6%	10.8%	18.5%	23.9%	24.7%	16.5%	15.3%	9.4%	23.0%	26.1%	23.5%	11.0%	17.2%	7.6%	25.0%	5.8%	22.3%	
505	343	162	72	245	188	236	167	46	56	59	68	96	53	56	49	36	23	66	
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

2 Feb 2016

Table 9

Q9. Normal weightings

Q9. On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the highest and 5 the lowest, how high a priority do you think it should be for local authorities to retain services that support parenting in the early years for all families, and not just those who required targeted support?

**Base: All Respondents** 

Unweighted Total Weighted Total 1 - high priority
2
3
4
5 - low priority
Don't know
SIGMA

Total	Gender		Age				Party				Region								
	Male	Female	18-44	45-64	65+	CON	LAB	LD	Other	London	East of England	South East	South West	East Midlands	West Midlands	Yorks & Humber- side	North East	North West	
505	344	161	69	238	198	227	181	53	44	31	73	109	56	52	53	37	20	74	
505	343	162	72	245	188	236	167	46	56	59	68	96	53	56	49	36	23	66	
246 48.7%	151 43.9%	95 58.8%	32 45.2%	105 43.0%	108 57.3%	98 41.8%	103 61.6%	18 39.4%	26 46.7%	22 36.5%	22 32.6%	45 47.2%	26 49.0%	31 55.7%	31 64.3%	14 40.2%	17 74.8%	37 56.3%	
121 23.9%	90 26.2%	31 19.2%	24 33.4%	64 26.2%	33 17.3%	49 20.9%	37 22.4%	18 40.0%	16 28.0%	26 43.4%	18 27.0%	24 25.2%	12 22.0%	9 15.7%	7 15.1%	9 25.9%	3 15.1%	12 18.6%	
70 13.8%	46 13.5%	23 14.4%	9 12.9%	31 12.8%	29 15.4%	46 19.4%	16 9.6%	2 5.2%	5 9.3%	2 3.7%	16 23.0%	10 10.8%	5 8.8%	11 20.0%	6 12.1%	7 19.3%	2 10.1%	10 15.7%	
29 5.7%	22 6.4%	7 4.3%	3 3.8%	19 7.7%	7 3.9%	17 7.3%	6 3.6%	3 5.5%	3 5.6%	6 9.6%	3 4.1%	2 1.8%	9 16.8%	1 2.1%	3 6.5%	1 2.4%	-	5 6.9%	
18 3.5%	15 4.3%	3 1.8%	3 3.6%	12 4.8%	3 1.7%	10 4.3%	1	1 1.7%	6 10.4%	- -	4 5.4%	7 6.8%	2 3.4%	1 2.1%	1 2.0%	3 9.2%	-	-	
22 4.4%	20 5.8%	2 1.5%	1 1.0%	13 5.4%	8 4.4%	15 6.3%	4 2.3%	4 8.1%	-	4 6.7%	5 7.9%	8 8.2%	-	2 4.3%	-	1 3.1%	-	2 2.6%	
505 100.0%	343 100.0%	162 100.0%	72 100.0%	245 100.0%	188 100.0%	236 100.0%	167 100.0%	46 100.0%	56 100.0%	59 100.0%	68 100.0%	96 100.0%	53 100.0%	56 100.0%	49 100.0%	36 100.0%	23 100.0%	66 100.0%	